

$^{151}\text{Gd } \varepsilon \text{ decay (123.9 d)}$

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 110, 1 (2009)	20-Nov-2008

Parent: ^{151}Gd : E=0.0; $J^\pi=7/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=123.9$ d 10; $Q(\varepsilon)=464.2$ 28; % ε decay=100.0

Main references: [1984Gr15](#), [1983Vo10](#), [1982BaZX](#) (also [1996Vy02](#)), [1970Fo02](#).

Others: [1969Ho30](#), [1968Gr25](#), [1967Gr29](#), [1966Ha23](#).

γ : [1984Gr15](#), [1983Vo10](#), [1982BaZX](#), [1970Fo02](#), [1969Ho30](#). Others: [1977Dr04](#), [1970Ko30](#), [1970FoZZ](#), [1968Gr25](#), [1967Gr29](#), [1966Av05](#), [1966Ry02](#), [1965Fo14](#), [1963St13](#), [1961Be36](#), [1958Sh61](#), [1957Go72](#), [1957Bi90](#), [1950He18](#).

$\gamma\gamma$: [1983Vo10](#), [1970Fo02](#), [1977Dr04](#). Others: [1963St13](#), [1958Sh61](#).

ce : [1982BaZX](#), [1981Ar17](#), [1970An17](#), [1968Gr25](#), [1967Gr29](#), [1966Ha23](#), [1966Av05](#). Others: [1971MeZT](#), [1959Dz04](#), [1958An34](#), [1958Sh61](#), [1957Bi90](#).

$\gamma\gamma(\theta)$: [1985Be64](#). Data for 106-243 cascade.

$\gamma\gamma(t)$: [1994Si11](#), [1969FaZY](#), [1963Ho09](#), [1961Be36](#).

(x) $\gamma(t)$: [1970Ko30](#), [1963Ho09](#), [1958Sh61](#).

$ce\gamma(t)$: [1982BaZX](#), [1963Ki15](#), [1960Be27](#).

(x)(ce)(t): [1970Ko30](#).

cece(t): [1970Ko30](#), [1969Ho30](#), [1964Be36](#), [1962Be25](#), [1962Be20](#).

$\gamma(\theta)$: [1987Be33](#), low temperature nuclear orientation.

$T_{1/2}(^{151}\text{Gd isotope})$: [1984Gr15](#), [1983Vo10](#), [1958An34](#). Others: [1950He18](#), [1963Mi04](#).

K-shell ε probability: [1983Vo10](#), [1980Se01](#), [1973Ge06](#).

L-shell ε probability: [1983Ar23](#), [1982Ar22](#), [1977Ve01](#).

 $^{151}\text{Eu Levels}$

E(level) [‡]	J^π [†]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	5/2 ⁺		
21.501 10	7/2 ⁺	9.6 ns 3	$T_{1/2}$: weighted average of 9.75 ns 10 (1982BaZX), 10.2 ns 5 (1970Ko30), 9.4 ns 4 (1969Ho30), 7.5 ns 4 (1964Be36), 9.5 ns 5 (1963Ho09), 9.3 ns 7 (1963Ki15). Others: 1962Be25 , 1962Be20 . Methods: cece(t), X(ce)(t), $\gamma\gamma(t)$, X $\gamma(t)$, $\gamma(ce)(t)$.
196.207 13	11/2 ⁻	58.9 μ s 5	$T_{1/2}$: from 'Adopted Levels'. In $^{151}\text{Gd } \varepsilon$ decay delayed coin results are: 58.9 μ s 7 ($\gamma\gamma(t)$ 1994Si11); 58.8 μ s 6 ($\gamma\gamma(t)$ 1969FaZY), 58 μ s 3 ($\gamma(ce)(t)$ 1960Be27), 58 μ s 10 (X $\gamma(t)$ 1958Sh61).
196.49 2	(3/2) ⁺		
216.68 14			
243.25 2	7/2 ⁻	0.36 ns 2	$T_{1/2}$: cece(t) (1969Ho30). Other: 0.50 ns 3 (from X $\gamma(t)$, 1970Ko30).
260.45 3	5/2 ⁺		
306.23 3	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2,7/2 ⁺)		
307.27 6	(5/2) ⁺		
307.519 10	(7/2) ⁺		
349.813 12	9/2 ⁻	<0.1 ns	$T_{1/2}$: cece(t) (1969Ho30). Other: X $\gamma(t)$ (1970Ko30).
353.64 2	5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻		
415.80 7	(7/2 ⁺)		

[†] From 'Adopted Levels'.

