

$^{150}\text{Sm}(\text{p,d})$ 1983Ga07

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen		NDS 185, 2 (2022)	23-Aug-2022

Includes $^{150}\text{Sm}(\text{p,d}\gamma)$ from 2019Na10.

1983Ga07: E=42 MeV from the K50 Michigan State University cyclotron. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$ from 7° to 55° (lab system) in steps of 5° . FWHM=25-35 keV. Uncertainty in absolute σ is 10%. DWBA analysis. L-transfers and nuclear structure factors deduced.

1969Ya08: E=55 MeV from the INS Tokyo synchrocyclotron. FWHM $\approx$ 80 keV. $\sigma(\theta)$ from 5° to 50° (lab) in steps of 5° . Uncertainty in absolute σ is 15%.

1974GrYX (thesis): E=20 MeV. $\sigma(\theta)$ data from 5° to 170° . FWHM $\approx$ 35 keV. DWBA analysis. L-transfers deduced.

2019Na10: $^{150}\text{Sm}(\text{p,d}\gamma)$, E=28 MeV; measured deuteron spectra, E_γ , I_γ , and $d\gamma$ -coin using the Hyperion array with ΔE -E telescope and HPGe clover detector array at the Cyclotron Institute of Texas A&M University. Deduced nuclear level densities (NLDs) and γ -strength function (γSF) using the Oslo method, total B(M1) strength, parameters for giant resonances.

All data are from 1983Ga07 unless otherwise stated.

