

¹⁵⁵Er ε decay 1980Bu25

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 160, 1 (2019)	21-Oct-2019

Parent: ¹⁵⁵Er: E=0.0; J^π=7/2⁻; T_{1/2}=5.3 min 3; Q(ε)=3830 18; %ε+%β⁺ decay=99.978 7

Source produced by 660-MeV proton spallation of Ta, followed by mass separation. Measured E_γ, I_γ, γγ coincidence, γce coincidence, Ice (magnetic spectrometer).

The decay scheme is not sufficiently complete to allow calculation of the γ-ray normalization factor. For example, if one assumes log ft>5.9 for the g.s. ε+β⁺ branch, then I(ε+β⁺ g.s.)<10%. From I(K x ray)=843 60, fluorescence yield=0.943 21, I(ce(K))=231 35 and ε(K)/(ε+β⁺)=0.55 one obtains I_γ normalization=0.083 10. This I_γ normalization value yields I(ε+β⁺ g.s.)=59% 5 and log ft=5.1. These values are quite inconsistent with the required log ft>5.9.

¹⁵⁵Ho Levels

E(level)	J ^π	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0	5/2 ⁺	48 min 2	
110.16 6	7/2 ⁺	<0.7 ns	T _{1/2} : from 1990AbZS (and also 1990AbZW), ceγ(t).
141.97 11	11/2 ⁻		
201.00 9	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺		
230.28 12	9/2 ⁻		
233.94 8	5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻		
241.44 12	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺		
344.94 18	(9/2 ⁺)		
388.95 13			
418.98 12			
451.12 21			
470.64 17			
529.48 14			
565.20 23			
653.05 14			
682.88 24			

γ(¹⁵⁵Ho)

Additional information 1.

E _γ	I _γ [†]	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. [‡]	α [@]	Comments
31.7 1	0.17 5	141.97	11/2 ⁻	110.16	7/2 ⁺	M2	998 21	α(L)=759 16; α(M)=188 4 α(N)=44.1 9; α(O)=6.01 13; α(P)=0.259 6 I _γ : calculated from Ice(L1+L2+L3)=133 40 (1980Bu25) and α(L). 1980Bu25 report I _γ =0.27. Requiring an exact intensity balance at the 142.0 level (that is, I(γ+ce)(31.7γ)=I(γ+ce)(88.2γ)=114, assuming no ε+β ⁺ feeding of this level) yields I _γ (31.7γ)=0.11. Mult.: deduced from comparison of measured L-subshell ratios with theoretical values.
88.2 1	23.5 8	230.28	9/2 ⁻	141.97	11/2 ⁻	M1	3.75	α(K)=3.15 5; α(L)=0.469 7; α(M)=0.1034 15 α(N)=0.0240 4; α(O)=0.00349 5; α(P)=0.000195 3
^x 91.6 3	3.7 5							
110.12 7	100	110.16	7/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	M1	1.98	α(K)=1.665 24; α(L)=0.247 4; α(M)=0.0545 8 α(N)=0.01267 18; α(O)=0.00184 3; α(P)=0.0001032 15

