

$^{147}\text{Sm}(n,n'\gamma)$     **1983Av07,1984Ba62**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica and B. Singh		NDS 181, 1 (2022)	9-Mar-2022

**1983Av07,1984Ba62:** E=fast neutrons (reactor), 99.5% enriched targets, Ge(Li) detector; measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$  ([1983Av07](#)); measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$ , deduced  $\delta$  ([1984Ba62](#)).

 $^{147}\text{Sm}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	
121.18 7	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	
197.47 11	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	
716.26 14	11/2 <sup>-</sup>	
798.55 10	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	
809.16 8	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	
922.91 19	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> )	$J^\pi$ : L(p,d)=(1) gives $J=(1/2^-, 3/2^-)$ ; (1/2 <sup>-</sup> ) is suggested by <a href="#">1983Av07</a> in $(n, n'\gamma)$ in analogy to $^{145}\text{Nd}$ .
931.54 17	11/2 <sup>+</sup>	
1030.34 20	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	
1054.23 21	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	$J^\pi$ : E1, $\Delta J=0$ $\gamma$ to 3/2 <sup>-</sup> , 197.
1063.61 12	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	$J^\pi$ : E1, $\Delta J=0$ $\gamma$ to 5/2 <sup>-</sup> , 121.
1069.05? 10	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	$J^\pi$ : E2, $\Delta J=2$ $\gamma$ to 5/2 <sup>-</sup> , 121; M1+E2, $\Delta J=1$ $\gamma$ to 7/2 <sup>-</sup> , g.s.
1077.02 9	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	$J^\pi$ : M1+E2, $\Delta J=0$ $\gamma$ to 5/2 <sup>-</sup> , 121.
1107.30 20	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> to 9/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
1173.01 20	( <sup>-</sup> )	
1180.39 13	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
1318.20 13	3/2 <sup>-</sup> , 5/2 <sup>-</sup> , 7/2 <sup>-</sup>	E(level), $J^\pi$ : assigned by <a href="#">1983Av07</a> who considered the $1120\gamma$ , $1197\gamma$ and $1318\gamma$ originating from this level. However due to the $\varepsilon$ decay dataset in which the $\gamma$ 's originate from different levels, this level appears as split in the Adopted Levels.
1449.22 17	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	
1453.52 19	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	$J^\pi$ : 1/2 <sup>-</sup> , 3/2 <sup>-</sup> , 5/2 <sup>-</sup> from M1+E2 $\gamma$ to 3/2 <sup>-</sup> , 197; 3/2 <sup>-</sup> from M1+E2, $\Delta J=1$ $\gamma$ to 5/2 <sup>-</sup> , 121.
1457.80 21	15/2 <sup>-</sup>	
1471.62 23	3/2 <sup>-</sup> , 5/2 <sup>-</sup> , 7/2 <sup>-</sup>	E(level), $J^\pi$ : assigned by <a href="#">1983Av07</a> who considered the $1274\gamma$ , $1350\gamma$ and $1472\gamma$ originating from this level. However due to the $\varepsilon$ decay dataset in which the $\gamma$ 's originate from different levels, this level appears as split in the Adopted Levels.
1717.4 4	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	$J^\pi$ : 9/2 <sup>-</sup> , 11/2 <sup>-</sup> from M1+E2, $\Delta J=1$ $\gamma$ to 7/2 <sup>-</sup> , g.s.; 9/2 <sup>-</sup> from $\gamma$ to 5/2 <sup>-</sup> , 121.
1748.8 4		
1762.70 19		
1843.90 24	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	$J^\pi$ : 5/2 <sup>-</sup> , 9/2 <sup>-</sup> from M1+E2, $\Delta J=1$ $\gamma$ to 7/2 <sup>-</sup> , g.s.; 9/2 <sup>-</sup> excluded from $\gamma$ to 3/2 <sup>-</sup> , 197.
1983.18 22		
2064.9 6	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> , 5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	
2069.85 17	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	$J^\pi$ : $\gamma$ 's to 3/2 <sup>-</sup> , 197, and 11/2 <sup>-</sup> , 716, respectively.
2089.4 3		
2109.0 4	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	
2202.97 15	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> , 5/2, 7/2)	$J^\pi$ : $\gamma$ 's to 5/2 <sup>+</sup> , 1064, 5/2 <sup>-</sup> , 121, and 7/2 <sup>-</sup> , g.s., respectively.

