

¹⁵⁰Nd(d,³He) 1981VaZJ

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen		NDS 185, 2 (2022)	23-Aug-2022

1981VaZJ (also 1979SaZQ,1978SaZP): E=50 MeV. Self-supporting and enriched targets. Measured ³He spectra, $\sigma(\theta)$. FWHM=25-30 keV. All the references are from KVI annual lab reports.

The results of this study are considered tentative (evaluators) for the following reasons:

- a) the L(³He,d)=4 for g.s. implying $J^\pi=7/2^+, 9/2^+$ disagrees with $J^\pi=(5/2^+)$ inferred from log ft values to 1/2 and 3/2 states in ¹⁴⁹Nd (see β^- feeding and log ft values to 258,286,365,571 and 881 levels in ¹⁴⁹Pr β^- decay).
- b) in the isotone, ¹⁵¹Pm, studied by the ¹⁵²Sm(t, α) reaction (1972Bu22), $\sigma(5/2^+$ g.s. member of the [413] band) is less than 10% of that for the 7/2⁺ member. Since a similar situation exists for the ¹⁵⁰Sm(d,³He) reaction and the background is larger in the (d,³He) than the (t, α) reaction, it is possible that the g.s. of ¹⁴⁹Pr has been incorrectly identified.

Q(d,³He)=-4515 10 from 1978SaZP.

Mass excess (¹⁴⁹Pr)=-70977 10 from 1979SaZQ, which can be compared with -71039 10 in 2021Wa16; difference of 62 keV.

¹⁴⁹Pr Levels

E(level) [†]	L	Comments
0 [‡]	4	J^π : L=4 inconsistent with $J^\pi=(5/2^+)$ from log ft values to 1/2 ⁻ and 3/2 ⁻ states in ¹⁴⁹ Nd (see ¹⁴⁹ Ce β^- decay).
40 [‡]	2	
80 [‡]	2	
220	(2)	
260	4	
440 [‡]	2	
550	4	
590	2	
660	(2)	
980	(0)	

[†] In view of the above discussion, the g.s. of ¹⁴⁹Pr may not have been correctly assigned in this reaction. The absolute energies of other groups will then also be questionable. Uncertainty is not given by 1981VaZJ but estimated (evaluators) to be \approx 8 keV.

[‡] Strongly populated group.