		History	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 200,2 (2025)	22-Aug-2022

 $Q(\beta^{-})=-4495 \ 14$; S(n)=8526 19; S(p)=1249 17; Q(α)=5093.8 26 2021Wa16

S(2n)=18850 60, S(2p)=5400 19, Q(\varepsilon p)=3296 15 (2021Wa16).

Additional information 1. Data are primarily from ¹⁴⁴Sm(¹⁴N,4n γ), with minor additions from ¹⁵⁴Yb ε decay, ¹⁵⁴Tm α decay (8.1 s), and ¹⁵⁴Tm α decay (3.30 s).

¹⁵⁴Tm Levels

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

 $^{154}\mathrm{Yb}\;\varepsilon$ decay A

В

 $^{158}\text{Lu }\alpha \text{ decay}$ $^{144}\text{Sm}(^{14}\text{N},4n\gamma)$ С

E(level) ^{†‡}	$J^{\pi \#}$	T _{1/2}	XREF	Comments
0	(2 ⁻)	8.1 s <i>3</i>	ABC	$\% \alpha = 54 5; \ \% \varepsilon + \ \% \beta^+ = 46 5$ $\mu = -1.14 2; \ O = +0.4 9$
				From an evaluation of data on nuclear rms charge radii, 2014An02 report
				$< r^2 > 1/2 = 5.076 \text{ fm } 17.$
				$\Delta < r^2 > ({}^{169}\text{Tm} - {}^{154}\text{Tm}(\text{J}=2)) = 1.486 \text{ fm}^2 19 (2000\text{Ba16}).$
				J^{π} : 0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻ from feeding by E1 γ from 1 ⁺ level. (2) ⁻ more likely from intense α
				decay branch with hindrance factor HF=2.6 5 to $(2)^{-1}$ g.s. of ¹⁵⁰ Ho daughter.
				Suggested configuration is $(\pi d_{3/2})(\nu f_{7/2})$ coupled to 2 (1997/Da07). T _{1/2} : Weighted average of 7.6 s 5 (1978AfZZ) and 8.3 s 3 (1982Bo04). Other: 5 s 1 (1964Ma45)
				$\% \alpha$: From 1997To12; other: 44% 15 (1979Ho10).
				$E\alpha$ =4956 3 (from 2013Ba31 evaluation, measured by 1997To12).
				μ : From 2000Ba16. This is also the value listed by 2019StZV.
				Q: From 2000Ba16. This is also the value listed by 2016St14.
133.2 2	1^{+}		Α	J^{π} : From log <i>ft</i> of the ε transition from the ¹⁵⁴ Yb g.s. ($J^{\pi}=0^+$).
0+x [@]	9+	3.30 s 7	С	$\%\alpha = 58 5; \% IT = ?; \%\varepsilon + \%\beta^+ = 42 5$
				$\mu = +5.915; Q = -0.24$
				Additional information 2.
				$\Delta < r^2 > (109 \text{ Tm} - 154 \text{ Tm} (J=9)) = 1.522 \text{ fm}^2 15 (2000 \text{Bal6}).$
				E(level): Although not firmly established, 19/9Ho10 suggest this is an isomer, and
				the 8.1-s activity is the ground state. Based on α energies for decay to 10 Ho isomers, 1992Po14 report this level is at 450 keV and the daughter (9 ⁺) level in
				150 Ho is at 370 keV. 2021Ko07 list this energy as 70 50.
				J^{π} : From fast α decay (HF ≈ 1.5) to a level in ¹⁵⁰ Ho that is the proposed (9 ⁺) isomer.
				T _{1/2} : Weighted average of 2.98 s 20 (1964Ma45), 3.2 s 1 (1978AfZZ), and 3.35 s 5 (1982Bo04) from α decay. Others: 3.4 s (1984ToZT) and 3 s (1973BoVZ) from ε decay.
				$\%\alpha$: From 1997To12.
				$E\alpha$ =5031 3 (from 2013Ba31 evaluation, measured by 19971612).
				%11: The 11 decay has not been observed.
				μ . From 2000Ba16. This is also the value listed by 20155L2 v.
$266.10 + x^a 17$	(10^{+})		С	J^{π} : γ to 9 ⁺ level, γ from (12 ⁺) level and placement a in likely $\Delta J=2$ cascade.
745.12+x 9	11+		c	J^{π} : E2 γ to 9 ⁺ level.
751.79+x [@] 10	11^{+}		С	J^{π} : E2 γ to 9 ⁺ level.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

