

$^{154}\text{Sm}(\text{pol t},\alpha)$ **1978Bu18**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 170, 1 (2020)	16-Aug-2020

1978Bu18: E=17 MeV with α measured in 5° steps from 10° to 45° in magnetic spectrometer with FWHM of 16-18 keV.
 1989Th04: model calculation that give better agreement with the magnitude of the cross sections than that with the DWBA calculations.

 ^{153}Pm Levels

Differential cross sections listed under comments are in $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ at 25° . The analyzing powers, A_y values are also at 25° .

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	S [#]	Comments
0.0 [@]	5/2 ⁻	0.006	$d\sigma/d\Omega \approx 2.6 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$, $A_y = -0.82$ 17 (25°).
32 ^{&} 4	5/2 ⁺	0.03	$d\sigma/d\Omega = 14 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$, $A_y = +0.44$ 9 (25°).
66 [@] 4	7/2 ⁻	0.06	$d\sigma/d\Omega = 28 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$, $A_y = +0.53$ 6 (25°).
107 ^{&} 4	7/2 ⁺	0.63	$d\sigma/d\Omega = 101 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$, $A_y = -0.53$ 3.
151 [@] 4	9/2 ⁻	0.10	$d\sigma/d\Omega = 15$, $A_y = -0.65$ 8.
200 ^{&} 4	9/2 ⁺	0.05	$d\sigma/d\Omega = 11$, $A_y = +0.08$ 11.
255 [@] 4	11/2 ⁻	1.1	$d\sigma/d\Omega = 200$, $A_y = +0.35$ 2.
312? ^{&}	(11/2 ⁺)		$d\sigma/d\Omega \approx 7$, $A_y = -0.03$ 13.
378? [@]	(13/2 ⁻)		$d\sigma/d\Omega \approx 7$, $A_y = -0.57$ 12.
453 ^a 4	3/2 ⁺	0.014	$d\sigma/d\Omega = 9$, $A_y = -0.70$ 10.
511 ^a 4	5/2 ⁺	0.28	$d\sigma/d\Omega = 138$, $A_y = +0.30$ 3.
588 ^a 4	7/2 ⁺	0.05	$d\sigma/d\Omega \approx 9$, $A_y = +0.14$ 12.
682 4			$d\sigma/d\Omega = 10$, $A_y = +0.16$ 12.
707 ^b 4	1/2 ⁺		$d\sigma/d\Omega = 82$, $A_y = -0.18$ 4. S: value given as (≈ 0.19).
773 ^b 4	5/2 ⁺	0.42	$d\sigma/d\Omega = 204$, $A_y = +0.40$ 2.
≈ 795 ^b	3/2 ⁺	0.06	$d\sigma/d\Omega = 29$, $A_y = -0.46$ 7.
935 ^b 4	(9/2 ⁺),(3/2 ⁻)		$d\sigma/d\Omega = 31$, $A_y = +0.03$ 7. J^π : Probably the 3/2[541] Nilsson state. The observed value of $d\sigma/d\Omega$ for this peak is larger than expected for the 3/2[541] Nilsson state, so 1978Bu18 attributed part of the intensity to the 9/2 ⁺ member of the 1/2[420] band. S: 1978Bu18 give $s \leq 0.15$ for the L=4 component and ≤ 0.034 for the L=1 component.
968 ^b 4	7/2 ⁺	0.38	$d\sigma/d\Omega = 53$, $A_y = -0.13$ 5.
1022 ^c 4	7/2 ⁻	0.29	$d\sigma/d\Omega = 120$, $A_y = +0.34$ 3.
1152 ^c 4	11/2 ⁻	1.4	$d\sigma/d\Omega = 209$, $A_y = +0.20$ 2.
1179 4	(3/2 ⁺)		$d\sigma/d\Omega = 35$, $A_y = -0.43$ 6. J^π : Angular distributions suggest L=2, and negative analyzing power indicates J=L-1/2. The J^π assignment is listed as tentative because the L-transfer is not definite.
1208 ^d 4	3/2 ⁺	0.089	$d\sigma/d\Omega = 36$, $A_y = -0.32$ 6.
1262 ^d 4	(5/2 ⁺)	0.074	$d\sigma/d\Omega = 33$, $A_y = +0.10$ 6. J^π : Although the angular distribution is consistent with L=2 and the energy agreement is good, the analyzing power is not consistent with DWBA curves for L=2, so the J^π assignment is tentative.
1311 4			$d\sigma/d\Omega = 15$, $A_y = -0.06$ 10.
1345 ^d 4	7/2 ⁺	0.53	$d\sigma/d\Omega = 68$, $A_y = -0.33$ 4.
1376 4			$d\sigma/d\Omega = 25$, $A_y = -0.03$ 7.
1437 4			$d\sigma/d\Omega = 13$, $A_y = +0.17$ 10.
1476 4			$d\sigma/d\Omega = 11$, $A_y = +0.23$ 11.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{154}\text{Sm}(\text{pol t},\alpha)$ 1978Bu18 (continued) ^{153}Pm Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	Comments
1629	$d\sigma/d\Omega=22$, $A_y=+0.22$ 8.
≈ 1702	$d\sigma/d\Omega\approx 9$, $A_y=+0.07$ 12.
≈ 1737	$d\sigma/d\Omega\approx 13$, $A_y=-0.12$ 10.
≈ 1763	$d\sigma/d\Omega\approx 18$, $A_y=+0.16$ 8.
≈ 1794	$d\sigma/d\Omega\approx 12$, $A_y=+0.09$ 11.
≈ 1829	$d\sigma/d\Omega\approx 20$, $A_y=-0.22$ 8.
≈ 1869	$d\sigma/d\Omega\approx 25$, $A_y=-0.04$ 7.
1953	$d\sigma/d\Omega=25$, $A_y=+0.24$ 7.
2138	$d\sigma/d\Omega=43$, $A_y=+0.01$ 6.
2221	$d\sigma/d\Omega=48$, $A_y=+0.06$ 5.
2280	$d\sigma/d\Omega\approx 24$, $A_y=+0.11$ 8.
2333	$d\sigma/d\Omega=32$, $A_y=+0.16$ 6.

