

¹⁵¹Er IT decay (0.58 s) 1997Co24,1988Ba02,1980Ja16

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 110,1 (2009)	20-Nov-2008

Parent: ¹⁵¹Er: E=2585.5 6; J^π=(27/2⁻); T_{1/2}=0.58 s 2; %IT decay=95.3 4

¹⁵¹Er-%IT decay: from ratio of intensities of 597.4γ and 789.3γ in ¹⁵¹Ho and summed intensities of 1548.2γ, 1140.4γ, 1495.3 and 801.8γ in ¹⁵¹Er from the decay of 0.58-s isomer, %I(ε+β⁺)=4.7 4. Thus %IT=95.3 4. It should be pointed out that in the level scheme of 1997Co24, the the total transition intensity feeding the g.s. is 93.1 19, somewhat less than the adopted %IT=95.3 4, yet agrees within the uncertainties.

1997Co24: ⁹⁶Mo(⁵⁸Ni,2pn) E=4.4 MeV/nucleon. Measured E_γ, I_γ, γγ, ce. Mass-separated source. Conversion electrons measured with a mini-orange magnetic spectrometer.

1988Ba02: ⁹²Mo(⁶⁴Zn,N4P) and ⁹⁶Mo(⁵⁸Ni,2pn). Measured: γ, γγ, Xγ, ce, γce. A total of six gamma rays were reported.

1980Ja16: ¹⁴¹Pr,¹⁴⁴, ¹⁴⁷Smbarded with ¹²C, ¹⁴N, ¹⁶O beams of E=70-130 MeV. Measured: delayed γγ, multiplicity, excitation functions. Isotopic identification from cross bombardment, excitation functions. 1980Bo07 assigned two isomers (45 ns 5 and 37 ns 10) to ¹⁵¹Er. 1980Ja16, however, give them as one isomer belonging to ¹⁵²Ho on the basis that they are observed in the ¹⁴¹Pr+¹⁶O bombardment also.

Other: 1980Bo07.

Some authors are common in 1997Co24 and 1988Ba02.

¹⁵¹Er Levels

All configurations are from 1997Co24.

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0	(7/2 ⁻)		Configuration=νf _{7/2} .
801.7 2	(9/2 ⁻)		Configuration=νh _{9/2} .
1140.3 2	(13/2 ⁺)	10 ns 3	T _{1/2} : from γ(t), pulsed beam (1980Ja16). Configuration=(νf _{7/2} ⊗3 ⁻)⊗νi _{13/2} .
1495.8 2	(9/2 ⁻)		Configuration=νf _{7/2} ⊗2 ⁺ . 354.2γ and 692.8γ placed from this level by 1990Ak01 in ¹⁵¹ Tm ε decay (4.17 s) study are not reported by 1997Co24.
1548.2 2	(11/2 ⁻)		Configuration=νf _{7/2} ⊗2 ⁺ . 408.3γ placed from this level by 1990Ak01 in ¹⁵¹ Tm ε decay (4.17 s) study is not reported by 1997Co24.
1720.9 2	(11/2 ⁺)		Configuration=νf _{7/2} ⊗3 ⁻ .
2075.0 2	(13/2 ⁺)		
2211.7 2	(15/2 ⁺)		718.0γ and 1411.0γ placed from this level by 1990Ak01 in ¹⁵¹ Tm ε decay (4.17 s) study are not reported by 1997Co24.
2239.4 2	(17/2 ⁺)		Configuration=νf _{7/2} ⊗5 ⁻ .
2528.1 3	(21/2 ⁺)		Configuration=νf _{7/2} ⊗7 ⁻ .
2585.8 4	(27/2 ⁻)	0.58 s 2	J ^π : 1980Ja16 measured delayed γ multiplicity: 3.4 5. Configuration=πh _{11/2} ² ⊗νf _{7/2} . T _{1/2} : from 'Adopted Levels'. Half-life of fully-ionized (bare) atom (¹⁵¹ Er ⁶⁸⁺)=19 s 3 (2003Li42), where ¹⁵¹ Er was produced in the fragmentation of ²⁰⁹ Bi beam at 900 MeV/nucleon followed by mass separation using fragment-recoil separator and storing the fragments in cooler ring (ESR) at GSI facility.

[†] From least-squares fit to E_γ's, assuming Δ(E_γ)=0.2 keV when not given.

