#### <sup>144</sup>Sm(<sup>14</sup>N,4n $\gamma$ ) 2002Fo07

		History	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 200,2 (2025)	22-Aug-2022

Additional information 1.
From <sup>144</sup>Sm(<sup>14</sup>N,4nγ) with E=95 MeV. Measured Eγ, Iγ, γγ, ce, ceγ coin, and lifetimes. Conversion electrons were measured with a Si(Li) detector. Also, <sup>118</sup>S(n)(<sup>40</sup>Ca,p3nγ) at 205 MeV.
Data set based on that compiled for the XUNDL file by M. Lee and B. Singh (McMaster University, June 2002).

# <sup>154</sup>Tm Levels

E(level) <sup>†‡</sup>	$J^{\pi #}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0	(2 <sup>-</sup> )	8.1 s <i>3</i>	$J^{\pi}, T_{1/2}$ : from the adopted values.
0+x <sup>@</sup>	9+	3.30 s 7	Additional information 2. $T_{1/2}$ : from the adopted values.
266.10+x <sup>a</sup> 17	10+		1
745.12+x 9	$11^{+}$		
751.79+x <sup>@</sup> 10	$11^{+}$		
1035.09+x <sup>a</sup> 16	$12^{+}$		
1134.98+x <sup>@</sup> 13	13+		
1323.34+x 13	13+		
1674.06+x <sup>a</sup> 14	14+		
1814.81+x <sup>@</sup> 19	15+		
2145.25+x <sup><i>a</i></sup> 16	16+		
2424.55+x 17	$(15)^{+}$		
2453.99+x 25	$(16)^+$		
2514.42+x 18	$(16)^+$		
2616.74+x 20	$(17)^{+}$		
2742.65+x 22	(19)+		$T_{1/2}$ : Possible few ns isomer signaled by 1996Dr07 from delayed gammas observed at a catcher foil located at 16 cm from the target.
$2750.37 + x^{(a)} 26$ 2881.58 + x 27 3240.45 + x 31	(17)+		
$3409.96 \pm x.30$	$(19)^{+}$		
3420.87+x 29	$(20)^+$		
$3471.61 + x^{@}.34$	$(19)^+$		
3740.45+x <i>30</i>	$(20)^+$		
4056.99+x 29	$(22^+)$		
4090.77+x 29	. ,		
4486.26+x 30	$(23)^+$		
4498.11+x <sup>&amp;</sup> 30	$(21,22)^+$		
4667.9+x 4			
4865.7+x 5			
4996.80+x <sup>&amp;</sup> 30	$(23,24)^+$		
5208.80+x <sup>&amp;</sup> 32	(25,26)+		E(level): The ordering of the 166.9-765.5-212.0 cascade is tentative due to similar intensities of the $\gamma$ rays involved, thus the intermediate levels at 5208.8+x and 5974.2+x may be at different energies.
5974.3+x <sup>&amp;</sup> 4	(27,28)+		E(level): The ordering of the 166.9-765.5-212.0 cascade is tentative due to similar intensities of the $\gamma$ rays involved, thus the intermediate levels at 5208.8+x and 5974.2+x may be at different energies.
6141.2+x <sup>&amp;</sup> 4	(28,29)-		
<sup>†</sup> Additional info	ormation 3.		

### <sup>144</sup>Sm(<sup>14</sup>N,4nγ) **2002Fo07** (continued)

### <sup>154</sup>Tm Levels (continued)

<sup>‡</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ 's. Uncertainties are relative to 9<sup>+</sup> level.

- <sup>#</sup> Above the 9<sup>+</sup> level, the  $J^{\pi}$  assignments are from 2002Fo07 and are based on the  $\gamma$  multipolarities and the expected level sequences. No specific arguments are given for the  $J^{\pi}$  values of the levels above this one.
- <sup>@</sup> Seq.(A):  $\gamma$  cascade based on 9<sup>+</sup> isomer.

& Seq.(B):  $\gamma$  cascade based on  $(21,22)^+$  level.

<sup>*a*</sup> Seq.(C):  $\gamma$  cascade based on 10<sup>+</sup> level.

# $\gamma(^{154}\text{Tm})$

Numerical values of  $\alpha(K)exp$ ,  $\alpha(L)exp$ , and K/L ratios were provided (June, 2002) in a private communication from the first author (C. Foin) of 2002Fo07 to the compilers of the XUNDL data set. These are plotted by 2002Fo07 in figures 2-4 of 2002Fo07.

