¹⁵³Gd ε decay

		History	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 170, 1 (2020)	16-Aug-2020

Parent: ¹⁵³Gd: E=0.0; $J^{\pi}=3/2^{-}$; $T_{1/2}=240.4 \text{ d } 10$; $Q(\varepsilon)=484.7$ 7; $\%\varepsilon$ decay=100.0

 153 Gd-Q(ε): Earlier a series of measurements of the K-capture probability to the 172-keV level of 153 Eu (1960Le06, 1962B111, 1964Cr08,1967Bo11,1980Se01,1985Si03) indicated a decay energy of 235 to 280 keV. The problem with these measurements, or the or the interpretation thereof, has not been resolved.

The decay scheme and useful I γ data are given by 1964Al09, 1964Ew04, 1974Se08, 1981Gr19, 1985Si03, 1988Ve05, 1988Su13, 1992Ch16, 1992Ch44, 1993Eg05, and 1995Ku34. Level T_{1/2} are given by: 1965Me08, 1968Ma15, 1975Si07, 1978AnZP, and 1986Sa34. Other measurements include: 1996La21, 1994Co02, 1994MiZZ, 1992EgZY (see 1993Eg05), 1990GeZZ, 1989Po21, 1986Va16, 1980Se01, 1980BeYK, 1976Gu02, 1975Ba69, 1974Ma27, 1972De67, 1971Kr19, 1970Me26, 1967Bo11, 1964Cr08, 1962B111, 1962Bh01, 1961Gr39, 1960Su08, 1960Le06, 1958An34, 1954Mc10, 1949Ke01. Additional information 1.

The magnitude of possible combined electron-nuclear radiation (CENR) is discussed in 1994Al45 and 1995Sa56.

¹⁵³Eu Levels

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T _{1/2} #	Comments
0.0	$5/2^{+}$	stable	
83.36720 13	$7/2^{+}$	0.77 ns 5	T _{1/2} : From 1986Sa34.
97.43103 15	$5/2^{-}$	0.180 ns 20	$T_{1/2}$: From 1968Ma15; other: < 0.35 ns (1965Me08).
103.18016 12	3/2+	3.87 ns 5	T _{1/2} : Adopted value. 3.88 ns 4 is the weighted average of the values measured in 153 Gd ε decay only, 3.87 ns 10 (1986Sa34), 3.8 ns 1 (1978AnZP), 3.89 ns 10, and 3.92 ns 7 (evaluator's average of 4 values in 1965Me08).
151.6246? 12	7/2-		E(level): This level is known to exist from ¹⁵³ Sm β - decay and ¹⁵² Eu(n, γ) and it is generally reported in this decay. The ε branch is 2nd forbidden, so the log <i>ft</i> is expected to be ≥ 11 (1973Ra10) which corresponds to I(ε) $\le 0.015\%$.
172.85318 13	$5/2^{+}$		• • •

[†] From least-squares fit to measured $E\gamma$.

[‡] From ¹⁵³Eu Adopted Levels.

[#] Values are from 153 Gd decay only; see 153 Eu Adopted Levels for values from other production modes.

ε radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$\mathrm{I}\varepsilon^{\dagger}$	Log ft	Comments
(311.8 7)	172.85318	16.0 5	7.89 1	εK=0.8028 2; εL=0.15169 9; εM+=0.04553 3
(381.5 7)	103.18016	44.2 10	7.65 1	εK=0.8118; εL=0.14496 6; εM+=0.04319 2
(387.37)	97.43103	39.2 5	7.72 1	εK=0.8124; εL=0.14453 6; εM+=0.04304 2
(484.77)	0.0	<1	>9.5	εK=0.8199; εL=0.13899 3; εM+=0.04111 1
				I: Computed from γ intensity balance with the given I γ normalization factor.

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{153}\text{Eu})$

 $I\gamma$ normalization: Weighted average of: 0.3015 20 (2017ShZX, by digital coincidence counting), 0.290 8 (measurement of Geidelman as quoted in 1992Ch16), 0.302 6 (from I_{Kx} intensity analysis by 1981Gr19), 0.276 10 (1997Ka47).

The internal conversion electron intensities are normalized to give $\alpha_{\rm K}(\exp)(97.43)=0.258$ (E1 theory).

 \mathbf{P}

 $I_{Kx\alpha}$ =327 6 and $I_{K\beta}$ =82.6 14. The reduced- χ^2 values for these averages are 4.8 and 6.3, respectively. See 1992Ch16, 1993Eg05, and 1995Ku34 for values for components of these two groups. The corresponding values calculated from the decay scheme are 333 8 and 84.8 24, respectively.

