²³⁹Pu(n,Fγ) 2009Si21

		History	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 200,2 (2025)	22-Aug-2022

Includes studies of delayed γ rays from ²³⁹Pu(n,F γ) and from ²³⁸U SF decay as well as of prompt γ rays from ²⁵²Cf SF decay. 2009Si21 was compiled for XUNDL database by B. Karamy and B. Singh (McMaster).

2009Si21: delayed γ rays from ¹⁵⁴Nd produced by ²³⁹Pu(n,F γ) reaction were detected by two clover Ge detectors using the Lohengrin mass spectrometer of high-flux reactor of ILL, Grenoble. The mass spectrometer was used to select nuclei recoiling according to their mass-to-ion-charge and kinetic energy of the ion ratios. The time of flight through the spectrometer was ≈ 2.7

 μ s. Measured E γ , I γ , $\gamma\gamma$, and half-lives. Comparison with QRPM calculations.

2009Si21: ²⁵²Cf SF decay study, measured E γ , I γ , $\gamma\gamma$ using Gammasphere array at ANL. Prompt γ rays measured.

2012YoZZ, 2013YoZZ: in-flight fission of 345 MeV/nucleon ²³⁸U beam. Radioactive ion beams identified in so called PID plot by proton number (Z) versus mass-to-charge ratio (A/Q) obtained by measurement of the magnetic rigidity (Bρ), time of flight (TOF), and energy loss (dE) in the BigRIPS separator. Used total kinetic energy (TKE) detector (20 layers of Si detectors) in the F12 focal plane at the end of the BigRIPS beamline, in order to identify heavier elements with different atomic charge states. Measured delayed γ rays 135.5, 162.1, 270.0, 294.6, 794.0, 894.7, 931.0, 957.2 (with no uncertainty) and deduced T_{1/2}. No evidence was found by 2009Si21 for a (5⁻) isomer with T_{1/2}>1 μs reported in 1998Ga12.

¹⁵⁴Nd Levels

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0#	0+		
70.8 [#] 3	2+		
233.3 [#] 4	4+		
481.6 [#] 5	6+		
809.4 [#] 6	8+		
961.9 <mark>&</mark>	(1 ⁻)		
1002.7 [@] 4	(2 ⁻)		
1027.7 ^{&} 4	(3 ⁻)		
1128.2 [@] 4	(4-)		
1162.9 <mark>&</mark> 5	(5 ⁻)		
1209.8 [#] 7	10^{+}		
1297.9 ^a 4	(4 ⁻)	3.0 µs 3	T _{1/2} : weighted average of 3.2 μ s 3 (2009Si21); 2.7 μ s 3 (2013YoZZ, superseding 2.7 μ s 5 from 2012YoZZ). All studies done by fitting the summed time spectra of the most intense delayed γ rays. Dominant configuration= $v5/2[642] \otimes v3/2[521]$.
1325.4 [@] 5	(6 ⁻)		
1384.2 ^b 5	(5 ⁻)		
1488.0 ^{<i>a</i>} 6	(6-)		
1593.7 [@] 6	(8 ⁻)		
1608.7 <mark>6</mark> 6	(7 ⁻)		
1676.2 [#] 7	12^{+}		
1746.7 ^{<i>a</i>} 7	(8-)		
1902.3 ⁰ 7	(9-)		
1931.8 ^{^w} 7	(10^{-})		
20/4.5°° ð	(10)		
2201.5" 8	14^{-1}		
2203.1° 8	(11)		
2557.4 8	(12)		

239 Pu(n,F γ) 2009Si21 (continued)

¹⁵⁴Nd Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	E(level) [†]	Jπ‡	E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$
2468.0 ^a 9 2691.5 ^b 9	(12 ⁻) (13 ⁻)	2778.0 [#] 9 2807.3 [@] 8	16 ⁺ (14 ⁻)	2950.2^{a} 10 3337.8 [@] 9	(14^{-}) (16^{-})
				3397.6" 9	(18^{+})

[†] From least-squares fit to the $E\gamma'$ s. [‡] Adopted by 2009Si21 based on band structure and theoretical calculations. [#] Band(A): $K^{\pi}=0^+$ band.

^(a) Band(B): $K^{\pi} = (1^{-})$ band, $\alpha = 0$. [&] Band(b): $K^{\pi} = (1^{-})$ band, $\alpha = 1$.

^{*a*} Band(C): K^{π} =(4⁻) band, α =0. ^{*b*} Band(c): K^{π} =(4⁻) band, α =1.

