

$^{102}\text{Pd}(^{58}\text{Ni},2\text{p}2\text{n}\gamma)$     **2005Se11**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. W. Reich	NDS 113, 2537 (2012)	1-Mar-2012

**Additional information 1.**

**2005Se11:**  $E(^{58}\text{Ni})=270$  MeV. 1 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>-thick  $^{102}\text{Pd}$  target (69% enrichment). Reaction products studied using the Gammasphere array of Compton-suppressed HPGe detectors and the Argonne Fragment Mass Analyzer. Recoils implanted in a double-sided Si-strip detector. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ , fragment- $\gamma$  coin, angular-distribution ratios.

**1997SeZS:**  $E(^{58}\text{Ni})=270$  MeV. 1 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>  $^{102}\text{Pd}$  target (enrichment not given).  $\gamma$  radiation studied using the “AYE-ball” array of 16 HPGe detectors and 2 LEPS detectors and assigned to the various reaction channels using recoil-decay tagging techniques. Recoil nuclei analyzed using a fragment mass analyzer and detected in a double-sided Si-strip detector.

**1997Ca40** give similar information on this reaction.

**2005Se11** state that their study is a continuation of an earlier study (**1997SeZS**) by many of the same authors. It is considerably more extensive than that of **1997SeZS**. The evaluator assumes that these later data supersede those of the earlier studies; and those are not listed here.

Unless noted otherwise, the data listed here are from **2005Se11**.

 $^{156}\text{Hf}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†‡</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> #	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>@</sup>	Comments
0 <sup>&amp;</sup>	0 <sup>+</sup>	23 ms <i>I</i>	% $\alpha \approx 100$
857.2 <sup>&amp;</sup>	2 <sup>+</sup>		
1454.2 <sup>a</sup>	(2 <sup>+</sup> )		
1585.2 <sup>&amp;</sup>	4 <sup>+</sup>		
1959 <sup>b</sup> 6	8 <sup>+</sup>	0.52 ms <i>I</i>	% $\alpha = 100$
			E(level): Computed from the difference in the $Q(\alpha)$ values of the 7782 and 5873 $\alpha$ transitions from the 8 <sup>+</sup> state and the g.s., respectively, to the $^{152}\text{Yb}$ g.s. ( <b>1996Pa01</b> ). J <sup>π</sup> : From the adopted values. Probable configuration=(( $\nu$ h <sub>9/2</sub> )( $\nu$ f <sub>7/2</sub> ))8+.
2000.2 <sup>&amp;</sup>	6 <sup>+</sup>		
2221.6 <sup>a</sup>	(4 <sup>+</sup> )		
2547.8 <sup>a</sup>	(6 <sup>+</sup> )		
2878.2 <sup>c</sup>	10 <sup>+</sup>		
3189.6	11 <sup>-</sup>		J <sup>π</sup> : E3 transition to 8 <sup>+</sup> . Level interpreted as (( $\nu$ h <sub>9/2</sub> )( $\nu$ f <sub>7/2</sub> ))8+ coupled to a 3 <sup>-</sup> phonon.
3336.7 <sup>d</sup>	(10 <sup>+</sup> )		J <sup>π</sup> : Member of the (( $\nu$ h <sub>9/2</sub> )( $\nu$ f <sub>7/2</sub> ))⊗(6 <sup>+</sup> ) multiplet.
3678.3 <sup>c</sup>	12 <sup>+</sup>		
3816.5 <sup>d</sup>	(12 <sup>+</sup> )		J <sup>π</sup> : Stretched conf: ( $\nu$ f <sub>7/2</sub> ) <sup>2</sup> ⊗(6 <sup>+</sup> ).
3996.9 <sup>d</sup>	(14 <sup>+</sup> )		J <sup>π</sup> : Proposed conf is (( $\nu$ h <sub>9/2</sub> )( $\nu$ f <sub>7/2</sub> ))8+ coupled to two octupole phonons (J <sup>π</sup> =6 <sup>+</sup> ) ( <b>2005Se11</b> ).
4264.5 <sup>c</sup>	14 <sup>+</sup>		
4384.0 <sup>d</sup>	(14 <sup>+</sup> )		J <sup>π</sup> : possible conf is (( $\nu$ h <sub>9/2</sub> )( $\nu$ f <sub>7/2</sub> ))⊗(6 <sup>+</sup> ).
4482.5	(16 <sup>+</sup> )		Suggested ( <b>2005Se11</b> ) as the 16 <sup>+</sup> member of the ((( $\nu$ h <sub>9/2</sub> )( $\nu$ f <sub>7/2</sub> ))8+)⊗(( $\pi$ h <sub>11/2</sub> ) <sub>10+</sub> ) multiplet.
4590.6			
4592.5			
4812.6 <sup>c</sup>	(16 <sup>+</sup> )		J <sup>π</sup> : Possible 16 <sup>+</sup> member of the indicated multiplet ( <b>2005Se11</b> ).
5019.3			