[‡] From least-squares fit to E γ 's. Normalized $\chi^2=2.1$.

$^{151}\text{Gd } \varepsilon$ decay (123.9 d) (continued) **ε radiations**

E(decay)	E(level)	I ε^{\dagger}	Log ft	Comments
(48 3)	415.80	0.0011 2	9.3 2	$\varepsilon L=0.721; \varepsilon M+=0.279$
(111 3)	353.64	0.146 10	8.46 5	$\varepsilon K=0.657; \varepsilon L=0.259; \varepsilon M+=0.084$
(114 3)	349.813	9.9 7	6.68 5	$\varepsilon K=0.668; \varepsilon L=0.251; \varepsilon M+=0.081$
(157 3)	307.519	1.22 9	7.98 4	$\varepsilon K=0.738; \varepsilon L=0.199; \varepsilon M+=0.062$
(157 3)	307.27	0.028 6	9.6 1	$\varepsilon K=0.739; \varepsilon L=0.199; \varepsilon M+=0.062$
(158 3)	306.23	0.008 4	10.2 3	$\varepsilon K=0.940; \varepsilon L=0.198; \varepsilon M+=0.062$ I ε : other: 0.05 2 (1996Vy02).
(204 3)	260.45	0.16 2	9.16 6	$\varepsilon K=0.772; \varepsilon L=0.175; \varepsilon M+=0.054$
(221 3)	243.25	5.5 4	7.71 4	$\varepsilon K=0.779; \varepsilon L=0.169; \varepsilon M+=0.052$
(248 3)	216.68	0.0010 4	11.6 2	$\varepsilon K=0.788; \varepsilon L=0.162; \varepsilon M+=0.049$
(268 [‡] 3)	196.49	<0.01	>10.0	$\varepsilon K=0.794; \varepsilon L=0.158; \varepsilon M+=0.048$ I ε : other: 0.023 6 (1996Vy02).
(268 [‡] 3)	196.207	<0.7	>9.2	$\varepsilon K=0.794; \varepsilon L=0.158; \varepsilon M+=0.048$
(443 3)	21.501	74 6	7.28 4	$\varepsilon K=0.817; \varepsilon L=0.140; \varepsilon M+=0.041$ I ε : other: 60 8 (1996Vy02).
(464 3)	0.0	9 7	8.2 4	$\varepsilon K=0.819; \varepsilon L=0.140; \varepsilon M+=0.041$ I ε : other: 25 8 (1996Vy02).

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.[‡] Existence of this branch is questionable.

¹⁵¹Gd ε decay (123.9 d) (continued) $\gamma(^{151}\text{Eu})$

I γ normalization: From absolute photon intensity of 153.60 γ ([1984Gr15](#), [1983Vo10](#)). The α -decay branch=1.0×10⁻⁶% 6 ([1965Si06](#)). [1984Gr15](#) obtain I γ (153.6 γ)(absolute)=6.1% 5, from growth and decay of three strongest γ 's relative to 252 γ (in ¹⁵¹Tb ε decay). [1983Vo10](#) obtain I γ (153.6 γ) (absolute)=6.3% 4, from I(K x ray)/I γ (153.6 γ)=13.0 7.

Experimental conversion coefficients deduced from ce data of mainly [1968Gr25](#). Other ce data: [1982BaZX](#), [1971MeZT](#), [1967Gr29](#), [1966Ha23](#), [1966Av05](#), [1958Sh61](#), [1958An34](#)

E γ	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$	$\alpha(\text{L})\text{exp}$	$\alpha(\text{M})\text{exp}$	reference
106.6	1.0 2	0.7 2		
153.6	0.48 3	0.065 13	0.023 6	$\alpha(\text{L})\text{exp}$, $\alpha(\text{M})\text{exp}$ from 1967Gr29
196.5	0.20 4	0.16 4		
238.9	0.16 2			
243.3	0.024 2	0.004 1	0.0091 23	
260.5	0.12 3	0.02		
286.1	0.08 1	0.013 7	0.013	
307.5	0.083 12	0.014 4	0.0044 11	
328.3	0.014 3			
332.1	< 0.033			
353.7	< 0.01	0.0013 4		