¹⁵⁴Tm Levels (continued)

E(level) ^{†‡}	$J^{\pi \#}$	XREF	Comments		
1035.09+x ^{<i>a</i>} 16	(12^{+})	С	J^{π} : γ to 11 ⁺ level, γ from 14 ⁺ level and placement in a likely $\Delta J=2$ cascade.		
1134.98+x [@] 13	13+	С	J^{π} : E2 γ to 11 ⁺ level.		
1323.34+x <i>13</i>	13+	С	J^{π} : E2 γ to 11 ⁺ level.		
1674.06+x ^{<i>a</i>} 14	14^{+}	С	J^{π} : M1+E2 γ to 13 ⁺ level.		
1814.81+x [@] 19	15^{+}	С	J^{π} : E2 γ to 13 ⁺ level.		
2145.25+x ^a 16	16+	С	J^{π} : E2 γ to 14 ⁺ level.		
2424.55+x 17	15^{+}	С	J^{π} : M1+E2 γ to 16 ⁺ level and γ to 13 ⁺ level.		
2453.99+x 25	16^{+}	С	J^{π} : M1+E2 γ to 15 ⁺ level.		
2514.42+x 18	16+	С	J^{π} : M1+E2 γ to 15 ⁺ level.		
2616.74+x 20	17^{+}	С	J^{π} : M1+E2 γ to 16 ⁺ level.		
2742.65+x 22	19+	С	J^{π} : E2 γ to 17 ⁺ level.		
_			Possible isomer from 2002Fo07 in 144 Sm(14 N,4n γ) dataset.		
2750.37+x [@] 26	17^{+}	С	J^{π} : E2 γ to 15 ⁺ level.		
2881.58+x 27		С			
3240.45+x <i>31</i>		С			
3409.96+x 30	19+	С	J^{π} : M1+E2 γ from 20 ⁺ level.		
3420.87+x 29	20^{+}	С	J^{π} : M1+E2 γ to 19 ⁺ level.		
3471.61+x [@] 34	19+	С	J^{π} : E2 γ to 17 ⁺ level.		
3740.45+x 30	20^{+}	С	J^{π} : M1+E2 γ to 19 ⁺ level.		
4056.99+x 29	22^{+}	С	J^{π} : E2 γ to 20 ⁺ level.		
4090.77+x 29		С			
4486.26+x <i>30</i>	23+	С	J^{π} : M1+E2 γ to 22 ⁺ level.		
4498.11+x ^{&} 30	21^{+}	С	J^{π} : M1+E2 γ to 20 ⁺ level.		
4667.9+x 4		С			
4865.7+x 5		С			
4996.80+x ^{&} 30	23+	С	J^{π} : E2 γ to 21 ⁺ level.		
5208.80+x ^{&} 32	25+	С	E(level): The ordering of the 166.9-765.5-212.0 cascade is tentative due to similar intensities of the γ rays involved. Thus the intermediate levels at 5208.8+x and 5974.2+x may be at different energies. J^{π} : E2 γ to 23 ⁺ level.		
5974.3+x <i>4</i>		С	E(level): The ordering of the 166.9-765.5-212.0 cascade is tentative due to similar intensities of the γ rays involved. Thus the intermediate levels at 5208.8+x and 5974.2+x may be at different energies.		
6141.2+x 4		С			

[†] Additional information 3. [‡] For the levels above the 9⁺ level, the values are from a least-squares fit to the γ energies as given in the heavy-ion data set. [#] Additional information 4. [@] Seq.(A): γ cascade based on 9⁺ level.

 $\gamma(^{154}\text{Tm})$

[&] Seq.(B): γ cascade based on 21⁺ level.

^{*a*} Seq.(C): γ cascade based on (10⁺) level.