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit by the evaluator to the listed  $E\gamma$  values. Equal uncertainties (1 keV) were assigned to these values. No uncertainties are listed for the resulting level energies.

<sup>‡</sup> The energy of the 8<sup>+</sup> isomer was computed from the difference of the  $Q(\alpha)$  values of the 7782 and 5873  $\alpha$  transitions from the 8<sup>+</sup> state and the g.s., respectively, to the  $^{152}\text{Yb}$  g.s. **2005Se11** use the value 1977 keV for this quantity, presumably from **1981HoZM**. Thus, the energies of the levels based on this state are 18 keV lower than those reported in **2005Se11**.

<sup>102</sup>Pd(<sup>58</sup>Ni,2p2n $\gamma$ )    [2005Se11 \(continued\)](#)<sup>156</sup>Hf Levels (continued)

# Unless noted otherwise, based on multipolarities deduced (but not explicitly given) from angular-distribution data ([2005Se11](#)), together with comparison with the systematics of levels in the lighter-mass doubly even N=84 nuclides, supplemented by detailed shell-model calculations.

@ From adopted values.

& Band(A): ( $v f_{7/2}$ )<sup>2</sup> multiplet.

<sup>a</sup> Band(B): possible ( $v h_{9/2}$ )<sup>2</sup> multiplet.

<sup>b</sup> Band(C): 8<sup>+</sup> isomer, conf=(( $v h_{9/2}$ )( $v f_{7/2}$ ))<sub>8+</sub>.

<sup>c</sup> Band(D): ( $v f_{7/2}$ )<sup>2</sup> $\otimes$ ( $\pi h_{11/2}$ )<sub>10+</sub><sup>2</sup> multiplet.

<sup>d</sup> Band(E): Two-phonon-octupole ( $J^\pi=6^+$ )–based excitations.

 $\gamma(^{156}\text{Hf})$ 

The angular-distribution ratio, R<sub>ang</sub>, is defined as R<sub>ang</sub>=I $\gamma(\approx 180^\circ)$ /I $\gamma(\approx 90^\circ)$ . These data presumably give information regarding the mult of the respective transition, but [2005Se11](#) do not list the range of values which corresponds to the various mults. I(K $\alpha$  x ray)=79 10, I(K $\beta$  x ray)=31 6.

