

**$^{152}\text{Tm}$  IT decay (294 ns) 1986Mc14**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. J. Martin	NDS 114, 1497 (2013)	31-Aug-2013

Parent:  $^{152}\text{Tm}$ : E=2554.9+x;  $J^\pi=(17^+)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=294$  ns  $I2$ ; %IT decay=100.0Production:  $^{94}\text{Mo}(^{60}\text{Ni},\text{pny})$  E=240-250 MeV.Measured:  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(t)$ , ( $\text{Tm K x ray}$ ) $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ . **$^{152}\text{Tm}$  Levels**

E(level)	$J^\pi$ <sup>†</sup>	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0+x	(9) <sup>‡</sup>		
114.4+x	(8) <sup>+</sup>		
656.9+x	(9) <sup>+</sup>		
1018.2+x	(10) <sup>+</sup>		
1169.6+x	(11) <sup>-</sup>		$J^\pi$ : octupole excitation on configuration= $(\pi h_{11/2})^{+5}(\nu f_{7/2})$ . $T_{1/2}$ : less than a few ns.
1405.3+x	(12) <sup>-</sup>		
1449.8+x	11 <sup>‡</sup>		
1934.7+x	(13) <sup>-</sup>		
2131.3+x	13 <sup>‡</sup>		
2272.1+x	(15) <sup>-</sup>		
2345.1+x			
2451.4+x	15 <sup>‡</sup>		
2554.9+x	17 <sup>‡</sup>	294 ns $I2$	

<sup>†</sup> With the exception of the 0.0+x and 114.34+x levels, the assignments are as given by 1986Mc14 and are based on shell-model configurations and analogy with  $^{150}\text{Ho}$ . For the levels mentioned, the evaluator has assigned  $J$  in parens, and, based on arguments given in Adopted Levels, has assigned  $\pi$  outside parens.

<sup>‡</sup> Configuration= $(\pi, h_{11/2})^{+5}(\nu, f_{7/2})$ .