[‡] From 'Adopted Levels'.

¹⁵¹Er IT decay (0.58 s) [1997Co24](#),[1988Ba02](#),[1980Ja16](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{151}\text{Er})$

[1997Co24](#) state that some of the γ -transition placements by [1990Ak01](#) in ¹⁵¹Tm ε decay (4.17 s) study are in conflict with their work. Apparently, the details of the work by [1997Co24](#) are in a thesis by R. Collatz, KFA Julich (1994) (reference 8 in [1997Co24](#)).

[Additional information 1.](#)

E_γ	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α^c	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{\ddagger b}$	Comments
28 ^a	≈0.3	2239.4	(17/2 ⁺)	2211.7	(15/2 ⁺)	[M1]	19.2	≈6 ^a	ce(L)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.742 7; ce(M)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.165 3; ce(N+)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.0442 9 ce(N)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.0384 8; ce(O)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.00553 11; ce(P)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.000303 6 $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$: Estimated (by evaluator) from intensity balance. α : Assumed $\Delta(E\gamma)=1$ keV and mult=M1.
57.7	0.074 3	2585.8	(27/2 ⁻)	2528.1	(21/2 ⁺)	E3	1257 25	93.0 19	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$: estimated from $I_{(\gamma+ce)}(288.7\gamma)$. I_γ : based on $I_{(\gamma+ce)}=95.3$ (1988Ba02) and $\alpha(E3)$ (only L and higher shells considered for α ; K-shell binding energy is 57.49 keV). E_γ : seen only in ce spectrum in 1988Ba02 . Mult.: from a fit of observed ce(L) line with theoretical L subshell ratios (1988Ba02).
136.9 ^a	1.9 1	2211.7	(15/2 ⁺)	2075.0	(13/2 ⁺)	(M1)	1.162	4.0 ^a 2	ce(K)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.451 4; ce(L)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.0674 11; ce(M)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.01495 24; ce(N+)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.00402 7 ce(N)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.00349 6; ce(O)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.000504 8; ce(P)/($\gamma+ce$)=2.78×10 ⁻⁵ 5
225.3 ^a	0.3 1	1720.9	(11/2 ⁺)	1495.8	(9/2 ⁻)	[E1]	0.038	0.3 ^a 1	
288.7 [@] 2	86.3 18	2528.1	(21/2 ⁺)	2239.4	(17/2 ⁺)	E2 ^{&}	0.0776	93.0 19	ce(K)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.0523 7; ce(L)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.01527 22; ce(M)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.00358 5; ce(N+)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.000926 14 ce(N)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.000819 12; ce(O)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.0001048 15; ce(P)/($\gamma+ce$)=2.67×10 ⁻⁶ 4 Additional information 6.
338.5 [@] 2	2.0 1	1140.3	(13/2 ⁺)	801.7	(9/2 ⁻)	(M2) [#]	0.372	2.7 1	ce(K)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.2191 25; ce(L)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.0404 6; ce(M)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.00923 14; ce(N+)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.00248 4 ce(N)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.00216 4; ce(O)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.000308 5; ce(P)/($\gamma+ce$)=1.606×10 ⁻⁵ 24 Additional information 3.
354.0 ^a	1.3 1	2075.0	(13/2 ⁺)	1720.9	(11/2 ⁺)	(M1) [#]	0.0858	1.4 ^a 1	I_γ : From $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ and α . Other: 0.91 20 (1988Ba02). ce(K)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.0666 9; ce(L)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.00974 14; ce(M)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.00215 3; ce(N+)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.000579 9 ce(N)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.000503 7; ce(O)/($\gamma+ce$)=7.28×10 ⁻⁵ 11; ce(P)/($\gamma+ce$)=4.05×10 ⁻⁶ 6

¹⁵¹Er IT decay (0.58 s) [1997Co24](#),[1988Ba02](#),[1980Ja16](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{151}\text{Er})$ (continued)

