

^{158}W α decay (0.143 ms) [2000Ma95](#),[1996Pa01](#),[1989Ho12](#)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 200,2 (2025)	22-Aug-2022

Parent: ^{158}W : E=1888 8; $J^\pi=(8^+)$; $T_{1/2}=0.143$ ms 19; $Q(\alpha)=6612.5$ 26; $\% \alpha$ decay ≈ 100

^{158}W -E: [Additional information 1](#).

^{158}W - J^π : [Additional information 2](#).

^{158}W - $T_{1/2}$: [Additional information 3](#).

^{158}W - $Q(\alpha)$: [Additional information 4](#).

^{158}W - $T_{1/2}$: From [2017Ni05](#).

^{158}W - $Q(\alpha)$: From [2021Wa16](#).

^{158}W - $\% \alpha$ decay: $\% \alpha \approx 100$. Estimates of the half-life for ec decay indicate that α decay should be the dominant decay branch. [2021Ko07](#) list $\% \alpha = 100$.

[Additional information 5](#).

Production: ^{58}Ni bombardment of ^{106}Cd using the velocity filter SHIP ([1989Ho12](#)); 290-MeV ^{58}Ni ions on isotopically enriched ^{102}Pd target at the Daresbury Recoil Mass Separator ([1996Pa01](#)). ^{58}Ni bombardment of ^{102}Pd , involving mass separation ([2000Ma95](#)).

 ^{154}Hf Levels

E(level)	J^π	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0	0^+	2 s 1	$T_{1/2}$: from Adopted Levels.

 α radiations

$E\alpha$	E(level)	$I\alpha^\ddagger$	HF †	Comments
8286 7	0	100	1.0	$E\alpha$: From 2000Ma95 . Others: 8291 24 (1996Pa01); and 8280 30 (1989Ho12). Note that a weighted average of these three leads to essentially the same $E\alpha$ value.

† [Additional information 6](#).

‡ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by ≈ 1.0 .