

^{152}Tm ε decay (8.0 s) 1987To02

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. J. Martin	NDS 114, 1497 (2013)	31-Aug-2013

Parent: ^{152}Tm : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=(2)^-$; $T_{1/2}=8.0$ s 10; $Q(\varepsilon)=8720$ 70; % ε +% β^+ decay=100.0Measured: γ , $\gamma\gamma$, $\beta^+\gamma$ (1987To02), γ , $\gamma\gamma$ (1982No13). ^{152}Er Levels

1987To02 observed $(808\gamma)\beta^+$ with $E\beta+\approx 4.0$ MeV indicating that there is strong feeding to level(s) at ≈ 3.6 MeV (given $Q(\varepsilon)=8730$ 74). It appears that decay goes primarily via allowed decay(s) to level(s) with $J^\pi=1^-, 2^-, 3^-$ at ≈ 3.6 MeV.

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	Comments
0.0	0^+	
808.5 2	2^+	
1481.2 3	4^+	
1524.4 3	(3^-)	
1715.53 21	(2^+)	
$\approx 3730?$	$(1^-, 2^-, 3^-)$	E(level): from $E(\beta^+)\approx 4.0$ MeV in $(808\gamma)\beta^+$ coin (1987To02) and $Q(\varepsilon)$. J^π : probable low log ft suggests allowed decay to this level.

[†] From 1987To02.[‡] From Adopted Levels, unless otherwise noted. ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ [‡]	$I\varepsilon$ [‡]	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ [‡]	Comments
$\approx 5000^{\#}$	$\approx 3730?$					av $E\beta=1804$ 33
$(7.00 \times 10^3)^{\#}$ 7)	1715.53	<8.1	<1.1	>5.6	<9.2 [†]	av $E\beta=2752$ 34; $\varepsilon K=0.104$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0157$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.00465$ 14
$(7.20 \times 10^3)^{\#}$ 7)	1524.4	<12	<1.6	>5.5	<14 [‡]	av $E\beta=2843$ 34; $\varepsilon K=0.096$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.0145$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.00430$ 13
$(7.24 \times 10^3)^{\#}$ 7)	1481.2	<1.2	<0.36	>8.4 ^{lu}	<1.6	av $E\beta=2804$ 33; $\varepsilon K=0.186$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0285$ 8; $\varepsilon M+=0.00846$ 24
						$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$: calculated from log $f^{lu} t > 8.5$.
$(7.91 \times 10^3)^{\#}$ 7)	808.5	<9.1	<0.87	>5.8	<10	av $E\beta=3185$ 34; $\varepsilon K=0.0724$ 20; $\varepsilon L=0.0109$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.00324$ 9
						$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$: calculated from log $ft > 5.9$.

[†] Limit calculated from intensity balance assuming no γ feeding from higher levels.[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.[#] Existence of this branch is questionable. $\gamma(^{152}\text{Er})$

E_γ	I_γ	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	α [†]	Comments
672.7 2	9.5 10	1481.2	4^+	808.5	2^+	E2	0.00797	$\alpha(K)=0.00652$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.001128$ 16; $\alpha(M)=0.000254$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=6.74 \times 10^{-5}$ 10
715.9 2	13 1	1524.4	(3^-)	808.5	2^+	E2	0.00527	$\alpha(N)=5.88 \times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(O)=8.16 \times 10^{-6}$ 12; $\alpha(P)=3.68 \times 10^{-7}$ 6
808.3 2	100	808.5	2^+	0.0	0^+			$\alpha(K)=0.00436$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.000708$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.0001585$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{152}\text{Tm } \varepsilon$ decay (8.0 s) 1987To02 (continued) $\gamma(^{152}\text{Er})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
906.8 2	6 1	1715.53	(2 ⁺)	808.5	2 ⁺	$\alpha(\text{N}+..)=4.22\times10^{-5}$ 6 $\alpha(\text{N})=3.67\times10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=5.16\times10^{-6}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{P})=2.48\times10^{-7}$ 4
^x 1063.0 3	2.5 5					
^x 1106.4 3	2.5 5					
1716.0 3	2.0 6	1715.53	(2 ⁺)	0.0	0 ⁺	

[†] Additional information 1.[‡] From adopted gammas.^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{152}Tm ϵ decay (8.0 s) 1987To02Decay Scheme

Legend

Intensities: Relative I_γ 