3

E γ [†]	I γ ^{‡d}	E _i (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E _f	J $^\pi_f$	Mult. [#]	$\delta^{\#}$	$\alpha^{@}$	Comments
21.517 13	46 2	21.501	7/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.029 1	27.7 5	$\alpha(\text{L})=21.7$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=4.72$ 7; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=1.261$ 19 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.077$ 16; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.1684$ 25; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.01558$ 22 Mult., δ : from L- and M- subshell ce data (1981Ar17 , 1970An17). ce(L1):ce(L2):ce(L3)=12.7:1.66 12:0.82 7 (1981Ar17), 9.9 9:1.22 11:0.57 5 (1970An17), 12.8 2:1.35 3:1.10 20 (1968Gr25). Others: 1967Gr29 , 1966Ha23 , 1966Av05 , 1958An34 , 1958Sh61 . ce(M1):ce(M2):ce(M3)=2.7 4:0.41 5:0.20 5 (1981Ar17), 1.93 3:0.265 5:0.129 4 (1970An17). Others: 1958An34 , 1958Sh61 . ce(M):ce(N):ce(O+P)=2.33 12:0.55 25:0.18 4 (1970An17) others: 1968Gr25 , 1966Av05 , 1967Gr29 , 1966Ha23 . $\alpha(\text{L})\text{exp}=22$ 2, $\alpha(\text{L2})\text{exp}=2.8$ 3, $\alpha(\text{L3})\text{exp}=1.4$ 2, $\alpha(\text{M1})\text{exp}=4.6$ 3, $\alpha(\text{M2})\text{exp}=0.7$ 1 $\alpha(\text{M3})\text{exp}=0.33$ 7 (average of 1981Ar17 and 1970An17) $\alpha(\text{N})\text{exp}=1.1$ 5, $\alpha(\text{O}+..)\text{exp}=0.36$ 8 (1970An17). Others: 1968Gr25 , 1967Gr29 , 1966Av05 , 1966Ha23 , 1958Sh61 , 1958An34 . E γ : weighted average of 1983Vo10 , 1982BaZX , 1970An17 , 1970Fo02 , 1969Ho30

¹⁵¹₆₃Gd ε decay (123.9 d) (continued)

$\gamma(^{151}\text{Eu})$ (continued)									
E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger d}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	$\sigma^{\#}$	$\alpha^{@}$	Comments
63.92 ^b 7	0.014 3	260.45	5/2 ⁺	196.49	(3/2) ⁺	[M1,E2]		10 4	and 1968Gr25. See 'adopted gammas', also. I_γ : value from 1982BaZX not included in weighted average.
64.2 ^b 2	0.015 5	307.27	(5/2) ⁺	243.25	7/2 ⁻	[E1]		0.929 15	$\alpha(K)=0.771\ 13$; $\alpha(L)=0.1238\ 21$; $\alpha(M)=0.0267\ 5$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00691\ 12$ $\alpha(N)=0.00597\ 10$; $\alpha(O)=0.000874\ 15$; $\alpha(P)=6.05\times 10^{-5}\ 10$
93.21 ^b 7	0.03 1	353.64	5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻	260.45	5/2 ⁺	[E1]		0.343	$\alpha(K)=0.288\ 4$; $\alpha(L)=0.0433\ 7$; $\alpha(M)=0.00933\ 14$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00243\ 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.00210\ 3$; $\alpha(O)=0.000314\ 5$; $\alpha(P)=2.38\times 10^{-5}\ 4$
^x 102 ^{&e} 106.57 1	1.40 4	349.813	9/2 ⁻	243.25	7/2 ⁻	E2+M1	+10 +10-2	1.92	$\alpha(K)=0.992\ 14$; $\alpha(L)=0.719\ 11$; $\alpha(M)=0.168\ 3$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0424\ 7$ $\alpha(N)=0.0373\ 6$; $\alpha(O)=0.00508\ 8$; $\alpha(P)=7.41\times 10^{-5}\ 12$ δ : $\alpha(L)\exp$ gives $\delta(E2/M1)>1$, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ gives $\delta=0.00\ 5$ or $+10 +10-2$ (deduced by the evaluator from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (1985Be64)). (107 γ)(243 γ) (θ) : $A_2=+0.053\ 17$, $A_4=+0.013\ 9$ (1985Be64).
109.74 4	0.046 23	306.23	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2,7/2 ⁺)	196.49	(3/2) ⁺	[D,E2]		1.0 8	
110.33 ^b 6	0.08 1	353.64	5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻	243.25	7/2 ⁻	[M1,E2]		1.55 16	$\alpha(K)=1.04\ 15$; $\alpha(L)=0.39\ 23$; $\alpha(M)=0.09\ 6$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.023\ 14$ $\alpha(N)=0.020\ 12$; $\alpha(O)=0.0029\ 16$; $\alpha(P)=0.00010\ 4$
110.76 ^b 6	0.11 2	307.27	(5/2) ⁺	196.49	(3/2) ⁺	[M1,E2]		1.53 15	$\alpha(K)=1.03\ 14$; $\alpha(L)=0.39\ 23$; $\alpha(M)=0.09\ 6$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.023\ 14$ $\alpha(N)=0.020\ 12$; $\alpha(O)=0.0028\ 15$; $\alpha(P)=0.00010\ 4$
153.60 1	100.0 5	349.813	9/2 ⁻	196.207	11/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.18 3	0.546	$\alpha(K)=0.459\ 7$; $\alpha(L)=0.0683\ 13$; $\alpha(M)=0.0148\ 3$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00397\ 8$ $\alpha(N)=0.00339\ 7$; $\alpha(O)=0.000533\ 10$; $\alpha(P)=5.04\times 10^{-5}\ 8$ δ : from $\gamma(\theta,T)$ (1987Be33).
157.08 ^b 10	0.012 4	353.64	5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻	196.49	(3/2) ⁺	[E1]		0.0836	$\alpha(K)=0.0708\ 10$; $\alpha(L)=0.01009\ 15$; $\alpha(M)=0.00217\ 3$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000572\ 8$ $\alpha(N)=0.000491\ 7$; $\alpha(O)=7.50\times 10^{-5}\ 11$; $\alpha(P)=6.28\times 10^{-6}\ 9$
174.70 1	47.8 10	196.207	11/2 ⁻	21.501	7/2 ⁺	M2		2.35	$\alpha(K)=1.86\ 3$; $\alpha(L)=0.378\ 6$; $\alpha(M)=0.0853\ 12$;