 ^{149}Sm Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π &	L [#]	C ² S [@]	Comments
0	$7/2^-$	3	1.3	L=3, S=3.5 (1969Ya08).
281 [‡] 5	$9/2^-$ & $5/2^-$	5+3	0.43,0.25	L: 50%(L=5)+50%(L=3). E(level): corresponds to 277 and 286 levels. E=280 20, L=3, S=1.4 (1969Ya08).
348 5	$3/2^-$	1	0.20	
392 ^a 10		(1)		
531 [‡] 5	$3/2^-$ & $5/2^-$	1+3	0.09,0.13	L: 50%(L=1)+50%(L=3). E(level): corresponds to 528 and 558 levels. 1974GrYX give energy=560 and L=(3). E=540 20, L=3, S=1.1 (1969Ya08).
583 ^a 10		(1)		L: inconsistent with $J^\pi=9/2^-$ for 591 level in the Adopted Levels.
636 5	$7/2^-$	3	0.35	E=640 20, L=3, S=0.48 (1969Ya08).
665 ^a 10		(2)		E(level),L: not clear whether this level corresponds to a tentative 659 level or the 664, $11/2^-$ level. L=2 is, however, inconsistent with the latter assignment.
694 5	$(3/2)^-$	1	0.13	
777 ^a 10		(0)		E(level),L: from other studies, known levels are 785, $5/2^-$ and 790, $11/2^+$. L=0 is not consistent with either of these.
874 [‡] 5	$13/2^+$ & $(1/2^-)$	6+(1)	0.72,0.07	L: 60%(L=6)+40%(L=1). L=(5) from 1969Ya08 for an 890 20 level is inconsistent with $J^\pi=13/2^+$. C ² S: for $J^\pi=13/2^+$ and $1/2^-$. E(level): 1969Ya08 give 890 20 with L=5, and S=4.1, whereas 1983Ga07 give 847 5 with L=6(+1). Energy quoted by 1983Ga07 seems erroneous. From spectrum in 1983Ga07, evaluators estimate the peak at 874 and not at 847. The two components with L=6 and L=(1) most likely correspond to 878.6 and 881.9 levels (see Adopted Levels). The L=5 assignment by 1969Ya08 is inconsistent with L=6 from (d,t) and multipolarity assignment from ce data in in-beam γ -ray study.
926 ^a 10		(2)		
968 ^a 10		(0)		
1009 ^a 10		(1)		
1038 10	$(3/2)^+$	2	0.87	E=1040 20, L=2, S=2.3 (1969Ya08).
1181 [‡] 10	$1/2^+$ & $(3/2^+)$	0+2	0.85,0.74	E=1190 20, L=0+2, S=2.1, 1.1 (1969Ya08).
1226 ^a 10				
1285 10	$(11/2)^-$	5	1.60	E(level),L: identified with $\nu h_{11/2}$ level at 1308.8 from in-beam γ -ray. 1969Ya08 give E(level)=1310 and L=5, S=6.5, which agrees with $11/2^-$ assignment. L=0 assignment for a level at 1311 (1974GrYX) seems incorrect.
1352 10	$5/2^-$	(3)	0.07	L: 1974GrYX give L=0 for a level at 1344.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{150}\text{Sm}(\text{p,d})$ **1983Ga07 (continued)** ^{149}Sm Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	J ^π &	L [#]	C ² S [@]	Comments
1376 ^a 10		(0)		
1408 10	(5/2) ⁻	3	0.12	
1456 10	(3/2,5/2) ⁺	2	0.12	L: 1974GrYX give L=0 for a level at 1439. E=1480 20, L=2, S=0.70 (1969Ya08).
1480 ^a 10		(1)		
1538 ^a 10		(0)		
1579 10	(3/2,5/2) ⁺	2	0.05	
1616 10	(5/2) ⁻	3	0.07	E=1640 20, L=(2), S=0.35 (1969Ya08).
1673 10				
1767 [‡] 10	(7/2 ⁺)&(5/2 ⁺)	4+2	0.09,0.04	L: 40%(L=4)+60%(L=2). E=1780 20, L=2, S=0.33 (1969Ya08).
1880 10	(7/2) ⁺	4	0.11	
1946 [‡] 10	(7/2 ⁺)&(5/2 ⁺)	4+2	0.09,0.04	L: 80%(L=4)+20%(L=2).
2021 [‡] 15	(5/2 ⁺)&(11/2 ⁻)	2+5	0.16,0.14	L: 70%(L=2)+30%(L=5). L=0 for a 2047 level (1974GrYX) seems suspect. E=2040 20, L=2, S=0.79 (1969Ya08).
2174 [‡] 15	(5/2 ⁺)&(11/2 ⁻)	2+5	0.13,0.25	L: 70%(L=2)+30%(L=5).
2211 [‡] 15	(5/2 ⁺)&(11/2 ⁻)	2+5	0.16,0.31	L: 70%(L=2)+30%(L=5). E=2200 20, L=(2), S=1.1 (1969Ya08).
2283 [‡] 15	(5/2 ⁺)&(7/2 ⁺)	2+4	0.11,0.22	L: 60%(L=2)+40%(L=4).
2422 [‡] 15	(5/2 ⁺)&(11/2 ⁻)	2+5	0.10,0.21	L: 50%(L=2)+50%(L=5).
2459 [‡] 15	(5/2 ⁺)&(11/2 ⁻)	2+5	0.17,0.34	L: 50%(L=2)+50%(L=5). E=2480 20, L=2, S=1.2 (1969Ya08). E=2590 20, L=2, S=1.1 (1969Ya08).
2580 15	(5/2) ⁺	2	0.26	
2701 [‡] 15	(5/2 ⁺)&(11/2 ⁻)	2+5	0.08,0.07	
3360 ^b				E(level): centroid of a wide bump between 2800 and 4000. $\sigma(\theta)$ shows L=2+5. C ² S=1.6. A similar structure observed at 3600 in (³ He, α).
5600 ^b				E(level): centroid of a bump between 4000 and 7200. $\sigma(\theta)$ shows L=2+4. C ² S=0.9.

[†] From 1983Ga07, unless otherwise stated.[‡] Unresolved doublet inferred from mixed L-transfers (1983Ga07).[#] From 1983Ga07, unless otherwise stated. In several cases $\sigma(\theta)$ data (1983Ga07) were fitted with mixed L-transfers, whereas 1969Ya08 and 1974GrYX generally fitted to a single L-transfer. For mixed L-transfers, contribution from each L-value used in fitting $\sigma(\theta)$ data is given under comments.[@] C²S values defined as $\sigma(\text{exp})/(N \times \sigma(\text{DWUCK})/(2J+1))$, where N=23 (1983Ga07). 1974GrYX do not give S-factors. 1969Ya08 give S-factors but the definition of S in their work is unclear.[&] As proposed by 1983Ga07 with the assumption of the following shell-model orbitals for the transferred nucleon: p_{3/2} for L(n)=1; d_{3/2} (below ≈1.5 MeV excitation) and d_{5/2} (above ≈1.5 MeV excitation) for L(n)=2; f_{5/2} for L(n)=3; g_{7/2} for L(n)=4; h_{11/2} for L(n)=5. These assignments are consistent with those in Adopted Levels.^a Level from 1974GrYX only. Estimated uncertainty=10 keV. L(p,d) assignments treated questionable.^b Wide bumps in continuum region above 2800.