

^{154}Eu IT decay (46.0 min) [1975Ca22](#),[1976Ch08](#),[1976Zo01](#)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. W. Reich	NDS 110, 2257 (2009)	1-May-2008

Parent: ^{154}Eu : $E \approx 145.3$; $J^\pi = 8^-$; $T_{1/2} = 46.0$ min 4; %IT decay = 100.0

[Additional information 1.](#)

Experimental methods:

[1975Ca22](#): Produced by (p,n) on enriched (99.996%) ^{154}Sm with $E(p) = 11$ MeV. Measured γ singles and $\gamma\gamma$ coincidences with Ge detectors.

[1976Ch08](#): Produced by (p,n) on enriched (99.996%) ^{154}Sm with $E(p) = 12.5$ MeV followed by chemical separation. Measured γ 's with Ge detectors and α in magnetic spectrometer.

[1976Zo01](#): Produced by (p,n), (d,2n), (d, α), and (d,p); some followed by chemical separations. Measured γ singles and $\gamma\gamma$ coincidences with Ge detectors. Si(Li) detector used in search for the isomeric transition.

 ^{154}Eu Levels

Although the observed γ rays are similar, the decay schemes of [1975Ca22](#), [1976Ch08](#), and [1976Zo01](#) are quite different. The scheme adopted here is that of the evaluators and is based on the level structure from the $^{153}\text{Eu}(n,\gamma)$ study of [1987Ba52](#). The scheme adopted in previous ENSDF evaluations ([1979Ha02](#) and [1987He20](#)) was similar to that of [1976Zo01](#), which relied on certain data from the (n, γ) study of [1977St14](#). This study differs in important ways from that of [1987Ba52](#).

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [#]	Comments
0	3^-		
68.17 1	2^+	4.1 μs 4	$T_{1/2}$: From 1975Ca22 .
99.950 15	3^+		
100.88 1	4^+	50 ns 10	$T_{1/2}$: From 1975Ca22 .
127.46 6	4^+		
129.660 23	4^-		
136.8 3	5^+		
≈ 145.3	8^-	46.0 min 4	$T_{1/2}$: Weighted average of 48.2 m 17 (1975Ca22), 45.8 m 3 (1976Ch08), and 46.8 m 6 (1976Zo01); Adopted value is 46.3 m 4.

[†] Computed from these γ energies, unless otherwise noted; for more precise values, see the Adopted Levels.

[‡] From ^{154}Eu Adopted Levels, where the band assignments are also discussed.

[#] Values included here for excited states are from ^{154}Eu (46.0 min) decay only; see Adopted Levels for values deduced from all available data.

 $\gamma(^{154}\text{Eu})$

I_γ normalization: Normalized to give 100% feeding of ground state.

The γ transition which deexcites the isomeric level has not been observed; and two other unobserved γ transitions are proposed to provide feeding of the 127 and 129 levels.

