

¹⁵²Nd β⁻ decay 1993Sh23

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. J. Martin	NDS 114, 1497 (2013)	31-Aug-2013

Parent: ¹⁵²Nd: E=0.0; J^π=0⁺; T_{1/2}=11.4 min 2; Q(β⁻)=1105 19; %β⁻ decay=100.0

¹⁵²Pm Levels

1993Sh23: ²³⁵U(n,F), ms; measured γ, ce, γγ.

1990Sh24: ²³⁵U(n,F), ion chem; measured γ, γγ, βγ(t), T_{1/2}.

1971Da19: measured γ, γγ.

Other: 1969Wa25.

E(level) [†]	J ^{π‡}	T _{1/2} [#]	E(level) [†]	J ^{π‡}	E(level) [†]	J ^{π‡}
0.0	1 ⁺		220.96 18		570.78 10	1 ⁺
16.03 4	0 ⁻ , 1 ⁻ , 2 ⁻	2.1 ns 10	294.55 4	1 ⁺	592.40 10	1 ⁺
25.02 7	(0 ⁺ , 1 ⁺ , 2 ⁺)	≤1.0 ns	319.17 14		659.90 12	1 ⁺
44.45 4	0 ⁻ , 1 ⁻ , 2 ⁻		330.47 14			
200.48 10			450.80 25	0,1		

[†] From least squares fit to Eγ.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

[#] From 1990Sh24.

β⁻ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ ^{-†#}	Log ft	Comments
(445 19)	659.90	0.46 7	5.61 10	av Eβ=133 7
(513 19)	592.40	1.65 22	5.26 8	av Eβ=156 7
(534 19)	570.78	1.44 19	5.38 8	av Eβ=164 7
(654 19)	450.80	0.11 2	6.80 9	av Eβ=207 7
(810 19)	294.55	47 6	4.49 7	av Eβ=266 8
(884 19)	220.96	0.034 9	7.77 12	av Eβ=295 8
(905 19)	200.48	<0.1	>7.3	av Eβ=303 8
(1061 19)	44.45	<3.8	>6.0	av Eβ=365 8
(1080 19)	25.02	≤52 [‡]	≥4.9	av Eβ=373 8
(1089 19)	16.03	<2	>6.3	av Eβ=376.5 78
(1105 19)	0.0	≤52 [‡]	≥4.9	av Eβ=384 8

[†] From the intensity imbalance at each level.

[‡] Iβ=46% 6 for the sum of the branches to the g.s. and the 25 level. See the comment on mult(25γ).

[#] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

γ(¹⁵²Pm)

I_γ normalization: From a comparison of I_γ(278γ) with I_γ(116.8γ) in ¹⁵¹Nd β⁻ decay **1993Sh23** obtained I_γ normalization=0.29 3 using I_γ(116.8γ)=43.4% 24 (**1988Si15**). The evaluator adopts I_γ normalization=0.26 3 based on I_γ(116.8γ)=39.0% 24 (**2009Si01**).

The α(K)exp were obtained by normalizing the ce and γ spectra to give α(K)exp(121.8γ, E2)=0.657 (**1993Sh23**). The 121.8γ is a 2⁺ to 0⁺ transition in the decay of ¹⁵²Pm. α(K)exp have been corrected by evaluator to give α(K)(121.8γ)=0.678.