E_{γ}^{\dagger}	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger b}$	E_i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	\mathbf{E}_{f}	J_f^π	Mult.	$\alpha^{\&}$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{b}$	Comments
14.06383 20	0.061 9	97.43103	5/2-	83.36720	7/2+	E1	10.89		α(L)=8.54 12; α(M)=1.90 3 α(N)=0.405 6; α(O)=0.0479 7; α(P)=0.00189 3 E_{γ} : From level energies. I_{γ} : Values range from 0.051 5 to 0.146 15 and reduced- $\chi^2=4.3$.
^x 21.2	0.075 12	103.18016	3/2+	83.36720	7/2+	E2	3.22×10 ³	0.7 4	ce(L)/(γ +ce)=0.775 8; ce(M)/(γ +ce)=0.180 4 ce(N)/(γ +ce)=0.0395 8; ce(O)/(γ +ce)=0.00520 11; ce(P)/(γ +ce)=2.11×10 ⁻⁶ 5 α (L)=2.49×10 ³ 4; α (M)=578 8 α (N)=127.1 18; α (O)=16.73 24; α (P)=0.00678 10 E _{γ} : From level energies. I _{γ} : From level energies. I _{γ} : From I _{ce} (LM)=1.17 (1963Gr09) I(γ +ce)+1.3 and then from α =3290, I γ =0.0004. The measured values are very different; they include 0.005 1 (1974Se08), 0.089 9 (1985Si03), 0.072 11 (1988Su13), 0.006 1 (1988Ve05), and 0.019 3 (1995Ku34). I(γ +ce): From intensity balance at 83-keV level. Other: 1.3 from I _{ce} (LM)=1.17 (1963Gr09) and α 's. I _{γ} : From 198Su13 and 1995Ku34; other: < 0.03
54.1934 [@] ^c 4		151.6246?	7/2-	97.43103	5/2-	M1(+E2)	18.4 76		(1993Eg05). $\alpha(K)=6.3\ 28;\ \alpha(L)=9.3\ 80;\ \alpha(M)=2.2\ 19$ $\alpha(N)=0.48\ 42;\ \alpha(O)=0.065\ 55;\ \alpha(P)=6.8\times10^{-4}\ 35$ I _{γ} : From limit of I(ϵ ,151) \leq 0.015%, if the 54 is the only γ from this level, I $\gamma(54) < 0.0026$ for α =18.4. In contrast, the reported values include 0.058 8 (1988Su13) and 0.027 2 (1995Ku34). Therefore, there is a problem with this γ placement.
68.2557 ^{@c} 5		151.6246?	7/2-	83.36720	7/2+	E1	0.790		$\begin{aligned} \alpha(K) = 0.657 \ 10; \ \alpha(L) = 0.1042 \ 15; \ \alpha(M) = 0.0225 \ 4 \\ \alpha(N) = 0.00503 \ 7; \ \alpha(O) = 0.000739 \ 11; \ \alpha(P) = 5.20 \times 10^{-5} \ 8 \\ I_{\gamma}: The five reported values are reasonably consistent (reduced-\chi^2 = 2.2) and an average of 0.056. However, if the \varepsilon feeding to this level is \leq 0.015\% with no \gamma feeding, even if this is the only \gamma from this level its I\gamma is < 0.026 and if other \gamma depopulate this level. In the$