E_γ [†]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J^π_i	E_f	J^π_f	Mult. [#]	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ [@]	Comments
(0.91)	100.88	4^+	99.950	3^+	[M1,E2]	114 28	E_γ : Existence of transition proposed by 1987Ba52 . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$: Deduced by evaluators from intensity balances at 99 and 100 levels; 1987Ba52 suggest $I_\gamma(1+\alpha) \approx 80$.
(7.1)	136.8	5^+	129.660	4^-	[E1]	7 3	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$: Chosen to give intensity balance at 129 level.
(8.6)	≈ 145.3	8^-	136.8	5^+	[E3]	320 70	E_γ : From level energies observed in $^{153}\text{Eu}(d,p)$ (1987Ba52). From the inability to observe L-subshell conversion lines in this isomer decay, 1976Ch08 deduce $E_\gamma < 13$ keV.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{154}Eu IT decay (46.0 min) [1975Ca22,1976Ch08,1976Zo01](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{154}\text{Eu})$ (continued)										
E_γ †	I_γ ‡@	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. #	$\delta^\#$	$\alpha^\&$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ @	Comments
(9.3)		136.8	5 ⁺	127.46	4 ⁺	[M1,E2]		7.×10 ⁴ 7	35 12	<p>α: If mult=E3, $\alpha(8.6 \text{ keV}) > 5.6 \times 10^7$, but the E_γ value lies within 1 keV of 2 of the L-shell binding energies. Also, there is a large energy dependence in the theoretical α values in this energy region. Thus, the evaluators regard the α value for this transition as highly uncertain.</p> <p>$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$: Chosen to give intensity balance.</p> <p>ce(L)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.8 6; ce(M)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.18 23; ce(N+)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.04 7 ce(N)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.04 6; ce(O)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.005 8; ce(P)/($\gamma+ce$)=4.E-6 5</p> <p>$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$: Chosen to give intensity balance at 127 level.</p>
27.51 5	2.4 8	127.46	4 ⁺	99.950	3 ⁺	M1+E2	0.032 9	13.1 5		<p>$\alpha(L)=10.3$ 4; $\alpha(M)=2.24$ 8; $\alpha(N+..)=0.598$ 21 $\alpha(N)=0.511$ 18; $\alpha(O)=0.0801$ 25; $\alpha(P)=0.00752$ 12</p>
28.78 2	2.9 12	129.660	4 ⁻	100.88	4 ⁺	E1		1.501		<p>$\alpha(L)=1.180$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.257$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0643$ 9 $\alpha(N)=0.0563$ 8; $\alpha(O)=0.00762$ 11; $\alpha(P)=0.000404$ 6</p>
31.78 1	15 3	99.950	3 ⁺	68.17	2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.030 3	8.43 13		<p>$\alpha(L)=6.61$ 11; $\alpha(M)=1.433$ 23; $\alpha(N+..)=0.384$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.327$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.0515$ 8; $\alpha(P)=0.00491$ 7</p>
32.61	0.22 3	100.88	4 ⁺	68.17	2 ⁺	E2		269		<p>$\alpha(L)=208$ 3; $\alpha(M)=48.7$ 7; $\alpha(N+..)=12.15$ 17 $\alpha(N)=10.73$ 15; $\alpha(O)=1.418$ 20; $\alpha(P)=0.000822$ 12</p>
35.802	34 8	136.8	5 ⁺	100.88	4 ⁺	M1+E2	0.09 2	7.0 7		<p>I_γ: Deduced from $I_\gamma(32.6)/I_\gamma(100.8)$ in (n,γ) (1987Ba52). This value gives $I_\gamma(1+\alpha)=59$, compared to $I_\gamma(1+\alpha) \approx 36$ from Ice data of (1976Ch08).</p> <p>$\alpha(L)=5.52$ 8; $\alpha(M)=1.212$ 17; $\alpha(N+..)=0.321$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.275$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.0421$ 6; $\alpha(P)=0.00343$ 5</p>
68.17 1	100	68.17	2 ⁺	0	3 ⁻	E1		0.793		<p>$\alpha(K)=0.660$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.1046$ 15; $\alpha(M)=0.0226$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00584$ 9 $\alpha(N)=0.00505$ 7;</p>

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{154}Eu IT decay (46.0 min) [1975Ca22](#),[1976Ch08](#),[1976Zo01](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{154}\text{Eu})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{‡@}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	α ^{&}	Comments
100.88 1	72 5	100.88	4 ⁺	0	3 ⁻	E1	0.277	$\alpha(\text{O})=0.000742$ 11; $\alpha(\text{P})=5.22\times 10^{-5}$ 8 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.233$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0347$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00747$ 11; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.00195$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.001680$ 24; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000252$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.95\times 10^{-5}$ 3 I_γ : Average of three reported values.

[†] From [1976Zo01](#). These values have the smallest uncertainties, so a weighted average of these values with those of [1975Ca22](#) and [1976Ch08](#) would give essentially the same values. For most γ 's, more precise energies are given in the Adopted γ Radiations.

[‡] From [1976Ch08](#), unless otherwise noted. The evaluators have increased the uncertainties by factors of 2.0 above 30 keV and 4.0 below 30 keV to allow for the large discrepancy between the values from [1975Ca22](#), [1976Ch08](#), and [1976Zo01](#). The discrepancies among the reported data are illustrated by the fact that, for the three γ 's below 34 keV, the reported uncertainties are about 10%, but the values vary by factors of 2 or more.

[#] Assignments and values are from Adopted γ Radiations.

[@] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.37 5.

[&] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

