

**Coulomb excitation**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 117, 1 (2014)	1-Oct-2013

E(p)=4.5 MeV, E(d)=4.5 MeV ([1960El07](#)), E( $\alpha$ )=15.1 MeV ([1968Ve01](#)), 10-13 MeV ([1970Ge07](#)), E( $^{16}\text{O}$ )=35-40 MeV ([1970Ge07](#)), 36, 39 MeV ([1966Ec02](#)), 40-49 MeV ([1968Ke04](#)), 27 MeV ([1967Si03](#)), 35-72 MeV ([1976SmZQ,1977SmZO](#)), E( $^{32}\text{S}$ )=51-53 MeV ([1967Si03](#)), E( $^{48}\text{Ti}$ )=130 MeV ([2008Fi08](#)), see also [1971Di02](#) ( $^{40}\text{Ar}$  beam), [1977SmZO](#) ( $^{63}\text{Cu}$  beam), [1973ClZF](#).

Measured:  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ , B(E2),  $\gamma(t)$ .

 $^{148}\text{Sm}$  Levels

E(level)	J $^\pi$ <sup>†</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>		
550.43	2 <sup>+</sup>	7.72 ps 32	B(E2) $\uparrow$ =0.720 30 ( <a href="#">2001Ra27</a> ) $\mu$ : $\mu=+0.492$ 44 ( <a href="#">1987Ba65</a> ). Q: Q=-0.77 34 ( <a href="#">1967Si03</a> ), -0.97 27 ( <a href="#">1973ClZF</a> ). T <sub>1/2</sub> : from the evaluation of <a href="#">2001Ra27</a> . Adopted B(E2) is evaluated based on the following data: B(E2)=0.89 10 ( <a href="#">1960El07</a> ), 0.70 8 ( <a href="#">1966Ec02</a> ), 0.79 8 ( <a href="#">1967Si03</a> ), 0.65 5 ( <a href="#">1968Ve01</a> ), 0.63 5 ( <a href="#">1968Ke04</a> ), 0.705 25 ( <a href="#">1970Ge07</a> ), 0.725 25 ( <a href="#">1973ClZF</a> , based on B(E2)( $^{152}\text{Sm}$ )=3.35 ), 0.811 37 ( <a href="#">1972LeYB</a> ) and T <sub>1/2</sub> =7.33 ps 40 ( <a href="#">1971Di02</a> ).
1161.94	3 <sup>-</sup>		B(E3)=0.37 3 ( <a href="#">1968Ke04</a> ). B(E3): other: B(E3)=0.39 4 ( <a href="#">1968Ve01</a> ) (value given by the authors has been increased by 10% to account for multiexcitation correction as estimated by them).
1180.69	4 <sup>+</sup>	2.39 ps 24	T <sub>1/2</sub> : from B(E2)(2 <sup>+</sup> to 4 <sup>+</sup> )=0.43 4 ( <a href="#">1968Ke04</a> ). Other: 2.3 ps 6 by DSRM ( <a href="#">1971Di02</a> ). Measured ( <a href="#">2008Fi08</a> ) $\sigma(4^+)/\sigma(2^+)=0.0157$ 2.
1425.93	0 <sup>+</sup>		
1454.0	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.36 ps 11	B(E2) $\uparrow$ =0.060 14 B(E2): unweighted average of 0.074 10 and 0.046 10 ( <a href="#">1968Ke04</a> ). T <sub>1/2</sub> : from B(E2) and branching 1454g=0.499 5.
1461.1	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )		
1465.7	1 <sup>-</sup>		B(E1)=0.013 5 ( <a href="#">1968Ve01</a> ).
1663.1	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.25 ps 8	B(E2) $\uparrow$ =0.03 1 ( <a href="#">1968Ve01</a> ) T <sub>1/2</sub> : from B(E2).
1907.55	6 <sup>+</sup>		

<sup>†</sup> Adopted values.

 $\gamma(^{148}\text{Sm})$ 

E $_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	I $_\gamma$ <sup>‡</sup>	E $_i$ (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E $_f$	J $^\pi_f$	Comments
550.43	100	550.43	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	
611.51	4.2	1161.94	3 <sup>-</sup>	550.43	2 <sup>+</sup>	
<sup>x</sup> 615.01	2.5					
630.26	2.5	1180.69	4 <sup>+</sup>	550.43	2 <sup>+</sup>	Measured B(E2)(4 <sup>+</sup> to 2 <sup>+</sup> )/B(E2)(2 <sup>+</sup> to 0 <sup>+</sup> )=1.33 4 ( <a href="#">2008Fi08</a> ).
726.96	0.18	1907.55	6 <sup>+</sup>	1180.69	4 <sup>+</sup>	
875.5	0.25	1425.93	0 <sup>+</sup>	550.43	2 <sup>+</sup>	
903.6	0.70	1454.0	2 <sup>+</sup>	550.43	2 <sup>+</sup>	
910.7	1.08	1461.1	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )	550.43	2 <sup>+</sup>	
915.3	0.71	1465.7	1 <sup>-</sup>	550.43	2 <sup>+</sup>	
1112.7	2.4	1663.1	2 <sup>+</sup>	550.43	2 <sup>+</sup>	
1454.0	0.78	1454.0	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	
1461.1	1.91	1461.1	(1,2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**Coulomb excitation (continued)** $\gamma(^{148}\text{Sm})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$
1465.7 <sup>#</sup>	$\leq 0.17$	1465.7	1 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>
1663.1	0.22	1663.1	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>

<sup>†</sup> From [1977SmZO](#).<sup>‡</sup> Relative intensity from [1977SmZO](#) measured at  $\theta=90^\circ$  (lab).

# Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

