

¹⁵⁰Tb ε decay (5.8 min) 1977Ha21,1972Ha18

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	S. K. Basu, A. A. Sonzogni		NDS 114, 435 (2013)	1-Apr-2013

Parent: ¹⁵⁰Tb: E=461 27; J^π=9⁺; T_{1/2}=5.8 min 2; Q(ε)=4658 8; %ε+%β⁺ decay=100.0

¹⁵⁰Sm(α,4nγ) reaction and ¹⁵⁰Tb ε decay data were taken.

Decay scheme is incomplete as only 81.3 % of the total ε+β⁺ intensity is observed feeding levels.

¹⁵⁰Gd Levels

E(level)	J ^π †	T _{1/2}	E(level)	J ^π †	E(level)	J ^π †
0	0 ⁺	1.79×10 ⁶ y 8	1700.85 16	5 ⁻	2392.41 23	7 ⁺
638.05 10	2 ⁺		1936.71 22	6 ⁺	2554.44 20	8 ⁺
1134.35 14	3 ⁻		2116.03 19	6 ⁺	2905.9 4	8 ⁺
1288.50 18	4 ⁺		2211.42 21	7 ⁻		

† Based on angular-distribution and conversion-electron data.

ε,β⁺ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ ⁺ ‡	Iε ‡	Log f _t	I(ε+β ⁺) †‡	Comments
(2.21×10 ³ 3)	2905.9	0.15 6	2.1 8	5.83 18	2.3 9	av Eβ=542 13; εK=0.783 5; εL=0.1172 7; εM+=0.03398 20
(2.56×10 ³ 3)	2554.44	11 1	68 3	4.47 3	79 4	av Eβ=698 13; εK=0.720 6; εL=0.1072 10; εM+=0.0310 3

† From I(γ+ce) balance.

‡ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

γ(¹⁵⁰Gd)

When conversion-electron data were not available, transition multiplicities were assumed to be stretched E2's if the angular-distribution coefficients' ratio A₂/A₀ was > 0.3.

