

(HI,xn $\gamma$ ) 1984Ch11

Type	Author	Citation	History	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	S. K. Basu, A. A. Sonzogni	NDS 114, 435 (2013)		1-Apr-2013

See (HI,xn $\gamma$ ): 2.55  $\mu\text{s}$  delayed for experimental details.

Prompt data were taken in the time range 0-13 ns in  $\gamma(t)$  measurements.

 $^{150}\text{Er}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	E(level)	$J^\pi$	E(level)	T <sub>1/2</sub>
(0.0)	0 <sup>+</sup>		4927.5	(14 <sup>+</sup> )	7372.4	15 ns 4
2797	10 <sup>+</sup> <sup>‡</sup>	2.55 $\mu\text{s}$ 10	5222.2	(16 <sup>+</sup> )	7937.1	
4000.7	(11 <sup>-</sup> ) <sup>#</sup>		6359.3		8483.4	
4243.4	(12 <sup>+</sup> )		6928.3		9149.0	
4490.7	(13 <sup>-</sup> )		7153.4		9509.1	43 ns 3
4884.6	(15 <sup>-</sup> )		7332.8			

<sup>†</sup> From comparison of level excitations above the 10<sup>+</sup> state with shell-model predictions (1981La26) for configuration=( $\pi$  h<sub>11/2</sub>)<sup>4</sup> states, except as noted.

<sup>‡</sup> From assumption that the 2797 level is an expected shell-model state of configuration=( $\pi$  h<sub>11/2</sub>)<sub>10+</sub><sup>4</sup>.

<sup>#</sup> By analogy with <sup>148</sup>Dy,  $J^\pi$  assigned on assumption that this level is a member of a multiplet formed by coupling 10<sup>+</sup> to 3<sup>-</sup>.

 $\gamma(^{150}\text{Er})$ 

E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub>	I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> <sup>†</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup><math>\pi</math></sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup><math>\pi</math></sup>	E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub>	I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> <sup>†</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup><math>\pi</math></sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup><math>\pi</math></sup>
39.4 4		7372.4		7332.8		569.0 2	23 2	6928.3		6359.3	
219.0 2	2.5 12	7372.4		7153.4		665.6 2	24 2	9149.0		8483.4	
247.4 2	29 2	4490.7	(13 <sup>-</sup> )	4243.4 (12 <sup>+</sup> )		684.1 2	27 2	4927.5 (14 <sup>+</sup> )	4243.4 (12 <sup>+</sup> )		
x286.5 2	23 2					973.7 4	4 2	7332.8		6359.3	
294.8 2	17 2	5222.2	(16 <sup>+</sup> )	4927.5 (14 <sup>+</sup> )		1013.1 3	23 3	7372.4		6359.3	
337.6 2	81 4	5222.2	(16 <sup>+</sup> )	4884.6 (15 <sup>-</sup> )		1137.1 2	36 3	6359.3		5222.2 (16 <sup>+</sup> )	
360.1 2	26 2	9509.1		9149.0		1203.7 1	100	4000.7 (11 <sup>-</sup> )	2797 10 <sup>+</sup>		
393.9 1	126 7	4884.6	(15 <sup>-</sup> )	4490.7 (13 <sup>-</sup> )		1211.8 4	2 1	9149.0		7937.1	
404.5 2	17 2	7332.8		6928.3		1446.4 2	52 4	4243.4 (12 <sup>+</sup> )	2797 10 <sup>+</sup>		
490.0 1	88 5	4490.7	(13 <sup>-</sup> )	4000.7 (11 <sup>-</sup> )		1474.6 4	12 2	6359.3		4884.6 (15 <sup>-</sup> )	
546.3 2	24 2	8483.4		7937.1		1931.2 4	16 2	7153.4		5222.2 (16 <sup>+</sup> )	
564.7 2	24 2	7937.1		7372.4							

<sup>†</sup> Normalized to 100 for the 1203.7 transition. Note that the branchings are discrepant in the prompt and the delayed data sets.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

(HI,xn $\gamma$ ) 1984Ch11

## Legend

## Level Scheme

Intensities: Type not specified

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$

