

^{151}Er ε decay (23.5 s) 1991To08,1988Ba02

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 110, 1 (2009)	20-Nov-2008

Parent: ^{151}Er : E=0.0; $J^\pi=(7/2^-)$; $T_{1/2}=23.5$ s 20; $Q(\varepsilon)=5366$ 20; % ε +% β^+ decay=100.0

1991To08: measured γ , $\gamma\gamma$. Source produced by $^{95}\text{Mo}(^{64}\text{Zn},\text{xnyp})$ E=291 MeV followed by mass separation of $\alpha=155$ products. ^{151}Er isotope as a daughter of ^{155}Yb α decay.

1988Ba02: γ , $\gamma\gamma$, X γ , ce, $\gamma(t)$. Source produced in $^{96}\text{Mo}(^{58}\text{Ni},2\text{pn})$ and $^{92}\text{Mo}(^{64}\text{Zn},\text{N4P})$ reactions. Mass-separated.

1998Fo06: measured $\beta\gamma$ coin using plastic scintillator-Ge detector system. Source produced by $^{96}\text{Mo}(^{58}\text{Ni},\text{N2P})$ E=250 MeV followed by mass separation.

Others: 1970To16, 1982Ba75.

Source produced in $^{96}\text{Mo}(^{58}\text{Ni},2\text{pn})$ and $^{92}\text{Mo}(^{64}\text{Zn},\text{N4P})$ reactions. Mass-separated.

log $f\tau$ values are considered only approximate since the decay scheme is probably incomplete in view of the large decay energy available for ε decay.

 ^{151}Ho Levels

E(level)	J^π [†]	Comments
0.0	(11/2 ⁻)	
41.08 22	(1/2 ⁺)	
141.18 20	(3/2 ⁺)	
397.66 20	(5/2 ⁺)	
638.30 9	(7/2 ⁻ ,9/2 ⁻)	
667.19 10	(7/2 ⁻ ,9/2 ⁻)	
700.00 22	(7/2 ⁺)	
861.8 3		
868.92 10		
910.1 3		
934.7 3		
1001.69 22		
1129.14 19		
1202.2 5		
1279.80 13		
1377.80 13		
1541.59 23		
1563.32 14		E(level): the adopted level energy is the average of two values obtained from the (poorly fitted) 694.4 γ and 898.0 γ .
1832.81 13	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	
1860.92 22		
1947.0 4		

[†] From 'Adopted Levels'. The 898 γ was omitted from the least-squares fitting procedure since its inclusion gave a large normalized $\chi^2=7.6$.

 ε, β^+ radiations

$Q(\varepsilon)=5130$ 110 (from $\beta\gamma$ coin measurement, 1998Fo06), this value is ≈ 200 keV lower than 5366 20 from 2003Au03 evaluation.

E(decay)	E(level)	I β^+ [‡]	I ε [‡]	Log $f\tau$ [†]	I($\varepsilon+\beta^+$) ^{‡‡}	Comments
(3419 20)	1947.0	1.1 2	2.1 3	5.2	3.2 5	av E β =1082.7 91; εK =0.549 5; εL =0.0834 8; $\varepsilon M+$ =0.02462 22
(3505 20)	1860.92	1.9 3	3.4 4	5.0	5.3 7	av E β =1121.6 91; εK =0.529 5; εL =0.0802 8; $\varepsilon M+$ =0.02369 22
(3533 20)	1832.81	7.1 4	12 1	4.4	19 1	av E β =1134.5 91; εK =0.522 5; εL =0.0792 8; $\varepsilon M+$ =0.02338 22
(3803 20)	1563.32	3.4 4	4.2 4	5.0	7.6 8	av E β =1256.9 92; εK =0.460 5; εL =0.0697 7; $\varepsilon M+$ =0.02056 21

