

^{152}Eu β^- decay (9.3116 h)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. J. Martin	NDS 114, 1497 (2013)	31-Aug-2013

Parent: ^{152}Eu : E=45.5998 4; $J^\pi=0^-$; $T_{1/2}=9.3116$ h 13; $Q(\beta^-)=1818.9$ 7; % β^- decay=73 3 ^{152}Eu -% β^- decay: From I($\gamma+\text{ce}$)(344 γ)/ Σ $I\beta^-=0.034$ 5 ([1957Na01](#)), $I\beta^+$ (to ^{152}Sm g.s.)=0.0064% 8 per parent decay ([1979Ar16](#), [1959An31](#)), ε/β^+ (to ^{152}Sm g.s.)=32.0 3 (theory) and the adopted decay schemes. ^{152}Gd Levels

Measured:

 γ : [1975Pr05](#), [1973MeXQ](#), [1971Ba63](#), [1969Va09](#).ce: [1990Ka35](#), [1984Bu35](#), [1979Ar16](#); others: [1975Sc32](#), [1960Ma11](#). β^- : [1969An18](#), [1958Al99](#), [1957Na01](#); other: [1950Hi17](#). $\beta\gamma$: [1957Na01](#). $\gamma\gamma$, (ce(K)) γ : [1975Pr05](#), [1975Sc32](#), [1971Ba63](#), [1960Ma11](#). $\beta\gamma(t)$: [1975Sc32](#). $\beta\gamma(\theta)$: [1963Bh09](#), [1959Gr91](#). $\beta\gamma(\theta)$ circular pol: [1965Lo09](#). $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$: [1959Wo52](#). $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (lin pol): [1959Wo52](#).

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺	
344.29 3	2 ⁺	
615.37 4	0 ⁺	$T_{1/2}$: 1975Sc32 report $T_{1/2}=20-210$ ps. The adopted value is 37 ps 8.
930.63 12	2 ⁺	
1047.87 6	0 ⁺	
1109.1 3	2 ⁺	
1123.13 21	3 ⁻	
1314.62 3	1 ⁻	J^π : $J^\pi=1^-$ from $\gamma\gamma(\theta,\text{pol})$ (1959Wo52).
1460.54 12	1,2 ⁺	
1756.04 5	1 ⁻	

[†] From a least-squares fit to the $E\gamma$ values.[‡] From Adopted Levels. β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ ^{†‡}	Log ft	Comments
(108.5 7)	1756.04	0.050 8	6.39 7	av $E\beta=28.4$ 4
(404.0 7)	1460.54	0.0122 24	8.80 9	av $E\beta=118.6$ 4
(549.9 7)	1314.62	1.57 25	7.13 7	av $E\beta=168.7$ 4 E(decay): 560 50 from $\beta\gamma$ (1957Na01). (560 β)(1315 γ) $(\theta,\text{circ pol})$ A=0.95 8 (1965Lo09).
(816.6 7)	1047.87	0.087 14	8.84 7	av $E\beta=267.3$ 5
(1520.2 7)	344.29	1.7 3	9.55 ^{1u} 7	av $E\beta=554.0$ 5 Unique shape (1969An18). E(decay): 1550 50 from $\beta\gamma$ (1957Na01). av $E\beta=704.0$ 5
(1864.5 7)	0.0	70 3	7.42 2	E(decay): 1852 4 (1969An18), 1855 10 (1958Al99). $I\beta^-$: others: 95 (1969An18), 90 (1950Hi17).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 $^{152}\text{Eu } \beta^- \text{ decay (9.3116 h) (continued)}$ β^- radiations (continued)

[†] From an intensity balance in the decay scheme.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

¹⁵²Eu β^- decay (9.3116 h) (continued) $\gamma(^{152}\text{Gd})$ I $_{\gamma}$ normalization: From I($\gamma+ce$)(344 γ)/ Σ I $_{\beta^-}$ =0.034 5 ([1957Na01](#)).For unplaced transitions see ¹⁵²Eu ε decay (9.3116 h).