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ 's; normalized  $\chi^2=2.0$  is greater than critical  $\chi^2=1.7$ .

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels, unless noted otherwise.

<sup>147</sup>Sm(n,n'γ)    1983Av07,1984Ba62 (continued)

$\gamma(^{147}\text{Sm})$								
$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\delta^\#$	Comments
121.14 10	148 46	121.18	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2		
197.4 2	47 10	197.47	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2		
215.23 10	19 2	931.54	11/2 <sup>+</sup>	716.26	11/2 <sup>-</sup>	E1		
x222.3 3	0.61 24							
x277.6 3	1.0 4							
314.10 15	16 2	1030.34	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	716.26	11/2 <sup>-</sup>	E1		
371.2 3	0.52 17	1180.39	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	809.16	9/2 <sup>-</sup>			
x390.5 2	1.1 3							ΔIγ: 26 in 1983Av07, presumably a typographical error.
x420.83 15	1.2 3							ΔIγ: 30 in 1983Av07, presumably a typographical error.
427.5 2	0.96 26	1457.80	15/2 <sup>-</sup>	1030.34	13/2 <sup>+</sup>			
430.2 6	0.45 21	1748.8		1318.20	3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup>			
x456.9 3	0.9 4							
534.00 15	2.5 5	1983.18		1449.22	7/2 <sup>-</sup>			
x575.1 3	0.55 21							
x585.6 3	1.9 5							
601.13 15	5.4 11	798.55	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	197.47	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1(+E2)	0.005 8	δ: adopted value.
651.2 3	0.34 16	2109.0	17/2 <sup>-</sup>	1457.80	15/2 <sup>-</sup>			
x657.4 3	0.79 26							
x659.8 4	0.32 14							
663.5 3	0.89 25	1843.90	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	1180.39	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			
677.28 15	8.1 8	798.55	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	121.18	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	-3.9 39	Mult.: M1+E2, ΔJ=1 from A <sub>2</sub> (exp)=−0.02 3. δ: adopted value is −0.47 4.
684.6 5	1.2 5	1762.70		1077.02	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			
687.91 15	12 3	809.16	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	121.18	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2		Mult.: E2, ΔJ=2 from A <sub>2</sub> (exp)=0.08 2 compared to A <sub>2</sub> (E2,theor)=0.07.
716.15 15	100	716.26	11/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2		Mult.: from A <sub>2</sub> (exp)=0.15 3 compared to A <sub>2</sub> (E2,theor)=0.16.
725.44 15	5.7 6	922.91	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> )	197.47	3/2 <sup>-</sup>			
741.5 2	8.8 9	1457.80	15/2 <sup>-</sup>	716.26	11/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2		Mult.: from A <sub>2</sub> (exp)=0.23 2 compared to A <sub>2</sub> (E2,theor)=0.24.
x746.6 2	5.4 11							
x778.6 3	1.20 25							
798.58 15	3.9 8	798.55	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	(E2)		Mult.: from A <sub>2</sub> (exp)=−0.08 8 compared to A <sub>2</sub> (E2,theor)=−0.008.
809.21 10	40 6	809.16	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2		Mult.: M1+E2, ΔJ=1 from A <sub>2</sub> (exp)=0.10 2. δ: δ=0.66 11 or 2.5 6.
x814.4 4	0.23 10							
x830.7 2	4.6 11							
856.8 2	2.5 4	1054.23	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	197.47	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	E1		Mult.: E1, ΔJ=0 from A <sub>2</sub> (exp)=−0.027 22 compared to A <sub>2</sub> (E1,theor)=0.
932.8 5	0.38 @ 16	931.54	11/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	[M2]		
932.8 5	3.4 @	1054.23	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	121.18	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	E1		
x938.5 4	1.0 3							
942.18 15	8.3 18	1063.61	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	121.18	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	E1		Mult.: E1, ΔJ=0 from A <sub>2</sub> (exp)=0.008 6 compared to A <sub>2</sub> (E1,theor)=0.012.