Eγ	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
89.9 1	29	2514.42+x	$(16)^{+}$	2424.55+x	$(15)^{+}$	M1+E2	$\alpha$ (L)exp=0.50 15
102.3 <i>1</i>	37	2616.74+x	$(17)^{+}$	2514.42+x	$(16)^{+}$	M1+E2	$\alpha(L) exp=0.40 \ 10$
							$I_{\gamma}$ : 37 (catcher foil spectrum).
125.9 <i>1</i>	70	2742.65+x	$(19)^{+}$	2616.74+x	$(17)^{+}$	E2	$\alpha(L) \exp = 0.44 \ 10$
							K/L=0.85 <i>30</i> .
							$I_{\gamma}$ : 82 (catcher foil spectrum).
138.9 2	23	2881.58+x		2742.65+x	$(19)^+$		
140.6 3		1814.81+x	15+	1674.06+x	14+		$E_{\gamma}$ : from $\gamma\gamma$ coin only.
<sup>x</sup> 159.9 2	11						
166.9 <i>1</i>	122	6141.2+x	(28,29) <sup>-</sup>	5974.3+x	(27,28)+	El	$\alpha$ (K)exp=0.08 2
							$E_{\gamma}$ : The ordering of the 166.9-765.5-212.0 cascade
							is tentative due to similar intensities of the $\gamma$ rays
							involved, thus the intermediate levels at 5208.8+x
107 8 2	20	1965 7 L V		4667 0 L v			and 5974.2+x may be at different energies.
212.0.1	116	$4003.7 \pm x$ 5208.80 ± x	$(25.26)^{+}$	4007.9±x	$(23.24)^{+}$	F2	K/I = 2.0 A
212.0 1	110	J200.00±x	(23,20)	4990.00±x	(23,24)	E2	K/L=2.0.4. E : The ordering of the 166.9-765.5-212.0 cascade
							$L_{\gamma}$ . The ordering of the 100.9-705.9-212.0 case decise is tentative due to similar intensities of the $\gamma$ rays
							involved thus the intermediate levels at $5208 8+x$
							and $5974.2+x$ may be at different energies.
<sup>x</sup> 214.8 3							
x260.2 2	21						
266.1 2	26	266.10+x	$10^{+}$	0+x	9+		$I_{\gamma}$ : 15 (catcher foil spectrum).
268.9 <i>3</i>	52	3740.45+x	$(20)^+$	3471.61+x	$(19)^+$	M1+E2	$\alpha$ (K)exp=0.14 5
							$I_{\gamma}$ : 59 (catcher foil spectrum) for composite line.
							$I_{\gamma}$ : Composite peak.
279.3 1	88	2424.55+x	$(15)^+$	2145.25+x	16+	M1+E2	$\alpha$ (K)exp=0.163 25
							K/L=4.7 9.
							$I_{\gamma}$ : 140 (catcher foil spectrum); line also in <sup>152</sup> Er.
290.0 2	13	1035.09+x	12+	745.12+x	11+		
296.4 2	39	2750.37+x	$(17)^+$	2453.99+x	$(16)^+$	M1+E2	$\alpha(\mathbf{K}) \exp = 0.14 \ 3$
							$I_{\gamma}$ : 131 (catcher foil spectrum) for composite line.
220 5 2	16	2740.45	(20) +	2400.06	(10) +		$I_{\gamma}$ : Composite peak.
330.5 2	46	3/40.45+x	(20)	3409.96+x	(19)'	MI+E2	$\alpha$ (K)exp=0.10 2
							K/L=0.0 I.J.
							$I_{\gamma}$ : 8 (calcher foil spectrum).
x335 5 2	13						$r_{\gamma}$ . Composite peak.
350 7 1	84	$1674.06 \pm v$	14+	1323 34±v	13+	M1+F2	$\alpha(K) = 0.073.16$
550.7 1	UT	107 1.001 A	11	1525.5T ľA	1.5	1711   122	L <sub>2</sub> : 96 (catcher foil spectrum).
							K/L=5.2 15.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

