## <sup>152</sup>Tm ε decay (5.2 s) 1980Li18

History						
Type Author		Citation	Literature Cutoff Date			
Full Evaluation	M. J. Martin	NDS 114, 1497 (2013)	31-Aug-2013			

Parent: <sup>152</sup>Tm: E=0+x;  $J^{\pi}=(9)^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=5.2$  s 6;  $Q(\varepsilon)=8720$  70;  $\%\varepsilon+\%\beta^+$  decay=100.0 Measured: E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\beta^+\gamma$ .

#### <sup>152</sup>Er Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	Jπ‡
0.0	$0^{+}$
808.2 1	2+
1480.8 2	4+
1903.3 2	6+
2183.2 2	8+

 $^\dagger$  From a least-squares fit to the  $E\gamma$  data and rounded off by the evaluator to one decimal digit.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

#### $\varepsilon, \beta^+$ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ <sup>+</sup> ‡	$\mathrm{I}\varepsilon^{\ddagger}$	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^\ddagger$	Comments
(6.54×10 <sup>3</sup> 7)	2183.2	67 17	13 4	4.30 16	80 <sup>†</sup> 20	av E $\beta$ =2470 <i>140</i> ; $\varepsilon$ K=0.134 <i>20</i> ; $\varepsilon$ L=0.020 <i>3</i> ; $\varepsilon$ M+=0.0060 <i>9</i> av E $\beta$ , I( $\beta^+$ ), I(ce), and log <i>ft</i> are calculated for a parent isomer energy of x=0.

<sup>†</sup> 1980Li18 estimate from the relative I $\gamma$  that  $\approx 50\%$  of  $\varepsilon + \beta^+$  decay goes to the 8<sup>+</sup> level. Additional feeding must then go to the 6<sup>+</sup> level (if  $J^{\pi}(\text{parent})=7^+$ ), or to levels above the 8<sup>+</sup> level, namely to the 10<sup>+</sup> level at 2948 keV (if  $J^{\pi}(\text{parent})=9^+$ ). However, from I $\gamma(422.5\gamma)$ , an I $\beta$  to the 6<sup>+</sup> level =18 18; and 1980Li18 do not see the 764.4-keV  $\gamma$  from the 10<sup>+</sup> level. No other levels with suitable spins have been seen in (HI,xn $\gamma$ ) reaction. It is therefore likely that most, if not all, the decay goes to the 8<sup>+</sup> level.

<sup>‡</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

## $\gamma(^{152}\text{Er})$

I $\gamma$  normalization: From I(808 $\gamma$ )=100.

$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f  J_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>†</sup>	α <sup>#</sup>	Comments
46 10	2183.2	8+	1903.3 6+	E2	0.0854	$\alpha$ (K)=0.0615 9; $\alpha$ (L)=0.0185 3; $\alpha$ (M)=0.00433 6; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.001121 16 $\alpha$ (N)=0.000991 14; $\alpha$ (O)=0.0001264 18; $\alpha$ (P)=3.12×10 <sup>-6</sup>
(( 15	1002.2	<+	1 400 0 4		0.0057	5
66 15	1903.3	6'	1480.8 4	E2	0.0257	$\alpha$ (K)=0.0200 <i>3</i> ; $\alpha$ (L)=0.00440 <i>7</i> ; $\alpha$ (M)=0.001011 <i>15</i> ; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.000265 <i>4</i>
						$\alpha$ (N)=0.000233 4; $\alpha$ (O)=3.10×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5; $\alpha$ (P)=1.089×10 <sup>-6</sup> 16
76 17	1480.8	4+	808.2 2+	E2	0.00797	$\alpha(K)=0.00652 \ 10; \ \alpha(L)=0.001129 \ 16; \ \alpha(M)=0.000254 \ 4; \ \alpha(N+)=6.74\times10^{-5} \ 10$
						$\alpha(N) = 5.89 \times 10^{-5} \ 9; \ \alpha(O) = 8.16 \times 10^{-6} \ 12; \ \alpha(P) = 3.68 \times 10^{-7}$
100	808.2	$2^{+}$	0.0 0+	E2	0.00528	$\alpha(\mathbf{K})=0.00437$ 7; $\alpha(\mathbf{L})=0.000708$ 10; $\alpha(\mathbf{M})=0.0001586$ 23;
	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$ 46 10 66 15 76 17 100	$\frac{I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}}{46\ 10}  \frac{E_i(\text{level})}{2183.2}$ $66\ 15  1903.3$ $76\ 17  1480.8$ $100 \qquad 808.2$	$\frac{I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}}{46\ 10}  \frac{E_i(\text{level})}{2183.2}  \frac{J_i^{\pi}}{8^+}$ $66\ 15  1903.3  6^+$ $76\ 17  1480.8  4^+$ $100  808.2  2^+$	$\frac{\mathbf{I}_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}}{46\ 10}  \frac{\mathbf{E}_{i}(\text{level})}{2183.2}  \frac{\mathbf{J}_{i}^{\pi}}{8^{+}}  \frac{\mathbf{E}_{f}}{1903.3}  \frac{\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}}{6^{+}}$ $66\ 15  1903.3  6^{+}  1480.8  4^{+}$ $76\ 17  1480.8  4^{+}  808.2  2^{+}$ $100  808.2  2^{+}  0.0  0^{+}$	$\frac{I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}}{46\ 10}  \frac{E_{i}(\text{level})}{2183.2}  \frac{J_{i}^{\pi}}{8^{+}}  \frac{E_{f}}{1903.3}  \frac{J_{f}^{\pi}}{6^{+}}  \frac{\text{Mult.}^{\dagger}}{\text{E2}}$ $66\ 15  1903.3  6^{+}  1480.8  4^{+}  \text{E2}$ $76\ 17  1480.8  4^{+}  808.2  2^{+}  \text{E2}$ $100  808.2  2^{+}  0.0  0^{+}  \text{E2}$	$\frac{I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}}{46\ 10}  \frac{E_{i}(\text{level})}{2183.2}  \frac{J_{i}^{\pi}}{8^{+}}  \frac{E_{f}}{1903.3}  \frac{J_{f}^{\pi}}{6^{+}}  \frac{\text{Mult.}^{\dagger}}{\text{E2}}  \frac{\alpha^{\#}}{0.0854}$ $66\ 15  1903.3  6^{+}  1480.8  4^{+}  \text{E2} \qquad 0.0257$ $76\ 17  1480.8  4^{+}  808.2  2^{+}  \text{E2} \qquad 0.00797$ $100  808.2  2^{+}  0.0  0^{+}  \text{E2} \qquad 0.00528$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

<sup>152</sup> Tm ε decay (5.2 s)	1980Li18 (continued)
-----------------------------------	----------------------

 $\gamma(^{152}\text{Er})$  (continued)

 $E_{\gamma}$   $E_i$ (level)

 $\frac{\alpha(\text{N}+..)=4.22\times10^{-5} \ 6}{\alpha(\text{N})=3.68\times10^{-5} \ 6; \ \alpha(\text{O})=5.16\times10^{-6} \ 8; \ \alpha(\text{P})=2.48\times10^{-7} \ 4}$ 

<sup>†</sup> From adopted gammas.

<sup>‡</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>#</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

# 152 Tm ε decay (5.2 s) 1980Li18

## Decay Scheme



 $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
 $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
 $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$

Legend



<sup>152</sup><sub>68</sub>Er<sub>84</sub>