 **$\gamma(^{152}\text{Tm})$** I $\gamma$  normalization: From  $\Sigma I(\gamma+ce)$  to g.s.=100.

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$ <sup>#</sup>	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>†</sup>	$\alpha$ <sup>@</sup>	Comments
(73.0)		2345.1+x		2272.1+x	(15 <sup>-</sup> )	[M1,E2]	9.2 15	$\alpha(K)=4.1$ 24; $\alpha(L)=4$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.9$ 8; $\alpha(N+..)=0.24$ 18 $\alpha(N)=0.21$ 17; $\alpha(O)=0.025$ 18; $\alpha(P)=0.00024$ 16
103.5 1	31 3	2554.9+x	17 <sup>+</sup>	2451.4+x	15 <sup>+</sup>	(E2)	2.69	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : From the requirement of an intensity balance at the 2345.1+x level, $I(\gamma+ce)=I(\gamma+ce)(106.5\gamma)=9.0$ 13. From an intensity balance at the 2272.1+x level, $I(\gamma+ce)=I(\gamma+ce)(337.4\gamma)-I(\gamma+ce)(179.5\gamma)=5.0$ 16. $\alpha(K)=0.969$ 14; $\alpha(L)=1.320$ 20; $\alpha(M)=0.323$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0820$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.0734$ 11; $\alpha(O)=0.00854$ 13; $\alpha(P)=4.05 \times 10^{-5}$ 6
106.5 2	7 1	2451.4+x	15 <sup>+</sup>	2345.1+x		(E1) <sup>‡</sup>	0.283	Mult.: An intensity balance at the 2451.4+x level, using $I(\gamma+ce)(106.5\gamma)+I(\gamma+ce)(179.5\gamma)=$ $I(\gamma+ce)(337.4\gamma)$ , gives $\alpha=3.0$ 4. Theory values are 2.81 and 2.69 for M1 and E2, respectively. The proposed level scheme requires $\Delta J=2$ . $\alpha(K)=0.234$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.0376$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.00838$ 13;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^{152}\text{Tm}$  IT decay (294 ns)    1986Mc14 (continued)** $\gamma(^{152}\text{Tm})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^\#$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>†</sup>	$a^{\text{@}}$	Comments
114.4 1	11 1	114.4+x	(8) <sup>+</sup>	0.0+x	(9) <sup>+</sup>	M1,E2	1.98 14	$\alpha(N+..)=0.00219\ 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.00192\ 3; \alpha(O)=0.000256\ 4;$ $\alpha(P)=1.054\times10^{-5}\ 16$ Mult.: An intensity balance at the 2272.1+x level, given mult(337.4 $\gamma$ )=E2, requires mult=E1 for both the 106.5 $\gamma$ and the 179.5 $\gamma$ . $\alpha(K)=1.3\ 5; \alpha(L)=0.6\ 3; \alpha(M)=0.13\ 8;$ $\alpha(N+..)=0.034\ 18$ $\alpha(N)=0.030\ 17; \alpha(O)=0.0037\ 18; \alpha(P)=7.8\times10^{-5}\ 4$
151.5 1	72 6	1169.6+x	(11) <sup>-</sup>	1018.2+x	(10) <sup>+</sup>	E1	0.1111	Mult.: From an intensity balance at the 114.4+x level, $I(\gamma+ce)=I(\gamma+ce)(542.6\gamma)$ , which yields $\alpha=2.2\ 4$ . Theory values are 2.11 (M1) and 1.85 (E2). $\alpha(K)=0.0929\ 13; \alpha(L)=0.01428\ 21;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00317\ 5; \alpha(N+..)=0.000836\ 12$ $\alpha(N)=0.000732\ 11; \alpha(O)=9.94\times10^{-5}\ 14;$ $\alpha(P)=4.39\times10^{-6}\ 7$ $\alpha(L)=0.0144; \alpha(M)=0.00318; \alpha(N+..)=0.00089$ Mult.: From an intensity balance at the 1018.2+x level, $I(\gamma+ce)=I(\gamma+ce)(361.4\gamma)+I(\gamma+ce)(1018.2\gamma)$ , which yields $\alpha=0.14\ 11$ . Theory values are 0.111 (E1), 0.951 (M1), and 0.673 (E2).
179.5 2	13 1	2451.4+x	15 <sup>+</sup>	2272.1+x	(15) <sup>-</sup>	(E1) <sup>‡</sup>	0.0712	$\alpha(K)=0.0596\ 9; \alpha(L)=0.00902\ 13;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00200\ 3; \alpha(N+..)=0.000529\ 8$ $\alpha(N)=0.000463\ 7; \alpha(O)=6.34\times10^{-5}\ 9;$ $\alpha(P)=2.88\times10^{-6}\ 5$ Mult.: An intensity balance at the 2272.1+x level, given mult(337.4 $\gamma$ )=E2, requires mult=E1 for both the 106.5 $\gamma$ and the 179.5 $\gamma$ . $\alpha(K)=0.17\ 7; \alpha(L)=0.0366\ 18; \alpha(M)=0.0084\ 7;$ $\alpha(N+..)=0.00222\ 14$ $\alpha(N)=0.00195\ 14; \alpha(O)=0.000260\ 4;$ $\alpha(P)=1.0\times10^{-5}\ 5$
235.7 1	70 4	1405.3+x	(12) <sup>-</sup>	1169.6+x	(11) <sup>-</sup>	M1,E2	0.22 7	Mult.: From an intensity balance at the 1169.6+x level, $I(\gamma+ce)=I(\gamma+ce)(151.5\gamma)+I(\gamma+ce)(1169.6\gamma)-I(\gamma+ce)(764.9\gamma)$ , which yields $\alpha=0.23\ 12$ , consistent only with M1 or E2, with $\alpha=0.279$ and $0.152$ , respectively. $\alpha(K)=0.0432\ 6; \alpha(L)=0.01206\ 17;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00283\ 4; \alpha(N+..)=0.000737\ 11$ $\alpha(N)=0.000652\ 10; \alpha(O)=8.34\times10^{-5}\ 12;$ $\alpha(P)=2.24\times10^{-6}\ 4$ $\alpha(K)=0.0374\ 6; \alpha(L)=0.01000\ 14;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00234\ 4; \alpha(N+..)=0.000611\ 9$ $\alpha(N)=0.000539\ 8; \alpha(O)=6.94\times10^{-5}\ 10;$ $\alpha(P)=1.96\times10^{-6}\ 3$
320.1 1	100 5	2451.4+x	15 <sup>+</sup>	2131.3+x	13 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]	0.0588	$\alpha(K)=0.0432\ 6; \alpha(L)=0.01206\ 17;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00283\ 4; \alpha(N+..)=0.000737\ 11$ $\alpha(N)=0.000652\ 10; \alpha(O)=8.34\times10^{-5}\ 12;$ $\alpha(P)=2.24\times10^{-6}\ 4$ $\alpha(K)=0.0374\ 6; \alpha(L)=0.01000\ 14;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00234\ 4; \alpha(N+..)=0.000611\ 9$ $\alpha(N)=0.000539\ 8; \alpha(O)=6.94\times10^{-5}\ 10;$ $\alpha(P)=1.96\times10^{-6}\ 3$
337.4 1	18 1	2272.1+x	(15) <sup>-</sup>	1934.7+x	(13) <sup>-</sup>	[E2]	0.0504	$\alpha(K)=0.053\ 22; \alpha(L)=0.0094\ 16; \alpha(M)=0.0021\ 3;$ $\alpha(N+..)=0.00057\ 9$ $\alpha(N)=0.00050\ 8; \alpha(O)=6.9\times10^{-5}\ 14;$ $\alpha(P)=3.1\times10^{-6}\ 15$ $\alpha(K)=0.020\ 8; \alpha(L)=0.0032\ 9; \alpha(M)=0.00071\ 18;$ $\alpha(N+..)=0.00019\ 5$ $\alpha(N)=0.00017\ 5; \alpha(O)=2.3\times10^{-5}\ 7;$ $\alpha(P)=1.2\times10^{-6}\ 5$
361.4 1	45 2	1018.2+x	(10) <sup>+</sup>	656.9+x	(9) <sup>+</sup>	[M1,E2]	0.065 24	
529.6 1	17 1	1934.7+x	(13) <sup>-</sup>	1405.3+x	(12) <sup>-</sup>	[M1,E2]	0.024 9	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^{152}\text{Tm}$  IT decay (294 ns)    1986Mc14 (continued)** **$\gamma(^{152}\text{Tm})$  (continued)**