E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	E_{γ}	Iγ	E_f	\mathbf{J}_{f}^{π}	Mult. [‡]	α [@]
133.2 266.10+x 745.12+x 751.79+x 1035.09+x	$1^{+} (10^{+}) \\ 11^{+} \\ 11^{+} \\ (12^{+})$	133.2 2 266.1 2 745.1 <i>I</i> 751.8 <i>I</i> 290.0 2 769.0 3	100 100 100 100 65 100	0 0+x 0+x 745.12+x 266.10+x	(2 ⁻) 9 ⁺ 9 ⁺ 9 ⁺ 11 ⁺ (10 ⁺)	E1 [#] E2 E2	0.1562

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

$\gamma(^{154}\text{Tm})$ (continued)

E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	Eγ	I_{γ}	E_f	\mathbf{J}_f^{π}	Mult. [‡]	Comments
1134.98+x	13^{+}	383.2 1	100	751.79+x	11+	E2	
1323.34+x	13+	578.2 1	100	745.12+x	11^{+}	E2	
1674.06+x	14^{+}	350.7 1	100	1323.34+x	13+	M1+E2	
		639.0 2	<98	1035.09+x	(12^{+})		
1814.81+x	15^{+}	140.6 <i>3</i>		1674.06+x	14+		
		679.6 <i>3</i>	100	1134.98+x	13+	E2	
2145.25+x	16^{+}	471.2 <i>1</i>	100	1674.06+x	14^{+}	E2	
2424.55+x	15^{+}	279.3 1	100	2145.25+x	16+	M1+E2	
		609.6 <mark>&</mark> 3	10	1814.81+x	15^{+}		
		1289.9 <i>3</i>	25	1134.98+x	13+		
2453.99+x	16^{+}	639.2 2	100	1814.81+x	15^{+}	M1+E2	
2514.42+x	16^{+}	89.9 1	100	2424.55+x	15^{+}	M1+E2	
		699.2 <i>3</i>	21	1814.81+x	15^{+}		
2616.74+x	17^{+}	102.3 1	100	2514.42+x	16+	M1+E2	
		802.0 <i>3</i>	62	1814.81+x	15^{+}		
2742.65+x	19+	125.9 1	100	2616.74+x	17^{+}	E2	
2750.37+x	17^{+}	296.4 2	80	2453.99+x	16+	M1+E2	
		935.6 <i>3</i>	100	1814.81+x	15^{+}	E2	
2881.58+x		138.9 2	100	2742.65+x	19+		
3240.45+x		358.9 2	100	2881.58+x			
3409.96+x	19+	659.6 2	100	2750.37+x	17 ⁺	[E2]	Mult.: M1+E2 based on α (K)exp contradicts Δ J=2 transition from level scheme
3420.87+x	20^{+}	678.1 <i>3</i>	100	2742.65+x	19+	M1+E2	
3471.61+x	19^{+}	721.3 3	100	2750.37 + x	17^{+}	E2	
3740.45+x	20^{+}	268.9 3	100	3471.61+x	19+	M1+E2	
		330.5 2	88	3409.96+x	19^{+}	M1+E2	
4056.99+x	22^{+}	636.2 2	100	3420.87+x	20^{+}	E2	
		1175.3 <i>3</i>	60	2881.58+x			
4090.77+x		669.6 <i>3</i>	22	3420.87+x	20^{+}		
		1348.2 <i>3</i>	100	2742.65+x	19+		
4486.26+x	23^{+}	395.4 2	61	4090.77+x			
		429.3 2	100	4056.99+x	22^{+}	M1+E2	
4498.11+x	21^{+}	757.7 2	100	3740.45+x	20^{+}	M1+E2	
		1257.7 <i>3</i>	62	3240.45+x			
4667.9+x		577.1 <i>3</i>	100	4090.77+x			
4865.7+x		197.8 2	100	4667.9+x			
4996.80+x	23^{+}	498.7 <i>1</i>	100	4498.11+x	21^{+}	E2	
		510.4 <i>3</i>	64	4486.26+x	23^{+}		
5208.80+x	25^{+}	212.0 <i>I</i>	100	4996.80+x	23+	E2	
5974.3+x		765.5 2	100	5208.80+x	25^{+}		
6141.2+x		166.9 <i>1</i>	100	5974.3+x		E1	

[†] See the comment on this value in the heavy-ion data set. [‡] From conversion-electron data in ¹⁴⁴Sm(¹⁴N,4n γ) dataset (2002Fo07) unless otherwise mentioned. [#] From conversion-electron data in ¹⁵⁴Yb ε decay (1988Vi02).

[@] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with "Frozen Orbitals" approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

[&] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

 $--- \rightarrow \gamma$ Decay (Uncertain)

Legend



Level Scheme (continued)

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level



¹⁵⁴₆₉Tm₈₅