						¹⁵³ Gd ε de	cay (continu	ed)		
γ ⁽¹⁵³ Eu) (continued)										
${\rm E_{\gamma}}^{\dagger}$	I_{γ} [‡] <i>b</i>	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	E_f	\mathbf{J}_{f}^{π}	Mult.	δ ^{#a}	α &	Comments	
			_						¹⁵³ Sm β- decay and ¹⁵² Eu(n, γ), the I γ (151)/I γ (68) ratio is between 5 and 9, so the limit may be much lower. Therefore, this γ may be misplaced.	
69.67300 <i>13</i>	8.18 21	172.85318	5/2+	103.18016	$3/2^{+}$	M1+E2	0.136 4	5.31	α (K)=4.39 7; α (L)=0.719 12; α (M)=0.1572 25	
75.42213 23	0.270 8	172.85318	$5/2^{+}$	97.43103	$5/2^{-}$	E1+M2	0.055 10	0.76 7	$\alpha(N)=0.0558\ 0;\ \alpha(O)=0.00555\ 9;\ \alpha(P)=0.000485\ 7$ $\alpha(K)=0.62\ 5;\ \alpha(L)=0.112\ 13;\ \alpha(M)=0.025\ 3$	
									α (N)=0.0056 7; α (O)=0.00083 11; α (P)=6.3×10 ⁻⁵ 9	
83.36717 21	0.676 14	83.36720	7/2+	0.0	5/2+	M1+E2	0.81 4	3.76 7	$\alpha(K)=2.33 4; \alpha(L)=1.11 5; \alpha(M)=0.257 12$	
									a(N)=0.057525; a(O)=0.00804; a(P)=0.0002505 K:L1:L2:L3:M:N+=1.78:0.210:0.339:0.377:0.165:0.057.	
89.48595 22	0.27 4	172.85318	$5/2^{+}$	83.36720	$7/2^{+}$	M1+E2	0.25 10	2.60 7	$\alpha(K)=2.11$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.38$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.085$ 16	
07 /3100 21	100	07 /3103	5/2-	0.0	5/2+	F1		0.305	$\alpha(N)=0.019 4; \alpha(O)=0.0029 5; \alpha(P)=0.000230 7$ $\alpha(K)=0.256 4; \alpha(L)=0.0382 6; \alpha(M)=0.00823 12$	
97.43100 21	100	97.43103	5/2	0.0	5/2	EI		0.303	$\alpha(\mathbf{N})=0.2504, \alpha(\mathbf{L})=0.05820, \alpha(\mathbf{M})=0.0082512$ $\alpha(\mathbf{N})=0.001853; \alpha(\mathbf{O})=0.0002784; \alpha(\mathbf{P})=2.13\times10^{-5}3$	
103.18012 17	73.5 6	103.18016	$3/2^{+}$	0.0	$5/2^{+}$	M1+E2	0.119 3	1.694	$\alpha(K)=1.422\ 20;\ \alpha(L)=0.213\ 3;\ \alpha(M)=0.0462\ 7$	
									α (N)=0.01057 15; α (O)=0.001662 24; α (P)=0.0001568 22	
									Additional information 2. δ : Computed with penetration parameter $\lambda = 5.3.8$	
151.6245 ^{@c} 12		151.6246?	7/2-	0.0	$5/2^{+}$	E1		0.0920	$\alpha(K)=0.0779 \ 11; \ \alpha(L)=0.01112 \ 16; \ \alpha(M)=0.00239 \ 4$	
			,		,				α (N)=0.000541 8; α (O)=8.26×10 ⁻⁵ 12; α (P)=6.88×10 ⁻⁶ 10	
									I_{γ} : The reported values are inconsistent, namely, < 0.01	
									(1992Cn44), 0.000 TS (1988Su15), 0.02 T (1988ve05), and 0.021 T (1995Ku34), 0.00163 20 (2017ShZX).	
172.85307 21	0.1304 24	172.85318	5/2+	0.0	$5/2^{+}$	M1+E2	0.81 8	0.377	$\alpha(K)=0.296$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.0637$ 22; $\alpha(M)=0.0142$ 6	
									α (N)=0.00321 <i>12</i> ; α (O)=0.000477 <i>15</i> ; α (P)=3.00×10 ⁻⁵ <i>10</i>	

[†] From 2000He14 Eγ evaluation with additions of values from ¹⁵²Eu(n,γ); all are on the energy scale for which the strong ¹⁹⁸Au line is 411.80205 *17* keV. [‡] From weighted average of values from 1974Se08, 1985Si03 (values for photons below 60 keV omitted), 1988Su13, 1988Ve05, 1992Ch16, 1992Ch44, 1993Eg05, 1995Ku34, 2017ShZX. Significant discrepancies between these values are noted. Others: 1964Al09, 1964Ew04, 1967Bo11, 1981Gr19, 1990GeZZ, and 1996La21.

[#] From ¹⁵³Eu Adopted Gammas.

^{*@*} From ${}^{152}\text{Eu}(n,\gamma)$.

S

& Additional information 3.

^{*a*} If No value given it was assumed δ =1.00 for E2/M1, δ =1.00 for E3/M2 and δ =0.10 for the other multipolarities.

^b For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.300 3.

^c Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

 $x \gamma$ ray not placed in level scheme.

 $^{153}_{63}\mathrm{Eu}_{90}$ -3

$^{153}{\rm Gd}\;\varepsilon$ decay

Decay Scheme