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^\#$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>†</sup>	$\alpha^@$	Comments
542.6 1	34 2	656.9+x	(9 <sup>+</sup> )	114.4+x	(8) <sup>+</sup>	[M1,E2]	0.022 9	$\alpha(K)=0.018$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.0030$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.00067$ 17; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00018$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.00016$ 4; $\alpha(O)=2.2\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(P)=1.1\times 10^{-6}$ 5
656.9 2	8 1	656.9+x	(9 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0+x	(9) <sup>+</sup>	[M1,E2]	0.014 5	$\alpha(K)=0.012$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.0018$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.00040$ 11; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00011$ 3 $\alpha(N)=9.E-5$ 3; $\alpha(O)=1.3\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=7.E-7$ 3
681.3 1	32 2	2131.3+x	13 <sup>+</sup>	1449.8+x	11 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]	0.00809	$\alpha(K)=0.00660$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.001161$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.000263$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=6.99\times 10^{-5}$ 10
726.2 1	67 5	2131.3+x	13 <sup>+</sup>	1405.3+x	(12 <sup>-</sup> )	[E1]	0.00265	$\alpha(N)=6.11\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(O)=8.40\times 10^{-6}$ 12; $\alpha(P)=3.71\times 10^{-7}$ 6 $\alpha(K)=0.00225$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.000312$ 5; $\alpha(M)=6.87\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(N+..)=1.84\times 10^{-5}$ 3
764.9 3	3 1	1934.7+x	(13 <sup>-</sup> )	1169.6+x	(11 <sup>-</sup> )	[E2]	0.00624	$\alpha(N)=1.601\times 10^{-5}$ 23; $\alpha(O)=2.28\times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.215\times 10^{-7}$ 17 $\alpha(K)=0.00513$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.000863$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.000195$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=5.18\times 10^{-5}$ 8 $\alpha(N)=4.53\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(O)=6.28\times 10^{-6}$ 9; $\alpha(P)=2.89\times 10^{-7}$ 4
1018.2 1	34 2	1018.2+x	(10 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0+x	(9) <sup>+</sup>	[M1,E2]	0.0049 15	$\alpha(K)=0.0041$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.00060$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.00013$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=3.6\times 10^{-5}$ 10 $\alpha(N)=3.1\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(O)=4.5\times 10^{-6}$ 13; $\alpha(P)=2.4\times 10^{-7}$ 8
1169.6 3	9 1	1169.6+x	(11 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0+x	(9) <sup>+</sup>	[M2,E3]	0.008 3	$\alpha(K)=0.0068$ 25; $\alpha(L)=0.0011$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00024$ 7; $\alpha(N+..)=6.6\times 10^{-5}$ 19 $\alpha(N)=5.7\times 10^{-5}$ 16; $\alpha(O)=8.1\times 10^{-6}$ 25; $\alpha(P)=4.2\times 10^{-7}$ 16; $\alpha(IPF)=6.29\times 10^{-7}$ 11
1449.8 2	35 3	1449.8+x	11 <sup>+</sup>	0.0+x	(9) <sup>+</sup>	[E2]	$1.75\times 10^{-3}$	$\alpha(K)=0.001433$ 20; $\alpha(L)=0.000207$ 3; $\alpha(M)=4.60\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(N+..)=6.80\times 10^{-5}$ 10 $\alpha(N)=1.073\times 10^{-5}$ 15; $\alpha(O)=1.532\times 10^{-6}$ 22; $\alpha(P)=8.11\times 10^{-8}$ 12; $\alpha(IPF)=5.57\times 10^{-5}$ 8

<sup>†</sup> From  $\alpha$  deduced from intensity balance arguments. Values in square brackets are based on the  $J^\pi$  assignments.

<sup>‡</sup> Deduced from the balance of  $I_\gamma$  at 2272.1+x level.

<sup>#</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.84 4.

<sup>@</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

$^{152}\text{Tm IT decay (294 ns)} \quad 1986\text{Mc14}$ 

## Decay Scheme

Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays  
 $\%IT=100.0$

## Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- - - - ►  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)

