

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 200.2 (2025)	22-Aug-2022

$Q(\beta^-) = -10270$ syst; $S(n) = 10840$ syst; $S(p) = 3248$ 21; $Q(\alpha) = 5474.3$ 17 [2021Wa16](#)

$\Delta Q(\beta^-) = 200$, $\Delta S(n) = 200$ (syst, [2021Wa16](#)).

$S(2n) = 19800$ 150, $S(2p) = 4010$ 19, $Q(ep) = 3246$ 20 ([2021Wa16](#)).

Additional information 1.

The information on ^{154}Yb comes primarily from the study of the $^{154}\text{Lu} \varepsilon$ decay ([1988Vi02](#)) and the in-beam studies ([1993Zh10](#) and [1996Zh09](#)). These latter studies make extensive use of multiparticle shell-model calculations to elucidate the properties of the ^{154}Yb levels.

 ^{154}Yb Levels

α -particle emission from excited levels between 3 and 6 MeV in ^{154}Yb populated in the $^{154}\text{Lu} \varepsilon$ decay has been reported by [1988Vi02](#). In addition, these authors report proton emission from ^{154}Yb states between 6.5 and 9 MeV excitation energy. They measure $I_\alpha = 0.03\%$ and $I_p = 0.06\%$. These values were determined from the measured α and proton intensities, respectively, relative to $I\gamma(821.3)(1+\alpha)$, which they assume represents 100% of the ^{154}Lu decays.

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

A	$^{154}\text{Lu} \varepsilon$ decay
B	$^{158}\text{Hf} \alpha$ decay
C	$^{106}\text{Cd}(\text{Fe},\alpha 2\gamma)$

E(level) [†]	J [‡]	T _{1/2}	XREF	Comments
0	0 ⁺ #@	0.409 s 2	A B C	% $\varepsilon + \% \beta^+ = 7.4$ 12; % $\alpha = 92.6$ 12 $\Delta \langle r^2 \rangle (^{152}\text{Yb} - ^{154}\text{Yb}) = 0.357$ fm ² 67 and $\Delta \langle r^2 \rangle (^{154}\text{Yb} - ^{156}\text{Yb}) = 0.274$ fm ² 60 (from tabulation in 1994Ma57 and based on data of 1989Sp04 which is only reported in plots); others: see graphs of 1989Sp04 and 1991Ho22 . From an evaluation of data on nuclear rms charge radii, 2013An02 report $\langle r^2 \rangle^{1/2} = 5.088$ fm 11.
821.3 2	(2 ⁺)#@		A C	T _{1/2} : From 1996Pa01 , $\alpha(t)$. Others: 0.39 s 4 (1964Ma45), 0.42 s 2 (1977Ha48), 0.33 s 4 (1978AfZZ), and 0.41 s 3 (1979Ho10) all from $\alpha(t)$; and 0.42 s 5 (1988Vi02) from $\gamma(t)$ in $^{154}\text{Yb} \varepsilon$ decay. Note that a weighted average of all six values gives 0.409 s 2 with a reduced- χ^2 value of 0.92, the same result for T _{1/2} .
1516.0 3	(4 ⁺)#@		A C	% α : Weighted average of: 92.2 (1996Pa01); 92.8 20 (1988Vi02) (from $^{154}\text{Lu} \varepsilon$ decay); and 93.2 (1979Ho10). Other: 91.18 (1989Wo02).
1949.6 4	(6 ⁺)#@		A C	E $\alpha = 5330.9$ 17 (from 2013Ba31 evaluation recommended by 1991Ry01).
2046.2 4	(8 ⁺)#&	28 ns 2	A C	T _{1/2} : From $\gamma\gamma(t)$ in $^{106}\text{Cd}(\text{Fe},\alpha 2\gamma)$ (1993Zh10). 1988Vi02 report 45 ns 10, from $\gamma\gamma(t)$ in $^{154}\text{Lu} \varepsilon$ decay.
2914.7	(10 ⁺)# <i>a</i>		C	
3228.5	(11 ⁻)		C	J [‡] : The observed decay mode (a single γ transition to the (10 ⁺) level) is consistent with J [‡] =(11 ⁻). Possible octupole vibration built on the (8 ⁺) level (1993Zh10).
3696.4	(12 ⁺)# <i>a</i>		C	
4318.8	(14 ⁺)# <i>a</i>		C	
4479.1	(16 ⁺)# <i>b</i>	18.6 ns 15	C	T _{1/2} : From $\gamma(t_{rf})$ for the 622, 782 and 869 γ 's in $^{106}\text{Cd}(\text{Fe},\alpha 2\gamma)$.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) **^{154}Yb Levels (continued)**

E(level) [†]	J [‡]	XREF	Comments
4608.2	(16 ⁺) ^a	C	J ^π : Maximum-aligned state of the (π h _{11/2}) ₁₀₊ ² (ν f _{7/2}) ² configuration (1993Zh10).
4996.1	(17 ⁺) ^{#b}	C	
5178.0	(18 ⁺) ^{#b}	C	
5370.1		C	
5382.8		C	
5517.5		C	
5537.2		C	
5738.2		C	
5878.1		C	
6178.2		C	
6283.3	(20 ⁺) [#]	C	
6342.9	(20)	C	
6666.3		C	
6796.5	(21 ⁺) [#]	C	
6984.5	(22 ⁺) [#]	C	
7187.2	(22)	C	
7245.9		C	
7439.6		C	
7609.9	(24) [#]	C	

[†] Computed from the listed γ -ray energies.