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{151}\text{Er } \varepsilon \text{ decay (23.5 s) }$ [1991To08,1988Ba02 \(continued\)](#) ϵ, β^+ radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+ \dagger$	$I\varepsilon^\ddagger$	$\log f \dagger$	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+) \dagger\dagger$	Comments
(3824 20)	1541.59	0.9 3	1.1 3	5.5	2.0 6	av $E\beta=1267.4$ 92; $\varepsilon K=0.455$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0689$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.02033$ 21
(3988 20)	1377.80	1.1 3	1.1 4	5.6	2.2 7	av $E\beta=1342.2$ 92; $\varepsilon K=0.420$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0635$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.01874$ 19
(4086 20)	1279.80	<0.4	<0.4	>6.1	<0.8	av $E\beta=1387.2$ 92; $\varepsilon K=0.400$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0604$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.01782$ 19 E(decay): measured $\beta(\text{endpoint})=2788$ 188 ($\beta(641\gamma)$ coin, 1998Fo06).
(4164 20)	1202.2	0.4 1	0.4 1	6.1	0.8 2	av $E\beta=1422.9$ 92; $\varepsilon K=0.384$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0580$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.01712$ 18
(4237 20)	1129.14	3.2 4	2.6 3	5.3	5.8 7	av $E\beta=1456.5$ 92; $\varepsilon K=0.370$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0559$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.01649$ 18
(4364 20)	1001.69	2.6 4	1.8 2	5.4	4.4 6	av $E\beta=1515.2$ 93; $\varepsilon K=0.347$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0523$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.01543$ 17
(4431 20)	934.7	1.0 4	0.68 24	5.9	1.7 6	av $E\beta=1546.1$ 93; $\varepsilon K=0.335$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0505$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.01490$ 16
(4456 20)	910.1	1.6 2	1.1 2	5.7	2.7 4	av $E\beta=1557.5$ 93; $\varepsilon K=0.331$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0499$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.01471$ 16
(4497 [#] 20)	868.92	1.2 8	0.7 5	5.9	1.9 13	av $E\beta=1576.4$ 93; $\varepsilon K=0.324$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0488$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.01440$ 16
(4504 20)	861.8	2.0 4	1.2 3	5.6	3.2 7	av $E\beta=1579.8$ 93; $\varepsilon K=0.323$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0486$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.01434$ 16
(4666 20)	700.00	4.1 3	2.2 2	5.4	6.3 5	av $E\beta=1654.7$ 93; $\varepsilon K=0.297$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.0447$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.01317$ 14
(4699 20)	667.19	6.6 8	3.6 5	5.2	10.2 13	av $E\beta=1670.0$ 93; $\varepsilon K=0.291$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.0439$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.01295$ 14
(4728 20)	638.30	10.6 9	5.6 5	5.0	16.2 13	av $E\beta=1683.3$ 93; $\varepsilon K=0.287$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.0432$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.01276$ 14 E(decay): measured $\beta(\text{endpoint})=3564$ 186 ($\beta(638\gamma)$ coin, 1998Fo06).
(4968 20)	397.66	5.2 15	2.3 7	5.5	7.5 22	av $E\beta=1795.2$ 94; $\varepsilon K=0.254$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.0381$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.01125$ 12 E(decay): measured $\beta(\text{endpoint})=3661$ 184 ($\beta(256\gamma)$ coin, 1998Fo06).

[†] All values should be considered as approximate since there is a gap of about 3.5 MeV between Q value and the highest known populated level.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[#] Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{151}\text{Ho})$

$I\gamma$ normalization: $\Sigma(I(\gamma+\text{ce})(\gamma'\text{'s to g.s. and 141 level}))=100$. No ε decay is expected to g.s., 41 and 141 level.

