	Hist	ory		
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date	
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen	NDS 185, 2 (2022)	23-Aug-2022	

Parent: <sup>252</sup>Cf: E=0.0;  $J^{\pi}=0^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=2.647$  y 3; %SF decay=3.102 3

<sup>252</sup>Cf-T<sub>1/2</sub>: From <sup>252</sup>Cf Adopted Levels in the ENSDF database (Jan 2021 update).

<sup>252</sup>Cf-%SF decay: %SF=3.102 3 for <sup>252</sup>Cf SF decay.

Includes prompt  $\gamma$ -ray study from  ${}^{9}\text{Be}({}^{238}\text{U},\text{F}\gamma)$  reaction from 2015Wa28.

2015Wa28: data from two experiments have been combined: 1.  $^{252}$ Cf SF decay: measured E $\gamma$  and  $\gamma\gamma$  using GAMMASPHERE

array comprised of 101 Compton-suppressed Ge detectors at LBNL facility 2.  ${}^{9}\text{Be}({}^{238}\text{U},\text{F}\gamma),\text{E=6.2 MeV/nucleon}$ , measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ , Z- and A- gated  $\gamma\gamma$  coincidences with isotopically identified fission fragments using VAMOS++ and EXOGAM array at GANIL facility. Deduced high-spin levels.

Others:

2000Hw03 (also 2001Ha14,1998Hw08): measured E $\gamma$  and  $\gamma\gamma$  using GAMMASPHERE array comprised of 72 Compton-suppressed Ge detectors. Band assigned to <sup>149</sup>Pr in 1998Hw08 actually belongs to <sup>151</sup>Pr.

Others:

1974CIZX (also 1972CIZN): fission fragment isomers populated before  $\beta$  decay in deexcitation of fission fragments observed through (x-ray) $\gamma$  and  $\gamma\gamma$  coin. Mass assignments, energies and lifetimes measured in 6-parameter experiment with two fission, one Ge(Li) and one Si(Li) detectors.

1970Wa05 (also 1966WaZX from the same group): ce data.

#### <sup>149</sup>Pr Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments			
0.0	$(5/2^+)$		Possible configuration= $\pi 5/2[413]$ from quasiparticle-rotor model calculations (2015Wa28).			
58.5 <sup>#</sup> 3	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	22.9 ns 18	T <sub>1/2</sub> : (fragment)(fragment)(x-ray)γ(t) (1974CIZX). Other: 26 ns 4 from γγ(t) (2010Rz02) in <sup>252</sup> Cf SF decay. See also the Adopted Levels, where the T <sub>1/2</sub> values from <sup>149</sup> Ce β <sup>-</sup> decay, shorter by a factor of ≈3 from those in SF decays are discussed.			
86.5 <sup>&amp;</sup> 5	$(7/2^+)$					
161.7 <sup>#</sup> 4	$(11/2^{-})$					
174.9 <sup>@</sup> 5	$(9/2^+)$					
365.0 <mark>&amp;</mark> 7	$(11/2^+)$					
381.5 <sup>#</sup> 5	$(15/2^{-})$					
407.3 <sup>@</sup> 5	$(13/2^+)$					
711.8 <sup>#</sup> 6	(19/2 <sup>-</sup> )					
736.6 <mark>&amp;</mark> 9	$(15/2^+)$					
752.0 <sup>@</sup> 6	$(17/2^+)$					
1127.9 <sup>#</sup> 6	$(23/2^{-})$					
1174.0 <sup>&amp;</sup> 10	$(19/2^+)$					
1189.3 <sup>@</sup> 6	$(21/2^+)$					
1607.8 <sup>#</sup> 7	$(27/2^{-})$					
1664.7 <mark>&amp;</mark> 12	$(23/2^+)$					
1695.6 <sup>@</sup> 7	$(25/2^+)$					
2130.3 <sup>#</sup> 9	$(31/2^{-})$					
2192.0 <sup>&amp;</sup> 13	$(27/2^+)$					
2230.8 <sup>@</sup> 8	$(29/2^+)$					
2664.8 <sup>#</sup> 10	$(35/2^{-})$					
2722.5 <sup>@</sup> 9	$(33/2^+)$					
3185.1 <sup>#</sup> 11	(39/2 <sup>-</sup> )					
3724.0 <sup>#</sup> 12	$(43/2^{-})$					

#### <sup>252</sup>Cf SF decay 2015Wa28 (continued)

### <sup>149</sup>Pr Levels (continued)

 $\gamma(^{149}\mathrm{Pr})$ 

- <sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$  data. <sup>‡</sup> As proposed by 2015Wa28. Note that spins were two units higher in their previous work 2000Hw03, for band based on (7/2<sup>-</sup>).
- <sup>#</sup> Band(A): Band based on  $(7/2^{-})$ . Possible octupole band. Bands 1 and 2 in Figure 14 of 2015Wa28 possibly form alternating-parity bands.
- <sup>@</sup> Band(B): Band based on (9/2<sup>+</sup>). Possible octupole band. Bands 1 and 2 in Figure 14 of 2015Wa28 possibly form alternating-parity bands.
- <sup>&</sup> Band(C): Band based on  $(7/2^+)$ .

