

---

 $^{159}\text{Hf } \alpha$  decay

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 160, 1 (2019)	21-Oct-2019

Parent:  $^{159}\text{Hf}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=(7/2^-)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=5.20$  s *I*0;  $Q(\alpha)=5225.1$  27; % $\alpha$  decay=16 4

$^{159}\text{Hf}$ - $T_{1/2}$ : Additional information 1.

$^{159}\text{Hf}$ - $Q(\alpha)$ : Additional information 2.

$^{159}\text{Hf}$ -% $\alpha$  decay: From weighted average of the following % $\alpha$  values: 16 5 ([1996Pa01](#)); 27 6 ([1978Ca11](#)); and 12 4 ([1979Ho10](#)). [1979Ho10](#) quote an uncertainty of 1 for their value, but the evaluator has increased it so that it contributes no more than 50% to the total weight in the weighted average.

Additional information 3.

Production:  $^{107}\text{Ag}$ ( $^{58}\text{Ni},3\text{p}3\text{n}$ ), E=263 MeV ([1979Ho10](#)).  $^{144}\text{Sm}$ ( $^{20}\text{Ne},5\text{n}$ ), E=120 MeV and 143 MeV ([1973To02](#)).  $^{127}\text{I}$ , E( $^{127}\text{I}$ )=711 MeV, on Ca target. Decay product of  $^{163}\text{Ta}$  production ([1992Ha10](#)).  $^{58}\text{Ni}$  (E( $^{58}\text{Ni}$ )=290 MeV) bombardment of  $^{102}\text{Pd}$  ([1996Pa01](#)).

The evaluator has assumed that the  $\alpha$  transition connects the two ground states.

 $^{155}\text{Yb}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$	$T_{1/2}$
0.0	( $7/2^-$ )	1.739 s <i>I</i> 9

 $\alpha$  radiations

$E\alpha$	E(level)	Comments
5094 5	0.0	$E\alpha$ : weighted average of: 5095 5 ( <a href="#">1979Ho10</a> ); 5088 6 ( <a href="#">1992Ha10</a> ); and 5098 5 ( <a href="#">1996Pa01</a> ). <a href="#">1973To02</a> report $E\alpha(\text{MeV})=5.09$ <i>I</i> ; <a href="#">1983Fa03</a> report $E\alpha(\text{MeV})=5.11$ , with no uncertainty listed. HF: if % $I\alpha=100$ then HF=0.71 <i>I</i> 8 (calculated for nuclear radius parameter $r_0(^{155}\text{Yb})=1.555$ <i>I</i> 0 deduced from interpolation (or unweighted average) of radius parameters of the adjacent even-even nuclides).