

$^{102}\text{Pd}(\text{p},\text{n}\gamma)$ **2005Se11**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 132, 1 (2016)	4-Dec-2015

Additional information 1.

2005Se11: E=270 MeV, measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$, fragment- γ coin, lifetimes with the Gammasphere array of Compton-suppressed HPGe detectors and the Argonne Fragment Mass Analyzer (FMA). Recoils implanted in a double-sided Si strip detector (DSSD). Reaction products and associated γ rays identified through observation of characteristic α decays of the fragments in the same DSSD pixel as the implantation.

 ^{157}Ta Levels

E(level) [†]	J [‡]	T _{1/2} [#]	Comments
0.0	1/2 ⁺	10.1 ms 4	
22 [@] 5	11/2 ⁻	4.3 ms 1	% $\alpha \approx 100$
			Additional information 2.
			E(level): From Adopted Levels, Gammas dataset.
864.0 [@] 3	15/2 ⁻		
1567.2 [@] 5	19/2 ⁻		
1717.8 ^{&} 6	23/2 ⁻	≈ 5 ns	Level does not follow trend for calculated 23/2 ⁻ states associated with $\pi h_{11/2} \otimes (\nu f_{7/2})^2$ configuration. It is most likely the 23/2 ⁻ member of the $\pi h_{11/2} \otimes \nu f_{7/2} h_{9/2}$ multiplet. T _{1/2} : the interval 1-10 ns is deduced based on the favored E2 multipolarity of the 151 γ and intensity arguments of this and lower gamma rays; ≈ 5 ns is adopted by 2005Se11 in fig. 8 (^{157}Ta level scheme).
2360.4 ^{&} 6	27/2 ⁻		J ^π : Assignment based on systematics as angular distribution ratio for 643 transition is ambiguous.
2927.7 ^a 7	(29/2 ⁺)		J ^π : Assignment based on systematics as angular distribution ratio for 567 transition is ambiguous.
3065.4 ^a 8	(31/2 ⁺)		
3353.9 ^a 8			
3678.1 9			
3986.9 ^a 9			

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$'s; $\Delta E\gamma = 0.3$ keV assumed for each transition.

[‡] Adopted by **2005Se11** (these values can be different from those in Adopted Levels, Gammas dataset).

[#] From Adopted Levels, Gammas dataset, unless noted otherwise.

[@] Band(A): $\pi h_{11/2} \otimes (\nu f_{7/2})^2$.

[&] Band(B): $\pi h_{11/2} \otimes \nu f_{7/2} h_{9/2}$ multiplet.

^a Band(C): $\pi h_{11/2} \otimes [(\nu f_{7/2})^2 \otimes 3^- + \nu f_{7/2} i_{13/2}]$.

 $\gamma(^{157}\text{Ta})$

I(K α x ray)(57.2 keV)=76 7, I(K β x ray)(65.8 keV)=31 4.

R_{ang}=I γ ($\approx 180^\circ$)/I γ ($\approx 90^\circ$).

E γ	I γ	E _l (level)	J $^{\pi}_i$	E _f	J $^{\pi}_f$	Mult.	Comments
137.7	12.1 16	3065.4	(31/2 ⁺)	2927.7	(29/2 ⁺)	(D)	R _{ang} =0.64 22.
150.6	50 3	1717.8	23/2 ⁻	1567.2	19/2 ⁻	Q	Mult.: likely to be M1 from angular distribution ratio. R _{ang} =0.85 13.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{102}\text{Pd}(^{58}\text{Ni},\text{p}2\text{n}\gamma)$ 2005Se11 (continued) **$\gamma(^{157}\text{Ta})$ (continued)**

E_γ	I_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	Comments
							Mult.: E2 multipolarity favoured for this transition, based upon single-particle Weiskopff estimates. Assignment further supported by intensity balance arguments.
$^x154.7$	11.8 17						
$^x181.0$	7.9 13						
$^x225.3$	5.4 11						
$^x236.2$	6.6 12						
$^x240.3$	6.2 12						
288.5	21.8 19	3353.9		3065.4	(31/2 $^+$)		
$^x292.6$	6.9 13						
$^x299.5$	13.1 14						
324.2	16.7 16	3678.1		3353.9			$R_{\text{ang}}=0.86$ 22.
$^x351.1$	9.5 14						
$^x358.0$	5.8 12						
$^x418.2$	9.3 14						
$^x434.4$	5.0 12						
$^x443.0$	6.9 14						
$^x459.0$	9.9 16						
$^x525.8$	6.5 15						
567.3	56 3	2927.7	(29/2 $^+$)	2360.4	27/2 $^-$		$R_{\text{ang}}=0.86$ 14.
$^x628.1$	9.5 19						
633.0	20.2 25	3986.9		3353.9			
642.6	61 4	2360.4	27/2 $^-$	1717.8	23/2 $^-$		$R_{\text{ang}}=0.85$ 14.
$^x656.9$	8.2 18						
703.2	84 4	1567.2	19/2 $^-$	864.0	15/2 $^-$	Q	$R_{\text{ang}}=1.43$ 20. Mult.: Angular distribution ratio consistent with stretched $\Delta J=2$ assignment.
$^x797.3$	17.7 22						
842.0	100 4	864.0	15/2 $^-$	22	11/2 $^-$	Q	$R_{\text{ang}}=1.05$ 15. Mult.: Angular distribution ratio consistent with stretched $\Delta J=2$ assignment.
$^x855.0$	10.7 18						

x γ ray not placed in level scheme.