E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub>	I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup><math>\pi</math></sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup><math>\pi</math></sup>	Comments
<sup>x</sup> 133.5	12 3					R <sub>ang</sub> =1.6 7.
180.4	21 2	3996.9	(14 <sup>+</sup> )	3816.5 (12 <sup>+</sup> )		R <sub>ang</sub> =1.3 4.
206.7	23 3	5019.3		4812.6 (16 <sup>+</sup> )		R <sub>ang</sub> =0.66 21.
208.5	10 2	4592.5		4384.0 (14 <sup>+</sup> )		
218.0	12.6 17	4482.5	(16 <sup>+</sup> )	4264.5 14 <sup>+</sup>		R <sub>ang</sub> =1.2 5.
<sup>x</sup> 255.0	8.4 15					
311.0	38 3	3189.6	11 <sup>-</sup>	2878.2 10 <sup>+</sup>		R <sub>ang</sub> =0.78 18.
<sup>x</sup> 317.3	13 2					R <sub>ang</sub> =0.51 19.
<sup>x</sup> 388.3	9.7 18					
415.0	35 3	2000.2	6 <sup>+</sup>	1585.2 4 <sup>+</sup>		R <sub>ang</sub> =1.06 25.
<sup>x</sup> 428.8	8.4 19					
<sup>x</sup> 434.1	18 2					
<sup>x</sup> 469.4	15 2					
480.2	24 3	3816.5	(12 <sup>+</sup> )	3336.7 (10 <sup>+</sup> )		R <sub>ang</sub> =1.0 3.
<sup>x</sup> 524.6	9 2					
547.6	20 2	2547.8	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	2000.2 6 <sup>+</sup>		R <sub>ang</sub> =1.9 7.
548.1	15 3	4812.6	(16 <sup>+</sup> )	4264.5 14 <sup>+</sup>		
567.5	18 3	4384.0	(14 <sup>+</sup> )	3816.5 (12 <sup>+</sup> )		
<sup>x</sup> 579.3	10 3					R <sub>ang</sub> =0.9 3.
586.2	50 5	4264.5	14 <sup>+</sup>	3678.3 12 <sup>+</sup>		R <sub>ang</sub> =1.9 5.
<sup>x</sup> 591.8	16 3					R <sub>ang</sub> =1.5 6.
597		1454.2	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	857.2 2 <sup>+</sup>		
<sup>x</sup> 600.4	26 4					
626.5	17 3	3816.5	(12 <sup>+</sup> )	3189.6 11 <sup>-</sup>		R <sub>ang</sub> =2.3 7.
636.4	18 3	2221.6	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	1585.2 4 <sup>+</sup>		R <sub>ang</sub> =0.7 3.
<sup>x</sup> 673.8	13 3					
728.0	80 5	1585.2	4 <sup>+</sup>	857.2 2 <sup>+</sup>		R <sub>ang</sub> =0.84 17.
<sup>x</sup> 779.7	9 2					
<sup>x</sup> 788.0	14 3					
800.1	100 6	3678.3	12 <sup>+</sup>	2878.2 10 <sup>+</sup>		R <sub>ang</sub> =1.4 3.
<sup>x</sup> 818.4	15 3					Placement is that shown on the level scheme of <a href="#">2005Se11</a> . In their table of $\gamma$ -ray properties, they show it as a 10 <sup>+</sup> $\rightarrow$ 8 <sup>+</sup> transition.
857.2	100 19	857.2	2 <sup>+</sup>	0 0 <sup>+</sup>		R <sub>ang</sub> =1.01 19.
912.3	29 4	4590.6		3678.3 12 <sup>+</sup>		
918.8	77 6	2878.2	10 <sup>+</sup>	1959 8 <sup>+</sup>		R <sub>ang</sub> =1.6 4.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{102}\text{Pd}(^{58}\text{Ni},2\text{p}2\text{n}\gamma)$     2005Se11 (continued) $\gamma(^{156}\text{Hf})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
1230.7	15 3	3189.6	11 <sup>-</sup>	1959	8 <sup>+</sup>	Placement is that shown on the level scheme of 2005Se11. In their table of $\gamma$ -ray properties, they show it as a $12^+ \rightarrow 10^+$ transition.
<sup>x</sup> 1317.8	11 3					Mult.: Assigned as E3 by 2005Se11, but no basis given for it.
1378.0	25 4	3336.7	(10 <sup>+</sup> )	1959	8 <sup>+</sup>	$R_{\text{ang}}=1.1$ 4.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{102}\text{Pd}(^{58}\text{Ni},2\text{p}2\text{n}\gamma)$  2005Se11

## Legend

## Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative  $I_\gamma$ 

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$



