

<sup>148</sup>Dy ε decay 1985ZuZX

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 117, 1 (2014)	1-Oct-2013

Parent: <sup>148</sup>Dy: E=0.0; J<sup>π</sup>=0<sup>+</sup>; T<sub>1/2</sub>=3.3 min 2; Q(ε)=2678 10; %ε+%β<sup>+</sup> decay=100.0

Measured: γ, ce (1985ZuZX,1985K105,1975Gr35,1975To03,1974La32,1974La28,1974GrYZ); γ, γγ, (K x ray)γ

(1988To03,1985ZuZX,1985K105); ε/β<sup>+</sup> (1985Sc09,1981Sp03,1981Sc21); γ, β, x-rays, Gamow-Teller resonance (total absorption spectrometer, 2004A135; see also 2007EsZX,2004AIZY,2003NaZV,2001AIZY).

Decay scheme is from 1985ZuZX. Shown also In tables are data from 2004A135 (total absorption spectrometer).

<sup>148</sup>Tb Levels

E(level)	J <sup>π</sup> †	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0	2 <sup>-</sup>	60 min 1	
109.6 9	4 <sup>-</sup>		
178.5 5	2 <sup>+</sup>		
195.5 10	3 <sup>-</sup>		J=(3,4) <sup>-</sup> (1985ZuZX).
280.9 7	3 <sup>+</sup>		J=(2,3) <sup>+</sup> (1985ZuZX).
620.241 10	1 <sup>+</sup>	≤0.25 ns	J <sup>π</sup> : 1 <sup>+</sup> (1985ZuZX). T <sub>1/2</sub> : from 1975AIZE, 1975VaYY.
657.8 7	(3 <sup>-</sup> )		(2,3) <sup>-</sup> (1985ZuZX).
794.8 5	2 <sup>-</sup>		J <sup>π</sup> : 2 <sup>-</sup> , (1) <sup>-</sup> (1985ZuZX).
950.6 6	(2 <sup>-</sup> ,1 <sup>-</sup> )		J=2 <sup>-</sup> ,(1) <sup>-</sup> (1985ZuZX).
1247.3 6	1 <sup>+</sup>		J <sup>π</sup> : 1 <sup>+</sup> from log ft=5.4 from 0 <sup>+</sup> ; 1 <sup>+</sup> (1985ZuZX).
1276.2 8	1		J <sup>π</sup> : 1 (1985ZuZX).
1332.7 10	1		J <sup>π</sup> : 1 (1985ZuZX).
1366.1 7	1		J <sup>π</sup> : 1 (1985ZuZX).
1642.5 7	1 <sup>+</sup>		J <sup>π</sup> : 1 <sup>+</sup> from log ft=5.9 from 0 <sup>+</sup> ; 1 <sup>-</sup> , (0) <sup>-</sup> (1985ZuZX).
1828.3 9	1		J <sup>π</sup> : 1.
1840.5 7	1 <sup>+</sup>		J <sup>π</sup> : 1 <sup>+</sup> from log ft=5.4 from 0 <sup>+</sup> ; 1 <sup>-</sup> , (0) <sup>-</sup> (1985ZuZX).

† Adopted values. Supporting arguments from this data set and the assignments by 1985ZuZX are given in comments. Some J<sup>π</sup> assignments by 1985ZuZX are not supported by log ft values.

ε,β<sup>+</sup> radiations

ε/β<sup>+</sup>=22.2 20, Q+=2680 30 (1985Sc09). Others: ε/β<sup>+</sup>=21.7 39, Q+=2652 keV +65-50 (1981Sc21); ε/β<sup>+</sup>=14.7 27, Q+=2800 60 (1981Sp03).

I(ε+β<sup>+</sup>) and log ft data from 2004A135 are given In comments In the table below, together with same data from 1985K105 for comparison.