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{155}Er ε decay **1980Bu25** (continued) $\gamma(^{155}\text{Ho})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ‡	α^\oplus	Comments
123.8 1	27 1	233.94	5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻	110.16	7/2 ⁺	E1	0.179	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.1500$ 22; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0230$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00506$ 8 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.001156$ 17; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0001580$ 23; $\alpha(\text{P})=7.05\times 10^{-6}$ 10
131.2 3	2.6 7	241.44	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺	110.16	7/2 ⁺			
147.6 3	2.8 7	388.95		241.44	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺			
^x 157.0 3	3.0 7							
^x 164.7 3	2.9 7							
185.1 1	19.5 7	418.98		233.94	5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻	M1	0.458	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.385$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0567$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.01251$ 18 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00291$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000423$ 6; $\alpha(\text{P})=2.38\times 10^{-5}$ 4
188.1 2	5.0 6	388.95		201.00	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺			
^x 193.6 1	10.7 6							
201.1 1	26.0 10	201.00	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	M1	0.364	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.306$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0450$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00993$ 14 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00231$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000336$ 5; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.89\times 10^{-5}$ 3
221.1 2	21 5	451.12		230.28	9/2 ⁻	(M1)	0.280	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.236$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0346$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00764$ 11 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00177$ 3; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000258$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.455\times 10^{-5}$ 21
229.2 3	15 4	470.64		241.44	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺			
234.0 1	40.0 18	233.94	5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻	0.0	5/2 ⁺	[E1] [#]	0.0335	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0282$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00410$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000899$ 13 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000207$ 3; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.91\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.438\times 10^{-6}$ 21
234.8 2	19.2 18	344.94	(9/2 ⁺)	110.16	7/2 ⁺	M1 [#]	0.238	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.200$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0293$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00647$ 10 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.001503$ 22; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000219$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.234\times 10^{-5}$ 18
241.5 2	65 7	241.44	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	M1	0.220	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.186$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0272$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00599$ 9 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.001391$ 20; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000203$ 3; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.142\times 10^{-5}$ 17
264.4 4	2.5 8	653.05		388.95				
278.3 5	1.9 9	388.95		110.16	7/2 ⁺			
^x 283.6 4	1.9 7							
288.2 2	5.8 7	529.48		241.44	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺			
295.6 5	1.9 7	529.48		233.94	5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻			E_γ : in their table of E_γ values, 1980Bu25 give $E_\gamma=295.2$, whereas, on their decay scheme, they show $E_\gamma=295.6$.
298.8 2	7.6 9	529.48		230.28	9/2 ⁻			
308.2 3	3.2 7	418.98		110.16	7/2 ⁺			
322.9 3	3.9 9	565.20		241.44	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺			
328.7 2	12.7 15	529.48		201.00	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺			
^x 333.8 2	6.8 13							
^x 339.6 3	5.3 14							
344.9 3	4.6 16	344.94	(9/2 ⁺)	0.0	5/2 ⁺			
^x 352.0 5	2.2 10							

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{155}Er ε decay **1980Bu25** (continued) $\gamma(^{155}\text{Ho})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	E_f	J_f^π	E_γ	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
^x 358.6 3	14.6 17				422.7 1	20.2 13	653.05		230.28	9/2 ⁻
360.9 6	≈3	470.64	110.16	7/2 ⁺	450.1 4	6.1 18	451.12		0.0	5/2 ⁺
^x 373.3 5	2.7 11				452.6 2	24.1 20	682.88		230.28	9/2 ⁻
^x 385.9 3	4.4 10				455.9 3	7.4 13	565.20		110.16	7/2 ⁺
388.9 2	8.7 10	388.95	0.0	5/2 ⁺	470.6 2	12.8 14	470.64		0.0	5/2 ⁺
^x 397.0 3	5.0 11				^x 475.5 3	5.6 13				
^x 399.7 5	3.4 11				^x 511.0 2	15 7				
^x 404.8 7	1.8 10				^x 512.2 2	37 7				
412.1 3	4.8 9	653.05	241.44	3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺ , 7/2 ⁺	^x 557.1 9	≈5				

[†] I(Ho K x rays)=843 60, relative to $I_\gamma(110.12\gamma)=100$.

[‡] Deduced from comparison of $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ with $\alpha(\text{K})$, unless otherwise noted.

The K-lines of the 234.0 and 234.8 γ 's were not resolved in the conversion-electron spectrum of **1980Bu25**. Assuming an E1 multipolarity for the 234.0 γ and subtracting its contribution to the composite peak, the evaluator deduces $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}(234.8\gamma)=0.54$ 13 (using a reasonable estimate of 20% for the uncertainty of the intensity of the K-electron peak). **1980Bu25** estimate $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}(234.8\gamma)\approx 0.4$ using this procedure and conclude that the 234.8 γ is M1. Our deduced value is over twice the $\alpha(\text{K})$ value for an M1 transition of this energy which excludes E1 and still favors M1 over M1+E2.

@ [Additional information 2](#).

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{155}Er ϵ decay 1980Bu25

Decay Scheme

Legend

Intensities: Relative I_γ

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$

$^{155}\text{Er}_{87}$ $7/2^-$ 0.0 5.3 min 3
 $Q_\epsilon = 3830.18$
 $\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+ = 99.978$

