#### <sup>154</sup>Gd(t,p) 1989Lo07

History							
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date				
Full Evaluation	C. W. Reich	NDS 113, 2537 (2012)	1-Mar-2012				

Additional information 1.  $^{154}$ Gd(t,p), E(t)=17 MeV. Enriched (66.53%) metallic self-supporting targets having thicknesses of  $\approx 150 \ \mu g/cm^2$ . Outgoing protons were detected at laboratory angles ranging from  $6.5^{\circ}$  to  $70^{\circ}$  using an Enge split-pole spectrograph with photographic emulsions. typical FWHM=25 keV. A Si surface-barrier detector was placed at 30° in the target chamber to record elastically scattered tritons to obtain absolute cross sections. Report L-transfer values and  $d\sigma/d\Omega$ .

<sup>156</sup> Gd Levels	

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	L#	s <sup>@&amp;</sup>	Comments		
0 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	$0^{+}$	0	290			
$90^{a}$ 7	2+		11			
291 <sup>a</sup> 7	4+		6			
587 <mark>a</mark> 7	6+		3			
1050 <mark>b</mark> 7	$0^{+}$	0	3			
1151 <sup>°</sup> 7	2+		3	S: Value at 60°.		
1168 <sup>d</sup> 7	$0^{+}$	0	50			
1257 <sup>d</sup> 7	2+		5			
1278 <sup>e</sup> 7	3-		12			
1301 <sup>b</sup> 7	4+		5			
1360 <sup>°</sup> 7	4+		6			
1403 <sup>e</sup> 7	5-		2			
1465 <b>d</b> 7	4+		7			
1595 7			1	S: Value at $60^{\circ}$ .		
1706 7	$0^{+}$	(0)	2			
1754 7	6+		2			
1854 7	$0^+, 3^-$		6	$J^{\pi}$ : Values for the two possible levels which may be associated with this peak.		
1923 7			3			
1963 7	1-		2			
2027 7	3-	(0)	5	$J^{\pi}$ : From L=(0), $J^{\pi}=0^+$ is inferred.		
2051 7	$2^{+}$		4	$J^{\pi}$ : Can be associated with two levels, each of which has $J^{\pi}=2^+$ .		
2082 7	$0^{+}$		5			
2170 7		(0)	18	$J^{\pi}$ : Can be associated with levels having $J^{\pi}=1^-$ or $2^+$ . From L=(0), $J^{\pi}=(0^+)$ is inferred.		
2261 7	1-		6			
2305 7			5			
2377 7			7			
2441 7			3	S: Value at $60^{\circ}$ .		
2560 7			5			
2602 7	$(1^{-})$		6			
2657 7			4			
2762 7			5			
2806 7	$(2^{+})$		7			

<sup>†</sup> The uncertainties have been assigned by the evaluator on the basis of the authors' general statement that they are  $\approx$ 7 keV.

<sup> $\ddagger$ </sup> From adopted values. In associating the (t,p) levels with the Adopted Levels for the purpose of assigning J<sup> $\pi$ </sup> values, where there is ambiguity in which adopted level corresponds to a given (t,p) level, the evaluator has been guided by the observation that "natural-parity" states are preferentially excited in this reaction. where an association cannot be reasonably made, no value is listed.

<sup>#</sup> Although many  $p(\theta)$  curves are shown, the only assignments given by the authors are for L=0 transfers.

<sup>@</sup> Label= $d\sigma/d\Omega(\mu b/sr)$ .

### <sup>154</sup>Gd(t,p) 1989Lo07 (continued)

## <sup>156</sup>Gd Levels (continued)

- & Values at  $\theta$ =30°, unless noted otherwise. Relative cross sections have uncertainties of  $\approx$ 10% for strong peaks. The absolute cross sections are believed to have uncertainties of  $\approx 20\%$ . <sup>*a*</sup> Band(A): K<sup> $\pi$ </sup>=0<sup>+</sup>, g.s. band.
- <sup>*b*</sup> Band(B): First excited  $K^{\pi}=0^+$  band.
- <sup>*c*</sup> Band(C):  $\gamma$ -vibrational band.
- <sup>d</sup> Band(D):  $K^{\pi}=0^+$  band.
- <sup>*e*</sup> Band(E): Member of the  $K^{\pi}=1^{-}$  octupole band.

# <sup>154</sup>Gd(t,p) 1989Lo07

					Band(D): $K^{\pi}=0^+$ band			
					4+	1465	Band(E): Met $K^{\pi}=1^{-}$ octu	mber of the pole band
	Band(B): First excited K <sup>π</sup> =0 <sup>+</sup> band		Band(C): γ-vibrational band				5-	1403
			<u>4</u> +	1360				
	<b>4</b> <sup>+</sup>	1301					_	
					<u>2</u> <sup>+</sup>	1257	3-	1278
			2+	1151	<u>0</u> +	1168		
Band(A): K <sup>π</sup> =0 <sup>+</sup> , g.s. band	0+	1050						
6+ 587								

4+ 291

<u>0+ 0</u>

<u>2+ 90</u>

<sup>156</sup><sub>64</sub>Gd<sub>92</sub>