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ <sup>+</sup> †	Iε †	Log ft	I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> ) †	Comments
(838 10)	1840.5		0.52	5.4	0.52	εK=0.8271 2; εL=0.13343 15; εM+=0.03944 6
(850 10)	1828.3		0.05	6.4	0.05	εK=0.8274 2; εL=0.13325 15; εM+=0.03937 5
(1036 10)	1642.5		0.25	5.9	0.25	εK=0.8302 2; εL=0.1311 1; εM+=0.03864 4
(1312 10)	1366.1		0.07	6.6	0.07	εK=0.8327; εL=0.12909 7; εM+=0.03793 3 I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )=0.07, log ft=6.7 (1985K105).
(1345 10)	1332.7		0.14	6.4	0.14	εK=0.8328; εL=0.12889 7; εM+=0.03786 2 I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )=0.14, log ft=6.4 (1985K105, to 1333 alone); I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )=0.31 3, log ft=5.99 (2004A135, to 1333+1366).
(1402 10)	1276.2		0.19	6.3	0.19	εK=0.8328; εL=0.12854 7; εM+=0.03774 2 I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )=0.19, log ft=6.3 (1985K105).
(1431 10)	1247.3	0.0019	1.6	5.4	1.6	av Eβ=198.1 45; εK=0.8328; εL=0.12836 7; εM+=0.03769 2

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

<sup>148</sup>Dy ε decay **1985ZuZX (continued)**

ε,β<sup>+</sup> radiations (continued)

<u>E(decay)</u>	<u>E(level)</u>	<u>Iβ<sup>+</sup> †</u>	<u>Iε<sup>†</sup></u>	<u>Log ft</u>	<u>I(ε+β<sup>+</sup>)<sup>†</sup></u>	<u>Comments</u>
(1727 10)	950.6	<0.0004	<0.04	>7.1	<0.04	I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )=1.6, log ft=5.4 (1985K105, to 1247 alone); I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )=1.90 4, log ft=5.28 (2004A135, to 1247+1277). av Eβ=329.0 44; εK=0.8269 4; εL=0.1261 1; εM+=0.03696 3 I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )<0.04, log ft>7.1 (1985K105); I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )=0.0070 3, log ft=7.90 (2004A135).
(1883 10)	794.8	0.002	0.09	6.9	0.09	av Eβ=397.3 44; εK=0.8187 7; εL=0.12430 14; εM+=0.03641 4 I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )=0.09, log ft=6.9 (1985K105); I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )=0.0 (2004A135).
(2020 10)	657.8	<0.001	<0.04	>7.3	<0.04	av Eβ=457.5 44; εK=0.8078 10; εL=0.12225 17; εM+=0.03579 6 I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )<0.04, log ft>7.3 (1985K105); I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )=0.0 (2004A135).
2032 60	620.241	3.75	93.1	3.9	96.8	av Eβ=474.0 44; εK=0.8041 11; εL=0.12160 18; εM+=0.03560 6 I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )=96.8, log ft=3.95 (1985K105); I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )=96.2 2, log ft=3.92 (2004A135).
(2397 10)	280.9	<0.004	<0.04	>7.5	<0.04	av Eβ=623.7 45; εK=0.7578 18; εL=0.1139 3; εM+=0.03331 9 I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )<0.4, log ft>7.5 (1985K105); I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )=0.0070 1, log ft=8.21 (2004A135).
(2483 10)	195.5	<0.01	<0.09	>7.1	<0.1	av Eβ=661.6 45; εK=0.7425 19; εL=0.1114 3; εM+=0.03259 9 I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )<0.1, log ft>7.1 (1985K105); I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )=0.0 (2004A135).
(2500 10)	178.5	<0.02	<0.2	>6.8	<0.2	av Eβ=669.2 45; εK=0.7393 20; εL=0.1109 3; εM+=0.03244 9 I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )<0.2, log ft>6.8 (1985K105); I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )=0.0 (2004A135).
(2568 10)	109.6	<0.003	<0.02	>7.8	<0.02	av Eβ=699.8 45; εK=0.7258 21; εL=0.1088 4; εM+=0.03181 10 I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )<0.2, log ft>7.9 (1985K105); I(ε+β <sup>+</sup> )=0.0 (2004A135).

† Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

γ(<sup>148</sup>Tb)

I<sub>γ</sub> normalization: Ti(g.s.)=100 assuming that the g.s. feeding is zero.

<u>E<sub>γ</sub></u>	<u>I<sub>γ</sub> †@</u>	<u>E<sub>f</sub>(level)</u>	<u>J<sub>i</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>f</sub></u>	<u>J<sub>f</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>Mult. ‡</u>	<u>α<sup>#</sup></u>	<u>Comments</u>
85.8	4	195.5	3 <sup>-</sup>	109.6	4 <sup>-</sup>	M1	3.41	α(K)=2.88 4; α(L)=0.420 6; α(M)=0.0918 13 α(N)=0.0212 3; α(O)=0.00327 5; α(P)=0.000214 3
102.3	3	280.9	3 <sup>+</sup>	178.5	2 <sup>+</sup>	M1	2.06	α(K)=1.735 25; α(L)=0.253 4; α(M)=0.0552 8 α(N)=0.01277 18; α(O)=0.00197 3; α(P)=0.0001292 18
109.4	5	109.6	4 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	2 <sup>-</sup>	E2	1.88	α(K)=0.903 13; α(L)=0.751 11; α(M)=0.1781 25 α(N)=0.0400 6; α(O)=0.00519 8; α(P)=4.51×10 <sup>-5</sup> 7
136.9	13	794.8	2 <sup>-</sup>	657.8 (3 <sup>-</sup> )	(M1)	0.898	0.898	α(K)=0.758 11; α(L)=0.1100 16; α(M)=0.0240 4 α(N)=0.00555 8; α(O)=0.000855 12; α(P)=5.64×10 <sup>-5</sup> 8
156.0	1	950.6	(2 <sup>-</sup> ,1 <sup>-</sup> )	794.8	2 <sup>-</sup>			
178.3	54	178.5	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	2 <sup>-</sup>	E1	0.0637	α(K)=0.0538 8; α(L)=0.00777 11; α(M)=0.001690 24 α(N)=0.000386 6; α(O)=5.73×10 <sup>-5</sup> 8; α(P)=3.23×10 <sup>-6</sup> 5
339.6	4	620.241	1 <sup>+</sup>	280.9	3 <sup>+</sup>			
442.0	13	620.241	1 <sup>+</sup>	178.5	2 <sup>+</sup>	(M1)	0.0376	α(K)=0.0319 5; α(L)=0.00449 7; α(M)=0.000978 14 α(N)=0.000226 4; α(O)=3.49×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5; α(P)=2.33×10 <sup>-6</sup> 4
462.1	11	657.8	(3 <sup>-</sup> )	195.5	3 <sup>-</sup>	(M1)	0.0335	α(K)=0.0284 4; α(L)=0.00400 6; α(M)=0.000871 13 α(N)=0.000201 3; α(O)=3.11×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5; α(P)=2.08×10 <sup>-6</sup> 3
616.2	1	794.8	2 <sup>-</sup>	178.5	2 <sup>+</sup>			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{148}\text{Dy}$   $\varepsilon$  decay **1985ZuZX** (continued) $\gamma(^{148}\text{Tb})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$ @	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.‡	$\alpha^\#$	Comments
620.24	1	620.241	1 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	2 <sup>-</sup>	E1	0.00308	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00263$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000354$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=7.65\times 10^{-5}$ 11 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.762\times 10^{-5}$ 25; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.69\times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.735\times 10^{-7}$ 25 Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=265\times 10^{-5}$ 30 (1975Gr35). $E_\gamma$ : from 1985K105.
627	7	1247.3	1 <sup>+</sup>	620.241	1 <sup>+</sup>			
657.8	17	657.8	(3 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	2 <sup>-</sup>	(M1)	0.01373	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01167$ 17; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001620$ 23; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000352$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=8.15\times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.260\times 10^{-5}$ 18; $\alpha(\text{P})=8.48\times 10^{-7}$ 12
691.9	17	1642.5	1 <sup>+</sup>	950.6	(2 <sup>-</sup> ,1 <sup>-</sup> )		0.0124	M1 (1985ZuZX); contradicts $\Delta\pi$ .