## <sup>144</sup>Sm(<sup>14</sup>N,4nγ) **2002Fo07** (continued)

# $\gamma$ <sup>(154</sup>Tm) (continued)</sup>

Eγ	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathrm{E}_{f}$	$\mathrm{J}_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
358.9 2 383.2 1	24 85	3240.45+x 1134.98+x	13+	2881.58+x 751.79+x	11+	E2	$\alpha$ (K)exp=0.032 7 I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : 62 (catcher foil spectrum).
395.4 2 429.3 2 471.2 <i>1</i>	34 56 100	4486.26+x 4486.26+x 2145.25+x	(23) <sup>+</sup> (23) <sup>+</sup> 16 <sup>+</sup>	4090.77+x 4056.99+x 1674.06+x	(22 <sup>+</sup> ) 14 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2 E2	R/L=3.0 TO. $I_{\gamma}$ : Composite peak. $\alpha(K)exp=0.044$ 13 $\alpha(K)exp=0.013$ 4
498.7 <i>1</i> 510.4 <i>3</i> 577.1 3	75 48 106	4996.80+x 4996.80+x 4667.9+x	$(23,24)^+$ $(23,24)^+$	4498.11+x 4486.26+x 4090.77+x	$(21,22)^+$ $(23)^+$	E2	I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : 100 (catcher foil spectrum). $\alpha$ (K)exp=0.016 4 I <sub><math>\alpha</math></sub> : for 578.2+577.1: 578.2 $\gamma$ component is much
578.2 1	106	1323.34+x	13+	745.12+x	11+	E2	stronger than 577.1. $\alpha(K)\exp=0.008\ 2$ $I_{\gamma}$ : for 578.2+577.1; 578.2 $\gamma$ component is much stronger than 577.1. $L_{\gamma}$ : 75 (catcher foil spectrum) for composite line
x597.0 2	21						iy. is (eacher fon spectrum) for composite fine.
609.6 <sup>#</sup> 3 636.2 2 630.0 2	9 72 82	2424.55+x 4056.99+x	$(15)^+$ $(22^+)$ $14^+$	1814.81+x 3420.87+x	$15^+$ (20) <sup>+</sup>	E2	$\alpha(K) \exp = 0.008 \ 3$
639.2 2	82 82	2453.99 + x	$(16)^+$	1033.09+x 1814.81+x	12 15 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	$\alpha$ (K)exp=0.020 8
659.6 2	46	3409.96+x	(19)+	2750.37+x	(17)+		I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : for 639.0 $\gamma$ + 639.2 $\gamma$ . $\alpha$ (K)exp=0.012 4 I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : 23 (catcher foil spectrum). Mult.: M1+E2 based on $\alpha$ (K)exp contradicts $\Delta$ J=2 transition from least scheme
<sup>x</sup> 667.0 3	34						transition from level scheme.
669.6 3	14	4090.77+x		3420.87+x	$(20)^{+}$		
678.1 <i>3</i>	177	3420.87+x	(20)+	2742.65+x	(19)+	M1+E2	$\alpha$ (K)exp=0.013 6 I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : for 679.6+678.1; 679.6 $\gamma$ component is much stronger than 577 1
679.6 <i>3</i>	177	1814.81+x	15+	1134.98+x	13+	E2	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.010$ 7 I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : for 679.6+678.1; 679.6 $\gamma$ component is much stronger than 577.1.
699.2 <i>3</i>	6	2514.42+x	$(16)^{+}$	1814.81+x	15+		$I_{\gamma}$ . 49 (catcher fon spectrum) for composite line.
721.3 3	33	3471.61+x	(19)+	2750.37+x	$(17)^+$	E2	$\alpha$ (K)exp=0.008 4 I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : 4 (catcher foil spectrum).
745.1 <i>1</i>	100	745.12+x	11+	0+x	9+	E2	$\alpha$ (K)exp=0.0064 24 L <sub>x</sub> : 100 (catcher foil spectrum).
751.8 <i>1</i>	102	751.79+x	11+	0+x	9+	E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\exp=0.0084\ 26$
757.7 2	73	4498.11+x	(21,22)+	3740.45+x	(20)+	M1+E2	$\alpha$ (K)exp=0.012 4 L : 65 (catcher foil spectrum): line also in <sup>153</sup> Er
765.5 2	133	5974.3+x	(27,28) <sup>+</sup>	5208.80+x	(25,26) <sup>+</sup>		<ul> <li>I<sub>γ</sub>: 50 (catcher foil spectrum); fine also in <sup>153</sup>Er.</li> <li>I<sub>γ</sub>: 50 (catcher foil spectrum); line also in <sup>153</sup>Er.</li> <li>I<sub>γ</sub>: Composite peak.</li> <li>E<sub>γ</sub>: The ordering of the 166.9-765.5-212.0 cascade is tentative due to similar intensities of the γ rays involved, thus the intermediate levels at 5208.8+x and 5974.2+x may be at different energies.</li> </ul>
769.0 <i>3</i>	20	1035.09+x	12+	266.10+x	10+		$I_{\gamma}$ : 30 (catcher foil spectrum); line also in <sup>153</sup> Er.
802.0 3	23	2616.74+x	$(17)^+$	1814.81+x	15 <sup>+</sup>	52	$I_{\gamma}$ : 17 (catcher foil spectrum).
933.6 <i>3</i>	49	2/50.3/+x	(17)'	1814.81+x	12,	E2	$\alpha(\mathbf{K})\exp=0.004 \ 2$ I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : 12 (catcher foil spectrum).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

#### $^{144}$ Sm( $^{14}$ N,4n $\gamma$ ) 2002Fo07 (continued)

## $\gamma(^{154}\text{Tm})$ (continued)

Eγ	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_f \qquad \mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$
x1142.4 3	24			
1175.3 <i>3</i>	43	4056.99+x	$(22^{+})$	2881.58+x
1257.7 <i>3</i>	45	4498.11+x	$(21, 22)^+$	3240.45+x
1289.9 <i>3</i>	22	2424.55+x	$(15)^{+}$	1134.98+x 13 <sup>+</sup>
1348.2 <i>3</i>	63	4090.77+x		$2742.65 + x (19)^+$

<sup>†</sup> Relative intensities in the in-beam spectra. The values from the catcher foil spectrum are given under comments. Uncertainties are  $\approx 10\%$  for strong lines.

<sup>‡</sup> From graphical comparison of measured conversion-electron data with calculated values of 2002Fo07 (no numerical values provided).

<sup>#</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain. <sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.



<sup>154</sup><sub>69</sub>Tm<sub>85</sub>



<sup>154</sup><sub>69</sub>Tm<sub>85</sub>



<sup>144</sup>Sm(<sup>14</sup>N,4nγ) 2002Fo07

<sup>154</sup><sub>69</sub>Tm<sub>85</sub>