E $_{\gamma}^{\frac{+}{-}}$	I $_{\gamma}^{\frac{+}{-}c}$	E $_i$ (level)	J $^{\pi}_i$	E $_f$	J $^{\pi}_f$	Mult.#	α^{\dagger}	I $_{(\gamma+ce)}^{\textcolor{blue}{c}}$	Comments
117.3 3	0.0150 5	1047.87	0 ⁺	930.63	2 ⁺	E2	1.410 24		$\alpha(K)=0.751$ 12; $\alpha(L)=0.509$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.1194$ 22; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0302$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.0267$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.00353$ 7; $\alpha(P)=3.82\times 10^{-5}$ 6
191.6 3	0.005 3	1314.62	1 ⁻	1123.13	3 ⁻	[E2]	0.256		I $_{\gamma}$: From I $_{\gamma}$ /I $_{\gamma}(704\gamma)$ =0.0331 14 in 17.5-h Tb ε decay. The value of 0.118 12 reported by 1973MeXQ appears to be a typo. IT should perhaps be 0.0118 12. From the decay scheme, I($\gamma+ce$)(117 γ) should be ≤ 1.27 I($\gamma+ce$)(586 γ). This requirement leads to I $_{\gamma}(117\gamma)<0.047$.
266.92 22	0.008 4	1314.62	1 ⁻	1047.87	0 ⁺	[E1]	0.0214		$\alpha(K)=0.176$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.0622$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.01436$ 23; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00368$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.00323$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.000442$ 7; $\alpha(P)=1.001\times 10^{-5}$ 15
271.13 ^b 5	0.525 ^b 24	615.37	0 ⁺	344.29	2 ⁺	E2	0.0826		$\alpha(K)=0.0182$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00253$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.000547$ 8; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001450$ 21 $\alpha(N)=0.0001249$ 18; $\alpha(O)=1.89\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(P)=1.146\times 10^{-6}$ 17
344.29 ^b 3	16.8 ^b 3	344.29	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	0.0397		$\alpha(K)=0.0620$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.01600$ 23; $\alpha(M)=0.00364$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000942$ 14 $\alpha(N)=0.000822$ 12; $\alpha(O)=0.0001158$ 17; $\alpha(P)=3.80\times 10^{-6}$ 6 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.058$ 7; K/L=4.5 10, L12/L3=4 2 (1979Ar16). These data give mult=E2(+M1) with $\delta>1.1$ from L12/L3, and >3.7 from $\alpha(K)\exp$.
412.0 3	0.005 3	1460.54	1,2 ⁺	1047.87	0 ⁺	E0	0.125 10		$\alpha(K)=0.0310$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.00678$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.001527$ 22; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000398$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.000346$ 5; $\alpha(O)=4.97\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(P)=1.99\times 10^{-6}$ 3
432.52 10		1047.87	0 ⁺	615.37	0 ⁺				I $_{(\gamma+ce)}$: 0.034 5 per β^- decay (from Ice(K)/ Σ β^- 1957Na01). The authors' value of 0.035 5 has been corrected by the evaluator for updated theory values for $\alpha(K)$ and K/L. Mult.: K/L=4.4 4, L/M=4.0 14 (1975Sc32); theory: K/L=4.58, L/M=4.44.
586.36 ^b 13	0.089 ^b 7	930.63	2 ⁺	344.29	2 ⁺	E0	0.063 3		E $_{\gamma}$: from 1979Ar16 . Mult.: no γ observed. I $_{(\gamma+ce)}$: From Ice(K)=0.120 9, a weighted average from 1979Ar16 and 1975Sc32 , and Ice(K)/Ice=0.877 (E0 theory).
615.44 20		615.37	0 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E0			E $_{\gamma}$: from 1979Ar16 . Mult.: no γ observed. I $_{(\gamma+ce)}$: From Ice(K)=0.0553 25, a weighted average of values from 1979Ar16 , 1975Sc32 , 1960Ma11) and Ice(K)/Ice=0.877 (E0 theory). $\rho=0.25$ 15 (1990Ka35).