772.0	1	950.6	(2 <sup>-</sup> ,1 <sup>-</sup> )	178.5	2 <sup>+</sup>			
794.9	21	794.8	2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	2 <sup>-</sup>	(M1)	0.00860	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00732$ 11; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001009$ 15; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000219$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=5.07\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{O})=7.85\times 10^{-6}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{P})=5.30\times 10^{-7}$ 8
847.1	8	1642.5	1 <sup>+</sup>	794.8	2 <sup>-</sup>			M1 (1985ZuZX); contradicts $\Delta\pi$ .
890.0	25	1840.5	1 <sup>+</sup>	950.6	(2 <sup>-</sup> ,1 <sup>-</sup> )			M1 (1985ZuZX); contradicts $\Delta\pi$ .
950.8	40	950.6	(2 <sup>-</sup> ,1 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	2 <sup>-</sup>	(M1)	0.00557	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00474$ 7; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000650$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001410$ 20 $\alpha(\text{N})=3.26\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=5.05\times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.42\times 10^{-7}$ 5
1045.9	29	1840.5	1 <sup>+</sup>	794.8	2 <sup>-</sup>			M1 (1985ZuZX); contradicts $\Delta\pi$ .
1068.9	8	1247.3	1 <sup>+</sup>	178.5	2 <sup>+</sup>			
1085.4	4	1366.1	1	280.9	3 <sup>+</sup>			
1097	1	1276.2	1	178.5	2 <sup>+</sup>			
1187.5	3	1366.1	1	178.5	2 <sup>+</sup>			
1247.2	150	1247.3	1 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	2 <sup>-</sup>	E1	$8.42\times 10^{-4}$	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.000684$ 10; $\alpha(\text{L})=8.92\times 10^{-5}$ 13; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.92\times 10^{-5}$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=4.44\times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{O})=6.84\times 10^{-7}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{P})=4.59\times 10^{-8}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=4.51\times 10^{-5}$ 7
1276.9	19	1276.2	1	0.0	2 <sup>-</sup>			
1332.7	15	1332.7	1	0.0	2 <sup>-</sup>			
1366	2	1366.1	1	0.0	2 <sup>-</sup>			
1547	1	1828.3	1	280.9	3 <sup>+</sup>			
1642.9	1	1642.5	1 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	2 <sup>-</sup>			
1650.2	4	1828.3	1	178.5	2 <sup>+</sup>			
1840.1	6	1840.5	1 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	2 <sup>-</sup>			

†  $I(178.5\gamma+950.6\gamma+1247.3\gamma)\approx 2.5\%$  of  $I(620\gamma)$  (1988To03).

‡ From adopted gammas; supported by  $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$  whose values and normalization are not given by authors (1985ZuZX). These are considered to be tentative especially since there are inconsistencies between  $J^\pi$  deduced from  $\log ft$  and multiplicities of  $\gamma$  transitions.

# Additional information 1.

@ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.009639.

$^{148}\text{Dy}$   $\epsilon$  decay **1985ZuZX**

Decay Scheme

Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\text{max}}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\text{max}}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\text{max}}$

$0^+ \xrightarrow{0.0} 0.0$  3.3 min 2  
 $Q_{\epsilon}=2678$  10  
 $^{148}\text{Dy}_{82}$   
 $\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+ = 100$