E_γ	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α^c	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{\ddagger b}$	Comments
526.8 ^a	1.6 1	2075.0	(13/2 ⁺)	1548.2	(11/2 ⁻)	[E1]	0.00498	1.6 ^a 1	ce(K)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.0666 9; ce(L)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.00974 14; ce(M)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.00215 3; ce(N+)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.000579 9 ce(N)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.000503 7; ce(O)/($\gamma+ce$)=7.28×10 ⁻⁵ 11; ce(P)/($\gamma+ce$)=4.05×10 ⁻⁶ 6 ce(K)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.00420 6; ce(L)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.000587 9; ce(M)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.0001290 18; ce(N+)/($\gamma+ce$)=3.44×10 ⁻⁵ 5 ce(N)/($\gamma+ce$)=2.99×10 ⁻⁵ 5; ce(O)/($\gamma+ce$)=4.27×10 ⁻⁶ 6; ce(P)/($\gamma+ce$)=2.26×10 ⁻⁷ 4
580.4 ^a	1.6 1	1720.9	(11/2 ⁺)	1140.3	(13/2 ⁺)	(M1) [#]	0.0238	1.6 ^a 1	ce(K)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.0197 3; ce(L)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.00283 4; ce(M)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.000625 9; ce(N+)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.0001681 24 ce(N)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.0001457 21; ce(O)/($\gamma+ce$)=2.11×10 ⁻⁵ 3; ce(P)/($\gamma+ce$)=1.185×10 ⁻⁶ 17
801.6 [@]	2	801.7	(9/2 ⁻)	0.0	(7/2 ⁻)	[M1,E2]		2.5 1	Additional information 2.
919.3 ^a	0.3 1	1720.9	(11/2 ⁺)	801.7	(9/2 ⁻)	[E1]		0.3 ^a 1	
935.0 ^a	0.7 1	2075.0	(13/2 ⁺)	1140.3	(13/2 ⁺)	[M1,E2]		0.7 ^a 1	
1071.4 ^a	2.4 1	2211.7	(15/2 ⁺)	1140.3	(13/2 ⁺)	[M1,E2] [#]		2.4 ^a 1	
1098.9 [@]	2	86.4 18	(17/2 ⁺)	1140.3	(13/2 ⁺)	E2 ^{&}	0.00277	86.6 18	ce(K)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.00232 4; ce(L)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.000348 5; ce(M)/($\gamma+ce$)=7.72×10 ⁻⁵ 11; ce(N+)/($\gamma+ce$)=2.06×10 ⁻⁵ 3 ce(N)/($\gamma+ce$)=1.79×10 ⁻⁵ 3; ce(O)/($\gamma+ce$)=2.55×10 ⁻⁶ 4; ce(P)/($\gamma+ce$)=1.322×10 ⁻⁷ 19 Additional information 5.
1140.2 [@]	2	87.0 18	(13/2 ⁺)	0.0	(7/2 ⁻)	(E3) ^{&}	0.00539	87.5 18	ce(K)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.00437 6; ce(L)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.000767 11; ce(M)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.0001733 25; ce(N+)/($\gamma+ce$)=4.64×10 ⁻⁵ 7 ce(N)/($\gamma+ce$)=4.02×10 ⁻⁵ 6; ce(O)/($\gamma+ce$)=5.64×10 ⁻⁶ 8; ce(P)/($\gamma+ce$)=2.65×10 ⁻⁷ 4 IP/T=2.45E-7 4. Additional information 4.
1495.9 ^a	0.3 1	1495.8	(9/2 ⁻)	0.0	(7/2 ⁻)	[M1,E2]		0.3 ^a 1	
1548.2 ^a	2.8 2	1548.2	(11/2 ⁻)	0.0	(7/2 ⁻)	[E2]		2.8 ^a 2	

[†] From [1997Co24](#).

[‡] Deduced by the evaluator from I($\gamma+ce$) if [1997Co24](#) and α from BrIcc code. Uncertainties for I($\gamma+ce$) were not given by [1997Co24](#). These are assumed as 2% for three (289, 1099 and 1140) strong gamma rays and 5-10% for the others in comparison to those quoted in [1988Ba02](#), a study done at the same laboratory.

[#] From ce data in [1997Co24](#); the details of these data are not available.

[@] From [1988Ba02](#).

[&] From 'Adopted Gammas'.

¹⁵¹Er IT decay (0.58 s) 1997Co24,1988Ba02,1980Ja16 (continued)

$\gamma(^{151}\text{Er})$ (continued)

^a γ from 1997Co24 only, no uncertainties are provided by the authors.

^b Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

^c Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^{151}Er IT decay (0.58 s) 1997Co24,1988Ba02,1980Ja16

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays
 %IT=95.3 4

Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- Coincidence