$E_\gamma \dagger$	$I_\gamma \dagger\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	$\alpha^\#$	Comments
100.1 1	27 5	141.18	$(3/2^+)$	41.08	$(1/2^+)$	M1	2.60	$\alpha(K)=2.19$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.325$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.0718$ 11; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0192$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.01667$ 24; $\alpha(O)=0.00242$ 4; $\alpha(P)=0.0001357$ 20 I_γ : 33 2 from $\Sigma(I(\gamma+\text{ce})(\text{in}))=I(\gamma+\text{ce})(100.1\gamma)$. Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=2.5$ 4 and $K/L=7.4$ 16 (1988Ba02).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{151}\text{Er } \varepsilon$ decay (23.5 s) 1991To08,1988Ba02 (continued) **$\gamma(^{151}\text{Ho})$ (continued)**

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\ddagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	$\alpha^\#$	Comments
230.7 2	3.2 4	868.92	(5/2 ⁺)	638.30	(7/2 ⁻ ,9/2 ⁻)			
256.5 1	52.5	397.66		141.18	(3/2 ⁺)	M1	0.187	$\alpha(K)=0.1577$ 23; $\alpha(L)=0.0230$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00508$ 8; $\alpha(N..)=0.001360$ 20 $\alpha(N)=0.001179$ 17; $\alpha(O)=0.0001718$ 25; $\alpha(P)=9.70\times 10^{-6}$ 14 Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.16$ 3 (1988Ba02).
302.4 2	6.5 5	700.00	(7/2 ⁺)	397.66	(5/2 ⁺)			
455.0 2	5.8 4	1832.81	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	1377.80				I_γ : 2.2 6 (1988Ba02).
462.0 2	4.9 4	1129.14		667.19	(7/2 ⁻ ,9/2 ⁻)			I_γ : 9.7 30 (1988Ba02).
537.0 2	5.3 16	934.7		397.66	(5/2 ⁺)			
553.0 1	17.2 15	1832.81	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	1279.80				
558.8 1	12.6 12	700.00	(7/2 ⁺)	141.18	(3/2 ⁺)			
638.3 1	100	638.30	(7/2 ⁻ ,9/2 ⁻)	0.0	(11/2 ⁻)			
641.5 1	17 2	1279.80		638.30	(7/2 ⁻ ,9/2 ⁻)			
667.2 1	51 3	667.19	(7/2 ⁻ ,9/2 ⁻)	0.0	(11/2 ⁻)			
694.4 1	14.4 16	1563.32		868.92				
720.6 2	9.8 20	861.8		141.18	(3/2 ⁺)			
739.5 1	12.6 20	1377.80		638.30	(7/2 ⁻ ,9/2 ⁻)			
768.9 2	8.2 10	910.1		141.18	(3/2 ⁺)			
860.5 1	13.3 18	1001.69		141.18	(3/2 ⁺)			
868.9 1	33 3	868.92		0.0	(11/2 ⁻)			I_γ : 22 3 (1988Ba02).
874.4 2	6.0 17	1541.59		667.19	(7/2 ⁻ ,9/2 ⁻)			
898.0 2	8.9 15	1563.32		667.19	(7/2 ⁻ ,9/2 ⁻)			E_γ : poor fit. Level-energy difference=896.1. This γ ray energy was not included in the least-squares fit procedure.
987.9 2	12.8 18	1129.14		141.18	(3/2 ⁺)			I_γ : 5.0 11 (1988Ba02).
992.0 2	16.1 20	1860.92		868.92				
1061.0 4	≈ 2.5	1202.2		141.18	(3/2 ⁺)			
^x 1073.0 [@] 2	≈ 3.6							γ reported only by 1988Ba02 , isotopic assignment uncertain.
1194.5 2	17.8 20	1832.81	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	638.30	(7/2 ⁻ ,9/2 ⁻)			
1435.2 2	17.7 13	1832.81	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	397.66	(5/2 ⁺)			
1549.3 3	9.7 15	1947.0		397.66	(5/2 ⁺)			
^x 1935.1 3	11 2							
^x 2133.7 3	4.0 10							

[†] From [1991To08](#). Values from [1988Ba02](#) are in general agreement with [1991To08](#) but less complete.

[‡] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.328 9.

[#] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

[@] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{151}\text{Er } \varepsilon \text{ decay (23.5 s) 1991To08,1988Ba02}$

Legend

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- Coincidence