$\gamma(^{154}\text{Nd})$

E_{γ}^{\dagger}	$I_{\gamma}^{\#}$	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	\mathbf{E}_{f}	\mathbf{J}_f^{π}	Mult.	Comments
70.8 <i>3</i>	11 2	70.8	2+	0.0	0^{+}		
86.3 2		1384.2	(5 ⁻)	1297.9 ((4^{-})		
103.8 2		1488.0	(6 ⁻)	1384.2 ((5-)		$I\gamma(103.8\gamma)/I\gamma(190\gamma)=14.5$ 75.
120.7 2		1608.7	(7^{-})	1488.0 ((6 ⁻)		$I\gamma(120.7\gamma)/I\gamma(224\gamma)=12.5\ 64.$
125.5 <i>3</i>	61	1128.2	(4 ⁻)	1002.7 ((2 ⁻)	[E2]	
134.8 <i>3</i>	8 1	1297.9	(4 ⁻)	1162.9 ((5 ⁻)	[M1+E2]	
138.1 2		1746.7	(8-)	1608.7 ((7-)		$I\gamma(138.2\gamma)/I\gamma(259\gamma)=5.6\ 21.$
155.6 2		1902.3	(9 ⁻)	1746.7 ((8 ⁻)		$I\gamma(155.6\gamma)/I\gamma(293\gamma) = 1.4$ 4.
162.4 3	55 9	233.3	4+	70.8	2+		
169.8 <i>3</i>	45 7	1297.9	(4 ⁻)	1128.2 ((4 ⁻)	[M1+E2]	
172.2.2		2074.5	(10^{-})	1902.3 ((9 ⁻)		$1\gamma(1/2.2\gamma)/1\gamma(328\gamma)=4.0$ 13.
189.2 2		2263.7	(11)	2074.5	(10)		$1\gamma(189.2\gamma)/1\gamma(361\gamma)=1.8$ 8.
190 ^w		1488.0	(6 ⁻)	1297.9	(4 ⁻)		
197.2 3		1325.4	(6 ⁻)	1128.2 ((4-)		
204.2 2		2468.0	(12 ⁻)	2263.7 ((11 ⁻)		E_{γ} : 204.3 listed in table II of 2009Si21. I γ (204.2 γ)/I γ (394 γ)=7.0 36.
223.5 2		2691.5	(13 ⁻)	2468.0	(12^{-})		$I_{\gamma}(223.5\gamma)/I_{\gamma}(428\gamma)=1.0$ 4.
224		1608.7	(7^{-})	1384.2 ((5 ⁻)		
248.4 <i>3</i>	15 <i>3</i>	481.6	6+	233.3	4+		
258.7 2		2950.2	(14 ⁻)	2691.5	(13 ⁻)		
259 [@]		1746.7	(8-)	1488.0 ((6 ⁻)		
268.3 <i>3</i>		1593.7	(8 ⁻)	1325.4 ((6 ⁻)		
270.3 <i>3</i>	21 4	1297.9	(4 ⁻)	1027.7 ((3-)	[M1+E2]	
293		1902.3	(9 ⁻)	1608.7 ((7 ⁻)		
295.3 <i>3</i>	15 <i>3</i>	1297.9	(4 ⁻)	1002.7 ((2 ⁻)		
327.8 <i>3</i>		809.4	8+	481.6	6+		
328		2074.5	(10^{-})	1746.7 ((8-)		
338.1 3		1931.8	(10^{-})	1593.7 ((8-)		
361 [@]		2263.7	(11^{-})	1902.3 ((9 ⁻)		
394		2468.0	(12^{-})	2074.5	(10^{-})		
400.4 3		1209.8	10^{+}	809.4	8+		
405.6 3		2337.4	(12^{-})	1931.8 ((10^{-})		
428		2691.5	(13^{-})	2263.7	(11^{-})		
466.4 3		16/6.2	12^{+}	1209.8	10^{-1}		
469.9 3		2807.3	(14^{-})	2337.4 ((12^{-})		
525.3 <i>3</i>		2201.5	14-	16/6.2	12*		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

239 Pu(n,F γ) 2009Si21 (continued)

$\gamma(^{154}\text{Nd})$ (continued)

E_{γ}^{\dagger}	$I_{\gamma}^{\#}$	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	E_f	\mathbf{J}_{f}^{π}	E_{γ}^{\dagger}	$I_{\gamma}^{\#}$	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	$E_f J_f^{\pi}$
530.5 3		3337.8	(16 ⁻)	2807.3	(14-)	895.0 <i>3</i>	34 6	1128.2	(4-)	233.3 4+
576.5 3		2778.0	16+	2201.5	14+	929.4 [‡] 3	<36	1162.9	(5 ⁻)	233.3 4+
619.6 [@] 3		3397.6	(18^{+})	2778.0	16+	932.0 [‡] 3	<36	1002.7	(2^{-})	70.8 2+
682 [@]		1162.9	(5 ⁻)	481.6	6+	956.9 <i>3</i>	12 4	1027.7	(3 ⁻)	70.8 2+
794.5 <i>3</i>	13 <i>3</i>	1027.7	(3 ⁻)	233.3	4+					

[†] Delayed γ -ray energies are from ²³⁹Pu(n,F γ) and prompt γ -ray energies are from ²⁵²Cf SF decay (2009Si21). [‡] 929.4 and 932.0 γ rays are observed as an unresolved doublet. Combined intensity is 36 9.

[#] Delayed γ intensities. Prompt γ ray branching ratios are given under comments for γ rays from levels above the 3.0- μ s isomer. [@] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.



 $^{154}_{60}\text{Nd}_{94}$

4



²³⁹Pu(n,Fγ) 2009Si21

 $^{154}_{60}\text{Nd}_{94}$