[†] Authors estimate uncertainty in level energies are ≤ 4 keV below 1500 keV and somewhat larger for higher excitations.

[‡] Assignments of 1978Bu18 based on comparison of measured angular distributions of cross sections and analyzing powers with DWBA predictions.

[#] Values are spectroscopic factors defined by $S = d\sigma/d\Omega(\text{exp}) / [2N * d\sigma/d\Omega(\text{DWBA})]$ with a normalization factor of N=23.

@ Band(A): 5/2[532] band.

& Band(B): 5/2[413] band.

^a Band(C): 3/2[411] band.

^b Band(D): 1/2[420] band.

^c Band(E): 3/2[541] band.

^d Band(F): 3/2[422] band.

$^{154}\text{Sm}(\text{pol t},\alpha)$ 1978Bu18

Band(F): 3/2[422] band

7/2⁺ 1345(5/2⁺) 1262Band(E): 3/2[541] band 3/2⁺ 120811/2⁻ 1152Band(D): 1/2[420] band 7/2⁻ 1022

<u>7/2⁺</u>	<u>968</u>
<u>(9/2⁺),(3/2⁻)</u>	<u>935</u>

<u>3/2⁺</u>	<u>≈ 795</u>
<u>5/2⁺</u>	<u>773</u>

1/2⁺ 707

Band(C): 3/2[411] band

7/2⁺ 5885/2⁺ 5113/2⁺ 453

Band(A): 5/2[532] band

(13/2⁻) — — — 378

Band(B): 5/2[413] band

(11/2⁺) — — — 31211/2⁻ 2559/2⁺ 2009/2⁻ 1517/2⁺ 1077/2⁻ 665/2⁺ 325/2⁻ 0.0