E _γ †	I _γ ‡&	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. #	α [@]	Comments
95.5 2	5 1	2211.42	7 ⁻	2116.03	6 ⁺	[E1]	0.331	α(K)=0.277 5; α(L)=0.0421 7; α(M)=0.00912 14; α(N)=0.00206 4; α(N+..)=0.00238 4
154.1 2	10 1	1288.50	4 ⁺	1134.35	3 ⁻	[E1]	0.0910	α(K)=0.0769 11; α(L)=0.01110 16; α(M)=0.00240 4; α(N)=0.000546 8; α(N+..)=0.000631 10 Mult.: assumed E1 in order to obtain multipolarity of other member of a degenerate pair.
162.0 2	73 5	2554.44	8 ⁺	2392.41	7 ⁺	M1	0.513	α(K) _{exp} =0.43 3 α(K)=0.434 7; α(L)=0.0622 9; α(M)=0.01352 20; α(N)=0.00311 5; α(N+..)=0.00363 6 Mult.: M1 obtained by assuming unresolved 154-keV transition to be E1.
179.4 6	14 7	2116.03	6 ⁺	1936.71	6 ⁺	E2	0.320 6	α(K) _{exp} =0.17 9 α(K)=0.215 4; α(L)=0.0815 17; α(M)=0.0189 4; α(N)=0.00424 9; α(N+..)=0.00483 10 Mult.: E2 obtained on assumption that an unresolved 180.9-keV transition is an E1.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{150}Tb ε decay (5.8 min) $^{1977}\text{Ha}21,^{1972}\text{Ha}18$ (continued) $\gamma(^{150}\text{Gd})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ ‡&	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.#	$\alpha^@$	Comments
180.9 3	14 7	2392.41	7 ⁺	2211.42	7 ⁻	[E1]	0.0593	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0501$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00715$ 11; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001547$ 23; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000352$ 6; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000408$ 6
235.9 ^a 3	≈5	1936.71	6 ⁺	1700.85	5 ⁻	[E1]	0.0294	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0250$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00351$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000757$ 11; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001727$ 25; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000200$ 3 E γ and I γ are from ($\alpha,4n\gamma$) with I $\gamma(235.9\gamma)$ coming from I $\gamma(235\gamma)/\text{I}\gamma(648\gamma)$ in that reaction.
274.9 3	19 7	2211.42	7 ⁻	1936.71	6 ⁺	E1	0.0199	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.030$ 10 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.01687$ 24; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00235$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000507$ 8; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001158$ 17 $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0001344$ 20
343.07 10	250 20	2554.44	8 ⁺	2211.42	7 ⁻	E1	0.01142	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.010$ 1 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00972$ 14; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001337$ 19; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000288$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N})=6.59\times 10^{-5}$ 10 $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=7.66\times 10^{-5}$ 11
412.4 2	98 6	1700.85	5 ⁻	1288.50	4 ⁺	E1	0.00734	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00626$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000852$ 12; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000184$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N})=4.21\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=4.89\times 10^{-5}$ 7 Mult.: a (412 γ)/415 γ unresolved pair gave
415.3 2	40 5	2116.03	6 ⁺	1700.85	5 ⁻	E1	0.00722	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0059$ 7 and were both designated E1. $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00615$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000838$ 12; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000181$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N})=4.14\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=4.81\times 10^{-5}$ 7 Mult.: a (412 γ)/415 γ unresolved pair gave
438.37 10	420 30	2554.44	8 ⁺	2116.03	6 ⁺	E2	0.0199	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.017$ 1 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.01598$ 23; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00305$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000680$ 10; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001546$ 22 $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0001783$ 25
455.7 2	120 30	2392.41	7 ⁺	1936.71	6 ⁺	(M1+E2)	0.025 7	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.020$ 5 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.021$ 7; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0032$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00071$ 11; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00016$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00019$ 4
496.30 10	235 10	1134.35	3 ⁻	638.05	2 ⁺	E1	0.00479	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0039$ 4 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00409$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000552$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001189$ 17; $\alpha(\text{N})=2.72\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=3.17\times 10^{-5}$ 5
510 1	250 40	2211.42	7 ⁻	1700.85	5 ⁻	E2	0.01324	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.013$ 3 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.01079$ 16; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00192$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000425$ 7; $\alpha(\text{N})=9.69\times 10^{-5}$ 15 $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0001120$ 17 I γ : value estimated from intensity balance in the level scheme.
566.52 10	220 20	1700.85	5 ⁻	1134.35	3 ⁻	E2	0.01011	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0095$ 10 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00830$ 12; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001414$ 20; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000312$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N})=7.12\times 10^{-5}$ 10 $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=8.24\times 10^{-5}$ 12
638.05 10	1000	638.05	2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺	E2	0.00754	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00624$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001017$ 15; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000224$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N})=5.11\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=5.92\times 10^{-5}$ 9
648.4 3	185 50	1936.71	6 ⁺	1288.50	4 ⁺	E2	0.00726	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0061$ 5 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00601$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000974$ 14; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000214$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N})=4.89\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=5.67\times 10^{-5}$ 8
650.4 3	700 30	1288.50	4 ⁺	638.05	2 ⁺	E2	0.00720	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00597$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000966$ 14; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000212$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N})=4.85\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=5.62\times 10^{-5}$ 8

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{150}Tb ε decay (5.8 min) [1977Ha21](#),[1972Ha18](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{150}\text{Gd})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{‡&}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	a [@]	Comments
789.9 4	23 9	2905.9	8 ⁺	2116.03	6 ⁺	E2	0.00459	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.004$ 2 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00384$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000587$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001282$ 18; $\alpha(\text{N})=2.94 \times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N}+.)=3.41 \times 10^{-5}$ 5
827.48 10	410 30	2116.03	6 ⁺	1288.50	4 ⁺	E2	0.00414	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0036$ 3 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00347$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000524$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001143$ 16; $\alpha(\text{N})=2.62 \times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N}+.)=3.04 \times 10^{-5}$ 5

[†] [1977Ha21](#) report a single set of values for both their ($\alpha, 4n\gamma$) and their 5.8 min ε -decay experiments. If averaging data, care should be taken to use these data only once.

[‡] Photon intensity is given per 1000 decays normalized to $I_\gamma(638)=1000$.

[#] Where $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ is not given mult is from adopted gammas.

[@] Normalization was achieved by assuming the 638 keV to be an E2 as measured by [1971Ke06](#) and ce intensities are relative to that of this transition.

[&] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0993.

^a Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^{150}Tb ϵ decay (5.8 min) 1977Ha21,1972Ha18

Decay Scheme

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- - - γ Decay (Uncertain)

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

