

^{156}Er α decay

<u>Type</u>	<u>Author</u>	<u>History Citation</u>	<u>Literature Cutoff Date</u>
Full Evaluation	M. J. Martin	NDS 114,1497 (2013)	31-Aug-2013

Parent: ^{156}Er : $E=0$; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=19.5$ min 10; $Q(\alpha)=3541$ 10; $\% \alpha$ decay= 1.2×10^{-5} 3

^{156}Er -Q is from $E\alpha=3450$ 10 (2002KaZR). 2012Wa38 give 3487 25 based on an input of $E\alpha=3030$ 70 (1995KaZS). Other values of $E\alpha$ are 3300 70 (1992KaZP) and 3450 15 (1996ByZY). The value of 1998KaZS appears to be discrepant. Note that an α branch to the 614 2+ level would have $E\alpha=2852$ and from systematics of hindrance factors would have an intensity approximately 10×10^{-6} that of the branch to the g.s.

^{156}Er - $\% \alpha$ decay: The literature values are inconsistent. Values in increasing order are 5×10^{-8} 2 (1995KaZS), 1.2×10^{-7} 3 (1996ByZY), 5×10^{-7} 2 (1992KaZP), and 1.0×10^{-6} (2002KaZR). The radius parameters calculated with these intensities are 1.480 25, 1.527 17, 1.603 26, and 1.641 11, respectively. r_0 values for 148 Dy and 150 Dy are 1.527 4 and 1.551 24, respectively. From systematics of r_0 values in adjacent nuclides, the evaluator judges that the most likely value for ^{152}Dy is the one resulting from the α branching of 1996ByZY.

 ^{152}Dy Levels

<u>E(level)</u>	<u>J^π</u>
0	0^+

 α radiations

<u>$E\alpha$</u>	<u>E(level)</u>	<u>$I\alpha^\ddagger$</u>	<u>HF†</u>	<u>Comments</u>
3450 10	0	100	1.000	$E\alpha$: 2002KaZR.

$^\dagger r_0=1.527$ 17.

‡ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 1.2×10^{-7} 3.