${\rm E_{\gamma}}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$	$E_i$ (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	${ m J}_f^\pi$	Mult.	α <b>#</b>	Comments
(13.2) (25.8)		174.9 407.3	$(9/2^+)$ $(13/2^+)$	161.7 381.5	$(11/2^{-})$ $(15/2^{-})$			
<sup>x</sup> 54.7 1								$T_{1/2}=5.8$ ns <i>11</i> from (fragment)(fragment)(x ray) $\gamma$ (t) (1974ClZX), $\gamma$ probably a precursor of the 58.0 $\gamma$ .
58.5 <i>3</i>	<500	58.5	$(7/2^{-})$	0.0	$(5/2^+)$			$E_{y}$ : 58.0 <i>I</i> (1974CIZX), 58.5 (200Hw03). $E_{y}(100 \text{ fissions} = 0.421 \text{ 7} (1974CIZX))$
86.5 <i>5</i> 103.2 <i>3</i> 116.4 <i>5</i>	<80	86.5 161.7 174.9	$(7/2^+)$ $(11/2^-)$ $(9/2^+)$	0.0 58.5 58.5	$(5/2^+)$ $(7/2^-)$ $(7/2^-)$	M1	1.96 4	$\alpha(\exp)=1.63\ 22\ (2015Wa28)$ E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : 103.2 (2000Hw03).
<sup>x</sup> 143 <i>1</i>								$E_{\gamma}$ : from ce(K)=100 keV (1970Wa05). Mult.: consistent with E2 from K/L=3.6 (1970Wa05).
								T <sub>1/2</sub> =1.8 ns 4 (1970Wa05) from (fragment)(fragment)(x ray)ce(t). I(ce)/100 fissions=0.32 (1970Wa05).
219.8 <i>3</i>	100 5	381.5	$(15/2^{-})$	161.7	$(11/2^{-})$			E <sub>γ</sub> : 220.3 (2000Hw03).
232.4 3	20 1	407.3	$(13/2^+)$	174.9	$(9/2^+)$			
245.6 <i>3</i>	20 1	407.3	$(13/2^+)$	161.7	$(11/2^{-})$			
278.5 5	15 <i>I</i>	365.0	$(11/2^+)$	86.5	$(7/2^+)$			
330.3 <i>3</i>	79 <i>5</i>	711.8	$(19/2^{-})$	381.5	$(15/2^{-})$			$E_{\gamma}$ : 330.8 (2000Hw03).
344.7 5	6.9 5	752.0	$(17/2^+)$	407.3	$(13/2^+)$			
370.5 5	10 1	752.0	$(17/2^+)$	381.5	$(15/2^{-})$			
371.6 5	10 1	736.6	$(15/2^+)$	365.0	$(11/2^+)$			
416.0 3	41 3	1127.9	$(23/2^{-})$	711.8	$(19/2^{-})$			$E_{\gamma}$ : 415.8 (2000Hw03).
437.4 <sup>@</sup> 5	4.2 <sup>@</sup> 4	1174.0	$(19/2^+)$	736.6	$(15/2^+)$			
437.4 <sup>@</sup> 5	11 <sup>@</sup> /	1189.3	$(21/2^{+})$	752.0	$(17/2^{+})$			
477.6 5	3.3 4	1189.3	$(21/2^+)$	711.8	$(19/2^{-})$			
479.8.3	21 /	1607.8	$(27/2^{-})$	1127.9	$(23/2^{-})$			E <sub>2</sub> : 480.0 (2000Hw03).
490.7 5	1.4 2	1664.7	$(23/2^+)$	1174.0	$(19/2^+)$			
491.7 5	2.7 3	2722.5	$(33/2^+)$	2230.8	$(29/2^+)$			
506.4 5	7.5 6	1695.6	$(25/2^+)$	1189.3	$(21/2^+)$			
520.3 5	2.4 5	3185.1	$(39/2^{-})$	2664.8	$(35/2^{-})$			E <sub>v</sub> : 520.3 (2000Hw03).
522.5 5	7.8 5	2130.3	$(31/2^{-})$	1607.8	$(27/2^{-})$			$E_{\gamma}$ : 522.5 (2000Hw03).
527.3 5	1.1 <i>I</i>	2192.0	$(27/2^+)$	1664.7	$(23/2^+)$			
534.5 5	5.7 11	2664.8	$(35/2^{-})$	2130.3	$(31/2^{-})$			E <sub>v</sub> : 534.5 (2000Hw03).
535.5 5	4.0 4	2230.8	$(29/2^+)$	1695.6	$(25/2^+)$			
538.9 5	1.1 2	3724.0	$(43/2^{-})$	3185.1	$(39/2^{-})$			
568.0 5	2.0 2	1695.6	$(25/2^+)$	1127.9	$(23/2^{-})$			
622.7 5	< 0.5	2230.8	$(29/2^+)$	1607.8	$(27/2^{-})$			

## <sup>252</sup>Cf SF decay 2015Wa28 (continued)

# $\gamma(^{149}\text{Pr})$ (continued)

- <sup>†</sup> Uncertainty is stated as 0.5 keV for strong transitions and as much as 1 keV in prompt  $\gamma$ -spectra, whereas from <sup>252</sup>Cf SF decay, uncertainty is stated as 0.1 keV for strong  $\gamma$  rays and 0.5 for weaker lines. Evaluators assign 0.3 keV uncertainty for I $\gamma \ge 20$  and 0.5 for I $\gamma < 20$ , or when I $\gamma$  not stated.
- <sup>‡</sup> From <sup>252</sup>Cf SF decay, according to e-mail reply from the first author (E.H. Wang) of 2015Wa28 on Sept 17, 2015.
- <sup>#</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.
- <sup>@</sup> Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

 $x \gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.



<sup>149</sup><sub>59</sub>Pr<sub>90</sub>

4

#### <sup>252</sup>Cf SF decay 2015Wa28



<sup>149</sup><sub>59</sub>Pr<sub>90</sub>