¹⁵²Eu β⁻ decay (9.3116 h) (continued) $\gamma^{(152)\text{Gd}}$ (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\frac{+}{-}}$	$I_\gamma^{\frac{+}{-}c}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.#	$\delta^{\#}$	α^{\dagger}	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^c$	Comments
632.8 3	0.008 5	1756.04	1 ⁻	1123.13	3 ⁻					
646.9 3	0.005 3	1756.04	1 ⁻	1109.1	2 ⁺					
699.28 ^a 4	0.500 ^a 14	1314.62	1 ⁻	615.37	0 ⁺					
703.55 ^b 6	0.453 ^b 16	1047.87	0 ⁺	344.29	2 ⁺	E2		0.00598		$\alpha(K)=0.00498\ 7; \alpha(L)=0.000786\ 11;$ $\alpha(M)=0.0001724\ 25; \alpha(N+..)=4.57\times 10^{-5}\ 7$ $\alpha(N)=3.94\times 10^{-5}\ 6; \alpha(O)=5.95\times 10^{-6}\ 9;$ $\alpha(P)=3.41\times 10^{-7}\ 5$ Mult.: From $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0053\ 27.$
764.9 [@]	0.0026 [@] 16	1109.1	2 ⁺	344.29	2 ⁺					
778.9 ^{&}	0.014 ^{&} 7	1123.13	3 ⁻	344.29	2 ⁺					
825.5 3	0.005 3	1756.04	1 ⁻	930.63	2 ⁺					
845.4 5	0.063 9	1460.54	1,2 ⁺	615.37	0 ⁺					
970.333 ^a 9	3.93 ^a 14	1314.62	1 ⁻	344.29	2 ⁺	E1+M2	-0.021 12	$1.21\times 10^{-3}\ 2$		$\alpha(K)=0.001035\ 16; \alpha(L)=0.0001353\ 21;$ $\alpha(M)=2.91\times 10^{-5}\ 5; \alpha(N+..)=7.77\times 10^{-6}\ 12$ $\alpha(N)=6.67\times 10^{-6}\ 11; \alpha(O)=1.033\times 10^{-6}\ 16;$ $\alpha(P)=6.95\times 10^{-8}\ 11$ $E_\gamma:$ 1984Bu35 measured $E_\gamma-E_\gamma(963\gamma$ in Sm)=6.966 6 keV. Using the ADOPTED value for $E_\gamma(963)$ of 963.367 7 one gets $E_\gamma=970.333\ 9$ for $E_\gamma(970).$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0009\ 4$ (from $I(ce(K))=0.0038\ 15$ (1960Ma11). Mult=E1 from $\gamma\gamma(\text{pol})$ (1959Wo52)). $\delta:$ From $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (1959Wo52). $E_\gamma:$ from 1979Ar16 . $I_{(\gamma+ce)}:$ From $Ice(K)=0.0069\ 21$, a weighted average from 1979Ar16 and 1975Sc32 , and $Ice(K)/Ice=0.876$ (E0 theory). Mult.: no γ observed.
1048.1 3		1047.87	0 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E0		0.0079 24		
1109.2 [@]	0.0024 [@] 13	1109.1	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	(E2)		0.00222		$\alpha(K)=0.00188\ 3; \alpha(L)=0.000267\ 4;$ $\alpha(M)=5.80\times 10^{-5}\ 9; \alpha(N+..)=1.589\times 10^{-5}\ 23$ $\alpha(N)=1.330\times 10^{-5}\ 19; \alpha(O)=2.04\times 10^{-6}\ 3;$ $\alpha(P)=1.304\times 10^{-7}\ 19; \alpha(IPF)=4.22\times 10^{-7}\ 6$
1116.0 10	0.007 4	1460.54	1,2 ⁺	344.29	2 ⁺					
1314.61 ^a 6	6.55 ^a 11	1314.62	1 ⁻	0.0	0 ⁺	E1		7.73×10^{-4}		$\alpha(K)=0.000595\ 9; \alpha(L)=7.69\times 10^{-5}\ 11;$ $\alpha(M)=1.649\times 10^{-5}\ 23; \alpha(N+..)=8.45\times 10^{-5}\ 12$ $\alpha(N)=3.79\times 10^{-6}\ 6; \alpha(O)=5.88\times 10^{-7}\ 9;$ $\alpha(P)=4.01\times 10^{-8}\ 6; \alpha(IPF)=8.01\times 10^{-5}\ 12$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.00067\ 16.$
1411.76 ^b 5	0.313 ^b 6	1756.04	1 ⁻	344.29	2 ⁺					

¹⁵²Eu β⁻ decay (9.3116 h) (continued) $\gamma(^{152}\text{Gd})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\ddagger}	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger c}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
1460.65 <i>13</i>	0.011 <i>3</i>	1460.54	1,2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺
1755.98 <i>b 7</i>	0.0202 <i>b 18</i>	1756.04	1 ⁻	0.0	0 ⁺

[†] Additional information 1.

[‡] From [1973MeXQ](#), except where noted otherwise. The evaluator has increased the author's values by 8 eV to correct for a change in the energy of the 412 Au standard from 411.794, used by [1973MeXQ](#), to 411.80205 *17* ([2000He14](#)). At the same time, based on a comparison of the author's values with later work, [1990Me15](#), and with the value for the 368 γ of [1984Bu35](#), the evaluator has increased the uncertainty in the author's values to a minimum of 70 eV. For the I γ values, the evaluator has added in quadrature an uncertainty of 2% to account for the uncertainty in the efficiency curve.

[#] From Adopted Gammas. Mult data from this dataset are given in comments. The $\alpha(K)\exp$ are from the adopted I γ 's and a weighted average of Ice(K) data of [1979Ar16](#), [1975Sc32](#), and [1960Ma11](#), normalized to $\alpha(K)\exp(344\gamma)=0.03103$ (E2 theory).

[©] No deexciting transitions are observed from the 1109 level; however, this level is fed by the 647 γ from the 1756 level. using the known decay properties from the 1109 level as given in Adopted Gammas, and if there is no direct β^- feeding of the 1109 level, an intensity balance gives the I γ values shown. The energies are rounded-off values from Adopted Gammas.

[&] No deexciting transitions are observed from the 1123 level; however, this level is fed by the 192 γ from the 1314 level and by the 633 γ from the 1756 level. using the known decay properties from the 1123 level as given in Adopted Gammas, and if there is no direct β^- feeding of the 1123 level, an intensity balance gives the I γ values shown. The energy is a rounded-off value from Adopted Gammas.

^a Weighted average of data of [1975Pr05](#), [1973MeXQ](#), [1971Ba63](#) and [1969Va09](#).

^b Weighted average of data of [1975Pr05](#), [1973MeXQ](#), and [1971Ba63](#).

^c For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.142 22.