¹⁵¹₆₃Gd ε decay (123.9 d) (continued)¹⁵¹₆₃Eu (continued)

									Comments
	E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger d}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	$\delta^\#$	$\alpha^{@}$
195.18 <i>14</i>	0.014 5	216.68			21.501	7/2 ⁺	[D,E2]		0.17 <i>12</i>
196.2 <i>e CA</i>	<0.46	196.207	11/2 ⁻		0.0	5/2 ⁺	[E3]		1.383
									$\alpha(N+..)=0.0229 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.0196 3; \alpha(O)=0.00305 5; \alpha(P)=0.000272 4$ Additional information 1 . ce(L1)/ce(L3)=21/2.5 (1966Ha23). Mult.: from ce(L1)/ce(L3). See also (p,p'γ).
196.49 2	0.46 2	196.49	(3/2) ⁺		0.0	5/2 ⁺	E2+M1	0.45 15	0.268 6
									$\alpha(K)=0.583 9; \alpha(L)=0.615 9; \alpha(M)=0.1473 21;$ $\alpha(N+..)=0.0374 6$ $\alpha(N)=0.0328 5; \alpha(O)=0.00449 7; \alpha(P)=5.25\times 10^{-5} 8$ ce data suggest a weak E3 γ of this energy. $\alpha(K)=0.222 8; \alpha(L)=0.0363 20; \alpha(M)=0.0080 5;$ $\alpha(N+..)=0.00212 12$ $\alpha(N)=0.00181 11; \alpha(O)=0.000280 13;$ $\alpha(P)=2.37\times 10^{-5} 12$ Mult.,δ: from B(E2) in Coul. ex. and adopted branching ratio. Mult=E2,M1 from ce in ¹⁵¹ Gd ε.
221.80 7	0.037 6	243.25	7/2 ⁻		21.501	7/2 ⁺			
238.97 5	1.4 2	260.45	5/2 ⁺		21.501	7/2 ⁺	M1		0.1618
243.29 3	90.3 5	243.25	7/2 ⁻		0.0	5/2 ⁺	E1		0.0262
260.46 5	0.69 4	260.45	5/2 ⁺		0.0	5/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	<1	0.119 10
^x 269.5 <i>ae 10</i>	0.04 1								
284.72 3	0.035 10	306.23	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2,7/2 ⁺)	21.501	7/2 ⁺	[D,E2]		0.06 4	
286.09 2	1.44 5	307.519	(7/2) ⁺	21.501	7/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	<1	0.092 9	$\alpha(K)=0.076 9; \alpha(L)=0.01197 21; \alpha(M)=0.00261 7;$ $\alpha(N+..)=0.000696 13$ $\alpha(N)=0.000595 13; \alpha(O)=9.26\times 10^{-5} 14;$ $\alpha(P)=8.2\times 10^{-6} 12$
^x 298.97 <i>c 3</i>	0.040 16								
307.50 1	16.7 4	307.519	(7/2) ⁺		0.0	5/2 ⁺	M1		0.0824
									$\alpha(K)=0.0699 10; \alpha(L)=0.00977 14; \alpha(M)=0.00211 3;$ $\alpha(N+..)=0.000567 8$ $\alpha(N)=0.000483 7; \alpha(O)=7.67\times 10^{-5} 11;$ $\alpha(P)=7.66\times 10^{-6} 11$