E _γ [†]	I _γ ^{†&}	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult.	δ	α ^a	Comments
16.09 5	16.7 13	16.03	0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻	0.0	1 ⁺	E1		7.05 12	Mult.: α<24 from I _γ and the requirement that I(γ+ce)<100.
19.5 1	0.61 5	44.45	0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻	25.02	(0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺)	[E1]		4.14 9	
25.1 1	0.38 3	25.02	(0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺)	0.0	1 ⁺	E2+M1@			
28.50 10	3.2 3	44.45	0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻	16.03	0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻	M1+(E2)#	<0.09	11 2	Mult.: α(K)exp=0.016 3 (1993Sh23).
44.44 5	21.3 23	44.45	0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻	0.0	1 ⁺	[E1]		0.411	
94.1 2	0.209 25	294.55	1 ⁺	200.48		[E1,M1,E2]		1.6 13	
156.0 2	0.335 25	200.48		44.45	0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻	[E1,M1,E2]		0.26 18	
176.6 2	0.145 8	220.96		44.45	0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻	[E1,M1,E2]		0.18 12	
184.5 2	0.41 4	200.48		16.03	0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻	[E1,M1,E2]		0.16 11	
200.5 2	0.103 15	200.48		0.0	1 ⁺	[E1,M1,E2]		0.13 9	
240.5 2	0.32 4	570.78	1 ⁺	330.47		[E1,M1,E2]		0.08 5	
250.15 5	63 3	294.55	1 ⁺	44.45	0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻	E1		0.0226	
250.3 3	0.33‡ 5	450.80	0,1	200.48		[E1,M1,E2]		0.07 5	
261.4 3	0.102‡ 23	592.40	1 ⁺	330.47		[E1,M1,E2]		0.06 4	
269.4 2	0.95 12	294.55	1 ⁺	25.02	(0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺)	[E1,M1,E2]		0.06 4	
273.2 3	0.20‡ 7	592.40	1 ⁺	319.17		[E1,M1,E2]		0.06 4	
276.1 2	0.24‡ 5	570.78	1 ⁺	294.55	1 ⁺	[M1,E2]		0.082 12	
278.56 5	100 6	294.55	1 ⁺	16.03	0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻	E1		0.0171	Mult.: α(K)exp=0.013 3 (1993Sh23).
294.47 5	11.3 6	294.55	1 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺	M1(+E2)	<1.5	0.071 7	Mult.: α(K)exp=0.066 14 (1993Sh23).
302.9 2	0.36 5	319.17		16.03	0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻	[E1,M1,E2]		0.04 3	
314.4 2	0.31 5	330.47		16.03	0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻	[E1,M1,E2]		0.04 3	
340.5 2	0.36‡ 8	659.90	1 ⁺	319.17		[E1,M1,E2]		0.032 22	
350.0 3	0.04 2	570.78	1 ⁺	220.96		[E1,M1,E2]		0.030 20	
365.4 2	0.16‡ 3	659.90	1 ⁺	294.55	1 ⁺	[M1,E2]		0.037 8	
392.0 3	0.08 2	592.40	1 ⁺	200.48		[E1,M1,E2]		0.022 15	
406.4 4	0.08 3	450.80	0,1	44.45	0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻	[E1,M1,E2]		0.020 14	
526.3 3	0.28 5	570.78	1 ⁺	44.45	0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻	[E1]			
545.7 2	3.2 3	570.78	1 ⁺	25.02	(0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺)	[M1,E2]		0.013 3	
547.7 2	0.42 3	592.40	1 ⁺	44.45	0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻	[E1]		0.00336	
567.5 3	0.35 6	592.40	1 ⁺	25.02	(0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺)	[M1,E2]		0.012 3	
570.7 2	1.36 16	570.78	1 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺	[M1,E2]		0.011 3	
576.7 2	1.31 16	592.40	1 ⁺	16.03	0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻	[E1]		0.00300	

γ(¹⁵²Pm) (continued)

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ^{†&}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.</u>	<u>α^a</u>
592.5 2	3.8 3	592.40	1 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺	[M1,E2]	0.010 3
635.0 2	0.73 9	659.90	1 ⁺	25.02	(0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺)	[M1,E2]	0.0088 22
660.0 3	0.48 6	659.90	1 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺	[M1,E2]	0.0080 20

[†] From [1993Sh23](#).

[‡] Determined from coincidence data ([1993Sh23](#)).

From the requirement of an intensity balance at the 44 and 16 levels, and the assumption that log ft > 5.9 for the 0⁺ to 1⁻ β⁻ branches to these levels, one gets α(28.5γ) = 10 3. Since α(theory) = 9.26 for mult = M1, one can adopt α(28.5γ) = 11 2. The upper limit allows an E2 admixture of δ < 0.09.

@ Iβ(g.s. + 25 level) = 46% 6 from Σ Iβ = 54 6 for the branches to the other levels. From the requirement of an intensity balance at the g.s. and 25 level, one gets α(25γ) = 486 90 if all the feeding is to the 25 level, and α = 21 2 if the feeding is all to the g.s. with α(theory) = 13.5 (M1) and 826 (E2) these α(exp) values give mult(25γ) = E2 + M1 with 0.08 < δ < 1.5.

& For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.26 3.

^a Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ-ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

¹⁵²Nd β⁻ decay 1993Sh23

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_{γ(+e⁻)} per 100 decays through this branch

Legend

- I_γ < 2% × I_{γ^{max}}
- I_γ < 10% × I_{γ^{max}}
- I_γ > 10% × I_{γ^{max}}