[‡] From systematics of the yrast levels in the N=84 nuclides and theoretical calculations in $^{106}\text{Cd}(\text{Fe},\alpha 2\text{p}\gamma)$ dataset ([1996Zh09](#), [1993Zh10](#)). There are only three multipolarity values measured in this dataset, therefore the proposed J^π values are highly tentative.

[#] yrast sequence of positive-parity levels.

^a Configuration=((π h_{11/2})⁶(ν f_{7/2})²).

^b Configuration=((π h_{11/2})⁶(ν h_{9/2})²(ν f_{7/2})).

^a Configuration=((π h_{11/2})⁴0₊(π h_{11/2})²10₊(ν f_{7/2})²).

^b Configuration=((π h_{11/2})⁴0₊(π h_{11/2})²10₊(ν h_{9/2})²(ν f_{7/2})).

 $\gamma(^{154}\text{Yb})$

E _i (level)	J ^π _i	E _γ [†]	I _γ	E _f	J ^π _f	Mult.	$\alpha^{\#}$	Comments
821.3	(2 ⁺)	821.3 [‡] 2	100	0	0 ⁺	[E2]		
1516.0	(4 ⁺)	694.7 [‡] 2	100	821.3 (2 ⁺)	[E2]			
1949.6	(6 ⁺)	433.6 [‡] 2	100	1516.0 (4 ⁺)	[E2]	0.0259		
2046.2	(8 ⁺)	96.6 [‡] 2	100	1949.6 (6 ⁺)	E2	3.67	B(E2)(W.u.)=10.5 +8-7 Mult.: From $\alpha_K(\text{exp})=1.3$ 3 in ^{154}Lu ε decay (1988Vi02).	
2914.7	(10 ⁺)	868.5	100	2046.2 (8 ⁺)				
3228.5	(11 ⁻)	313.8	100	2914.7 (10 ⁺)				
3696.4	(12 ⁺)	781.7	100	2914.7 (10 ⁺)				
4318.8	(14 ⁺)	622.4	100	3696.4 (12 ⁺)				
4479.1	(16 ⁺)	160.3	100	4318.8 (14 ⁺)	(E2)	0.571	B(E2)(W.u.)=3.73 +34-29 Mult.: From intensity balance and level T _{1/2} in $^{106}\text{Cd}(\text{Fe},\alpha 2\text{p}\gamma)$.	
4608.2	(16 ⁺)	129.1	100	4479.1 (16 ⁺)				
4996.1	(17 ⁺)	517.0	100	4479.1 (16 ⁺)				
5178.0	(18 ⁺)	181.8		4996.1 (17 ⁺)	(M1)	0.620	Mult.: From $^{106}\text{Cd}(\text{Fe},\alpha 2\text{p}\gamma)$.	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) $\gamma(^{154}\text{Yb})$ (continued)

E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_γ^\dagger	I_γ	E_f	J_f^π	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_γ^\dagger	I_γ	E_f	J_f^π
5178.0	(18 ⁺)	698.9		4479.1	(16 ⁺)	6666.3		323.4		6342.9	(20)
5370.1		374.0	100	4996.1	(17 ⁺)			383.0		6283.3	(20 ⁺)
5382.8		903.7	100	4479.1	(16 ⁺)	6796.5	(21 ⁺)	513.2	100	6283.3	(20 ⁺)
5517.5		339.5	100	5178.0	(18 ⁺)	6984.5	(22 ⁺)	188.0		6796.5	(21 ⁺)
5537.2		1058.1	100	4479.1	(16 ⁺)			318.3		6666.3	
5738.2		560.2	100	5178.0	(18 ⁺)	7187.2	(22)	390.7	100	6796.5	(21 ⁺)
5878.1		139.9	100	5738.2		7245.9		579.6	100	6666.3	
6178.2		300.1	100	5878.1		7439.6		1156.3	100	6283.3	(20 ⁺)
6283.3	(20 ⁺)	545.1	100	5738.2		7609.9	(24)	625.4	100	6984.5	(22 ⁺)
6342.9	(20)	604.7	100	5738.2							

[†] From [1993Zh10](#) in $^{106}\text{Cd}(^{54}\text{Fe},\alpha 2\text{p}\gamma)$, unless noted otherwise.

[‡] From ^{154}Lu ε decay ([1988Vi02](#)).

Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with “Frozen Orbitals” approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

Adopted Levels, GammasLevel Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

