

$^{150}\text{Sm}(\text{pol } t, \alpha)$ 1979St18

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen		NDS 185, 2 (2022)	23-Aug-2022

1979St18: E(t)=17 MeV, beam polarization=80% from the FN tandem Van de Graaff at the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory.

Targets of 99.9% ^{150}Sm 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ on carbon backing. $\sigma(\theta)$ measured at 7 angles, for both spin up and spin down tritons.

FWHM \approx 25 keV. Q3D spectrometer calibrated using $^{152}\text{Sm}(t, \alpha)$ reaction (1972Bu22). Uncertainties in absolute and relative σ values are about 20% and 5%, respectively.

Other: 1978Sh17. $^{150}\text{Sm}(t, \alpha)$, E=17 MeV. Spectrum shown up to 2 MeV.

 ^{149}Pm Levels

Cross sections listed under comments are at 25° with respect to the beam direction.

E(level)	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	L [#]	S [†]	Comments
0 5	7/2 ⁺	4	3.2	$d\sigma/d\Omega=325 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.
114 5	5/2 ⁺	2	2.1	$d\sigma/d\Omega=483 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.
188 5	3/2 ⁺	2	0.07	$d\sigma/d\Omega=16 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.
210 5	5/2 ⁺	2	0.05	$d\sigma/d\Omega=9 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.
240 5	11/2 ⁻	5	2.8	$d\sigma/d\Omega=268 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.
272 5	7/2 ⁻	3	0.16	$d\sigma/d\Omega=44 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.
360 5	7/2 ⁺	4	0.35	$d\sigma/d\Omega=32 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.
390 5	1/2 ⁺	0	0.27	$d\sigma/d\Omega=66 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.
420 5	3/2 ⁺	2	0.55	$d\sigma/d\Omega=119 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.
\approx 462		(1,4)	0.05	$d\sigma/d\Omega=5 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$. L, J ^π : 1979St18 give L=4 and J=L-1/2 which is inconsistent with 3/2 ⁻ from Adopted Levels. After discussion with one of the authors of 1979St18, in 1985 evaluation of A=149, it was agreed that the data are not inconsistent with L=1, J=L+1/2.
513 5				$d\sigma/d\Omega=32 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.
556 5	(11/2 ⁻)	(5)	0.40	$d\sigma/d\Omega=37 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.
\approx 646	(1/2 ⁺)	(0)	0.02	$d\sigma/d\Omega=8 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.
725 5	7/2 ⁺	4	0.43	$d\sigma/d\Omega=43 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.
756 5	3/2 ⁺	2	0.43	$d\sigma/d\Omega=103 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.
795 5	11/2 ⁻	5	0.53	$d\sigma/d\Omega=48 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.
881 5	(5/2 ⁺)	(2)	0.19	$d\sigma/d\Omega=54 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.
909 5	1/2 ⁺	0	0.18	$d\sigma/d\Omega=36 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.
950 5	(5/2 ⁺)	(2)	0.27	$d\sigma/d\Omega=68 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.
1331 5	3/2 ⁺	2	0.15	$d\sigma/d\Omega=35 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.
1392 5	3/2 ⁺	2	0.13	$d\sigma/d\Omega=33 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.
1648 5	(3/2 ⁺)	2	0.14	$d\sigma/d\Omega=33 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$.

[†] $\sigma(\text{exp})/(N \times \sigma(\text{theory}))$. $\sigma(\text{theory})$ derived from a DWBA calculation using the same optical model parameters and normalization factor as in $^{152}\text{Sm}(t, \alpha)$ (1972Bu22). Absolute and relative values have uncertainties of 30% to 50% and 10%, respectively.

[‡] From $A_y(\theta)$ in this reaction. The assignments are the same in Adopted Levels.

[#] From comparison of $\sigma(\theta)$ with DWBA calculations.