<sup>147</sup>Sm(n,n'γ)    1983Av07,1984Ba62 (continued) $\gamma(^{147}\text{Sm})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\delta^\#$	Comments
947.9 <sup>a</sup> 1	14 2	1069.05?	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	121.18	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2		Mult.: E2, ΔJ=2 from $A_2(\text{exp})=0.05$ 3 compared to $A_2(\text{E2,theor})=0.07$ .
955.78 15	4.2 6	1077.02	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	121.18	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	1.1 13	Mult.: M1+E2, ΔJ=0 from $A_2(\text{exp})=-0.06$ 4.
<sup>x</sup> 968.0	2.0 4							
<sup>x</sup> 977.3 3	0.29 13							
<sup>x</sup> 985.3 3	2.6 6							
<sup>x</sup> 989.6 4	0.34 9							
1010.7 5	0.56 16	2064.9	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1054.23	3/2 <sup>+</sup>			
<sup>x</sup> 1034.2 5	1.3 3							
1059.1 2	<3.8	1180.39	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	121.18	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			
1059.1 2	<3.8	2089.4		1030.34	13/2 <sup>+</sup>			
1063.4 2	7.3 18	1063.61	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	E1		Mult.: E1, ΔJ=1 from $A_2(\text{exp})=0.048$ 28 compared to $A_2(\text{E1,theor})=0.02$ .
1069.05 <sup>a</sup> 10	13 2	1069.05?	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2		Mult.: M1+E2, ΔJ=1 from $A_2(\text{exp})=0.05$ 4.
1077.0 1	7.0 14	1077.02	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1(+E2)	-1.3 15	$\delta$ : 0.35 15 or 7.16 +73–389.
1107.3 2	20 3	1107.30	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> to 9/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>			Mult.: M1+E2, ΔJ=1 from $A_2(\text{exp})=-0.03$ 2.
1120.5 2	3.2 8	1318.20	3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup>	197.47	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2		Mult.: M1+E2, ΔJ=0.1 from $A_2(\text{exp})=-0.05$ 2.
<sup>x</sup> 1127.0 3	0.70 24							$\delta$ : 1.5 14 if ΔJ=0; 4.6 41 if ΔJ=1.
1138.7 <sup>&amp;</sup> 2	1.5 3	2202.97	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2,7/2)	1063.61	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			$E_\gamma$ : this energy might be incorrect since it is out of order in 1983Av07 (table 2, located between 1160 and 1235).
<sup>x</sup> 1147.0 3	1.1 3							
<sup>x</sup> 1152.1 2	1.6 4							
<sup>x</sup> 1158.4 4	0.78 26							
<sup>x</sup> 1160.8 3	1.1 3							
1173.0 2	10 4	1173.01	( <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>			Mult.: E1 from Adopted Gammas; M1+E2, ΔJ=1 from $A_2(\text{exp})=0.11$ 2 from 1984Ba62 not adopted.
1180.5 2	8.8 17	1180.39	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	E1		$\delta$ : $\delta=0.62$ 15 12 or 2.8 11 6 if M1+E2.
1197.2 2	10 3	1318.20	3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup>	121.18	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	-1.1 8	Mult.: M1+E2, ΔJ=0.1 from $A_2(\text{exp})=-0.02$ 1; E2 from <sup>147</sup> Eu $\varepsilon$ decay is adopted (Adopted Gammas).
<sup>x</sup> 1235.6 2	0.80 16							
1252.0 5	2.2 6	1449.22	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	197.47	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	[E2]		Mult.: M1+E2, ΔJ=0.1 from $A_2(\text{exp})=0.04$ 3; not adopted because of level scheme arguments.
1256.1 2	3.2 9	1453.52	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	197.47	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2		Mult.: M1+E2, ΔJ=0.1 from $A_2(\text{exp})=0.07$ 4.
1260.8 2	1.1 3	2069.85	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	809.16	9/2 <sup>-</sup>			$\delta$ : $\delta=-1.5$ 6 if ΔJ=0 or $-1.6$ 16 if ΔJ=1.
1274.4 4	1.4 3	1471.62	3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup>	197.47	3/2 <sup>-</sup>			
1318.2 2	4.0 10	1318.20	3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>			
<sup>x</sup> 1322.8 5	0.73 30							
1328.4 7	1.3 5	1449.22	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	121.18	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	1.7 11	Mult.: M1+E2, ΔJ=1 from $A_2(\text{exp})=0.08$ 4.
1332.2 3	1.3 5	1453.52	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	121.18	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			

<sup>147</sup>Sm(n,n'γ)    1983Av07,1984Ba62 (continued)γ(<sup>147</sup>Sm) (continued)

E <sub>γ</sub> <sup>†</sup>	I <sub>γ</sub> <sup>†</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup>π</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup>π</sup>	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	δ <sup>#</sup>	Comments
1350.0 3	5.3 16	1471.62	3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup>	121.18	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2		Mult.: M1+E2, ΔJ=0,1 from A <sub>2</sub> (exp)=−0.024 11. δ: δ=−1.0 7 if ΔJ=1; −0.10 +15−12 or 2.1 10 6 if ΔJ=0.
1353.6 5	3.4 10	2069.85	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	716.26	11/2 <sup>-</sup>			
x1364.9 5	0.27 12							
x1368.0 5	1.6 4							
x1370.8 5	0.65 22							
x1395.6 6	0.7 3							
x1408.0 5	1.5 4							
x1420.6	1.1 3							
x1434.7 4	0.50 22							
x1442.4 3	0.53 20							
1449.2 2	5.8 8	1449.22	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2		Mult.: M1+E2, ΔJ=0,1 from A <sub>2</sub> (exp)=−0.05 4.
1472.4 5	0.38 11	1471.62	3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2 <sup>-</sup> ,7/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>			
x1522.3 2	1.9 4							
x1525.6 3	0.27 13							
x1555.9 2	0.68 18							
x1576.7 2	0.84 24							
1596.0 4	2.5 7	1717.4	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	121.18	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			
x1605.7 3	0.70 24							
x1622.4	0.64 20							
1627.4 8	0.24 8	1748.8		121.18	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			
x1635.4 6	0.28 10							
1641.8 2	3.1 6	1762.70		121.18	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			
1646.3 4	0.87 24	1843.90	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	197.47	3/2 <sup>-</sup>			
x1653.6	0.81 30							
x1659.8 4	0.61 18							
x1664.5 3	0.91 21							
x1676.7	1.4 4							
1717.8 5	2.0 5	1717.4	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	1.5 7	Mult.: M1+E2, ΔJ=1 from A <sub>2</sub> (exp)=0.16 3.
1749.2 5	0.74 22	1748.8		0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>			
1762.0 5	0.46 20	1762.70		0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>			
x1811.1	2.6 7							
1844.2 6	1.6 4	1843.90	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	1.6 9	Mult.: M1+E2, ΔJ=1 from A <sub>2</sub> (exp)=0.15 4.
1861.6 5	0.74 22	1983.18		121.18	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			
1870.7 8	0.6 3	2069.85	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	197.47	3/2 <sup>-</sup>			
x1875.7 8	0.44 20							
x1881.4 8	1.0 4							
x1891.3 4	0.47 18							
x1897.3 5	0.38 15							
x1921.0 4	0.73 22							
x2057.3 4	0.83 27							
2069.8 3	1.1 3	2069.85	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>			
2082.5 & 2	1.0 3	2202.97	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2,7/2)	121.18	5/2 <sup>-</sup>			
2202.7 4	0.9 3	2202.97	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> ,5/2,7/2)	0.0	7/2 <sup>-</sup>			

$^{147}\text{Sm}(\text{n},\text{n}'\gamma)$     **1983Av07,1984Ba62 (continued)** $\gamma(^{147}\text{Sm})$  (continued)

<sup>†</sup> From 1983Av07.

<sup>‡</sup> From adopted values, unless noted in comments, which are from 1984Ba62 based on  $\gamma(\theta)$ . 1984Ba62 list only  $A_2$  coefficients and mention that the  $A_4$  coefficients are small compared to experimental uncertainties. Mult's for pure transitions are deduced from comparison of experimental and theoretical  $A_2$  values.

<sup>#</sup> From 1984Ba62 based on  $\gamma(\theta)$ , unless noted otherwise.

<sup>@</sup> There are two gammas with energies close to 932 keV which are placed as transitions from the 931- and 1054-keV levels. The  $(\text{n},\text{n}'\gamma)$  reaction feeds both these levels so that it measures the sum of the two intensities (<3.8) while the  $(^3\text{He},4\text{n}\gamma)$  reaction feeds only the 931-keV level allowing one to determine the ratio  $I(\gamma)(932.8)/I(\gamma)(215)=0.020$  8 for the 932.8 contribution from this level. The  $(\text{n},\text{n}'\gamma)$  data can then be analyzed for the two 932.8 intensities;  $I(\gamma)$  from 931 level=0.38 16, from 1054 level=3.4.

<sup>&</sup> Differ by  $3\sigma$  from calculated value.

<sup>a</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{147}\text{Sm}(\text{n},\text{n}'\gamma)$  1983Av07,1984Ba62

## Legend

## Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative  $I_\gamma$ 

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$



