

$^{148}\text{Nd}(\text{d},3\text{n}\gamma)$ **1995Ur01**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica and B. Singh		NDS 181, 1 (2022)	9-Mar-2022

1995Ur01: E(d)=18 MeV; measured E γ , I γ , ce, cey coin, $\gamma\gamma$ using Orsay electron spectrometer and Ge detectors.

 ^{147}Pm Levels

E(level) [†]	J π [‡]	E(level) [†]	J π [‡]	E(level) [†]	J π [‡]	E(level) [†]	J π [‡]
0.0	7/2 ⁺	489.36 15	7/2 ⁺	730.70 18	9/2 ⁺	1077.56 24	(11/2 ⁺)
91.11 9	5/2 ⁺	531.02 14	5/2 ⁺	807.3 4		1434.2 3	(13/2 ⁺)
410.66 15	3/2 ⁺	686.06 16	5/2 ⁺	1049.09 25	(7/2 ⁺)		

[†] Deduced by evaluator from least-squares fit to E γ data.

[‡] As proposed by **1995Ur01** from multipolarities deduced from ce data, and previous assignments for low-lying levels.

 $\gamma(^{147}\text{Pm})$

E γ	I γ	E $_i$ (level)	J π _i	E $_f$	J π _f	Mult. [†]	α [‡]	Comments
91.1 1	100	91.11	5/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁺	M1+E2	2.6 6	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=1.4$ 3 $\alpha(\text{K})=1.63$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.75$ 52; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.17$ 12
120.1 3	3 1	531.02	5/2 ⁺	410.66	3/2 ⁺	M1+E2	1.05 13	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=1.3$ 4 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.74$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.24$ 13; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.053$ 30
196.6 3	5 2	686.06	5/2 ⁺	489.36	7/2 ⁺			
241.4 3	15 3	730.70	9/2 ⁺	489.36	7/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.121 13	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.10$ 2 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.098$ 16; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0180$ 25; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0039$ 7
275.5 3	7 2	686.06	5/2 ⁺	410.66	3/2 ⁺			$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.025$ 12 Mult.: 1995Ur01 give E1 from $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$, but M1 or M1+E2 is expected from their J π assignments of the two levels connected by this transition.
319.5 2	36 4	410.66	3/2 ⁺	91.11	5/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.054 10	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.033$ 8 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.045$ 10; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00732$ 11; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00159$ 3 δ : $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ gives $\delta>1.5$, but -0.37 1 in the Adopted Gammas.
346.8 3	12 3	1077.56	(11/2 ⁺)	730.70	9/2 ⁺			
356.5 3	5 2	1434.2	(13/2 ⁺)	1077.56	(11/2 ⁺)			
363.1 3	5 2	1049.09	(7/2 ⁺)	686.06	5/2 ⁺			
398.2 2	43 4	489.36	7/2 ⁺	91.11	5/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.029 7	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.018$ 5 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.025$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0038$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00082$ 7 δ : $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ gives $\delta>1.3$, but $+0.30$ 3 in the Adopted Gammas.
410.6 3	4 2	410.66	3/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁺			
439.9 2	31 7	531.02	5/2 ⁺	91.11	5/2 ⁺			
489.3 3	11 3	489.36	7/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁺			
518.0 3	6 2	1049.09	(7/2 ⁺)	531.02	5/2 ⁺			
531.1 2	25 5	531.02	5/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁺			
588.1 3	11 3	1077.56	(11/2 ⁺)	489.36	7/2 ⁺			
595.0 3	7 2	686.06	5/2 ⁺	91.11	5/2 ⁺			
639.6 2	21 4	730.70	9/2 ⁺	91.11	5/2 ⁺			
686.1 3	18 4	686.06	5/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁺			
703.7 3	10 3	1434.2	(13/2 ⁺)	730.70	9/2 ⁺			
716.2 3	12 3	807.3		91.11	5/2 ⁺			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{148}\text{Nd}(\text{d},3\text{n}\gamma)$ **1995Ur01 (continued)**

$\gamma(^{147}\text{Pm})$ (continued)

[†] Based on $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ ([1995Ur01](#)).

[‡] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

$^{148}\text{Nd}(\text{d},3\text{n}\gamma)$ 1995Ur01

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$