¹⁵¹Gd ε decay (123.9 d) (continued) $\gamma^{(151)\text{Eu}}$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger d}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	$\alpha @$	Comments
328.31 <i>I</i>	1.33 4	349.813	9/2 ⁻	21.501	7/2 ⁺	E1	0.01222	$\alpha(K)=0.01042$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.001422$ 20; $\alpha(M)=0.000305$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=8.12\times10^{-5}$ 12
332.11 3	0.14 <i>I</i>	353.64	5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻	21.501	7/2 ⁺	(E1)	0.01188	$\alpha(N)=6.94\times10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(O)=1.082\times10^{-5}$ 16; $\alpha(P)=9.95\times10^{-7}$ 14 $\alpha(K)=0.01012$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.001381$ 20; $\alpha(M)=0.000296$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=7.89\times10^{-5}$ 11
^x 338.50 ^c 9	0.026 5							$\alpha(N)=6.74\times10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(O)=1.052\times10^{-5}$ 15; $\alpha(P)=9.68\times10^{-7}$ 14
^x 345 ^{ae} 1	0.04 <i>I</i>							
349.85 ^{ce} 4	0.053 3	349.813	9/2 ⁻	0.0	5/2 ⁺	[M2]	0.226	$\alpha(K)=0.186$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.0315$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.00696$ 10; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00187$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.001596$ 23; $\alpha(O)=0.000251$ 4; $\alpha(P)=2.36\times10^{-5}$ 4
353.66 2	2.06 5	353.64	5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻	0.0	5/2 ⁺	E1	0.01018	$\alpha(K)=0.00868$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.001180$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.000253$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=6.75\times10^{-5}$ 10
394.26 9	0.0097 14	415.80	(7/2 ⁺)	21.501	7/2 ⁺	[M1,E2]	0.034 9	$\alpha(N)=5.76\times10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(O)=9.00\times10^{-6}$ 13; $\alpha(P)=8.34\times10^{-7}$ 12 $\alpha(K)=0.029$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.0045$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.00099$ 10; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00026$ 3
415.84 10	0.0070 15	415.80	(7/2 ⁺)	0.0	5/2 ⁺	[M1,E2]	0.030 8	$\alpha(N)=0.000226$ 24; $\alpha(O)=3.5\times10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(P)=3.0\times10^{-6}$ 10 $\alpha(K)=0.025$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.0039$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.00085$ 10; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00023$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.000194$ 24; $\alpha(O)=3.0\times10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(P)=2.6\times10^{-6}$ 9

[†] Weighted average of [1983Vo10](#), [1982BaZX](#), [1970Fo02](#) and [1968Gr25](#). Uncertainties in [1982BaZX](#) have been rounded off to the nearest hundredth of a keV.

[‡] Weighted average of [1984Gr15](#), [1983Vo10](#), [1982BaZX](#), [1970Fo02](#), [1969Ho30](#), [1968Gr25](#) and [1967Gr29](#). [1984Gr15](#) give I_y's for 7 intense transitions only.

[#] From ce data.

[@] Theoretical values (from BrIcc code) for assigned mult and δ. For M1,E2 assignment δ=1 assumed. Experimental conversion coefficients deduced by normalization to α(K) for 174.7γ treated as M2.

[&] From ce data of [1967Gr29](#).

^a From [1968Gr25](#) only.

^b Reported by [1983Vo10](#) only from γγ.

^c From [1982BaZX](#).

^d For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.062 4.

^e Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{151}\text{Gd } \varepsilon$ decay (123.9 d)

Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- - - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays