

^{147}La β^- decay 1989Ro20

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica and B. Singh		NDS 181, 1 (2022)	9-Mar-2022

Parent: ^{147}La : E=0.0; $J^\pi=(5/2^+)$; $T_{1/2}=4.06$ s 4; $Q(\beta^-)=5336$ 14; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

^{147}La -E, J^π , $T_{1/2}$: from ^{147}La Adopted Levels.

^{147}La -Q(β^-): From 2021Wa16.

1989Ro20: n_{th} -induced ^{235}U fission products analyzed with Tristan ISOL isotope separator at BNL with thermoionization source and moving tape collector. Used two Ge(Li) and two Ge. Measured γ , $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma\gamma$. Supersedes 1988RoZN, 1987RoZW, 1987RoZM.

1987ScZG, 1981ScZM: fission products analyzed with ISOL systems Lohengrin and Ostis (ILL Grenoble), and Josef (K.F.A Julich). Measured γ , $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma\gamma$, $\beta\gamma\gamma$. Measured conversion electrons and mixing ratios (K/L ratio).

1981ShZH: n -induced ^{235}U fission products analyzed with Tristan ISOL isotope separator at BNL with thermoionization source and moving tape collector. Measured $T_{1/2}$ at SOLIS facility with γ -x HPGe detector and 2π plastic scintillator; measured $\gamma\gamma$ with two Ge(Li) detectors.

1984So18: measured ratio of count rates of a γ ray relative to a reference γ ray (with known $\%I\gamma$) relative to the β^- decay of the parent) using mass separators (Helios, Ostis) and Ge(Li) detectors at radioactive equilibrium.

Others: 1983Re11, 1982To16, 1979Bo26, 1976ScZR, 1975Pi03, 1974CIZX, 1974Ar25, 1973SeYW.

Level scheme is from 1989Ro20.

 ^{147}Ce Levels

Reduced $\chi^2=8.3$ is greater than critical $\chi^2=1.7$. This comes from the discrepancy between the γ 's measured with curved crystal spectrometer, and the other γ 's in this dataset.

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [‡]	Comments
0.0	(5/2 ⁻)	56.4 s 10	% β^- =100 % β^- : from Adopted Levels.
117.711 6	(7/2 ⁻)		
186.340 7	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	0.4 ns	
215.354 16	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)		
273.72 5	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)		
332.68 6	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)		
353.215 25	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)		
359.2?#			
401.110 17	(9/2 ⁺)		
402.44 5			
432.83 7			
438.18 3	(5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺)		
495.08 5			
505.41 4			
517.02 4			
558.6?#			
597.82 5			
608.54 7			
625.34 5			
673.1?#			
676.94 6			
710.46 4			
769.75 10			
786.01 5			
831.44 5			
907.30 8			
921.11 10			
924.32 11			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{147}\text{La } \beta^-$ decay 1989Ro20 (continued) **^{147}Ce Levels (continued)**[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$'s.[‡] From Adopted Levels.

Level not observed by 1989Ro20.

 β^- radiations

$Q(\beta^-) \approx 5.3$ MeV and the highest level at ≈ 0.9 MeV indicate that the level scheme is incomplete. However based on the existing data, $\Sigma \% I\beta \approx 100$ 14, which indicates that the level scheme is rather complete, although the value of unc makes this statement less certain. For both reasons although the calculated figures for $I\beta$ and $\log ft$ look rather precise they can be considered higher and lower limits, respectively. Consequently these data should be used rather cautiously; new studies are needed for $^{147}\text{La } \beta^-$ decay.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-\dagger}$	$\log ft$	Comments
(4412 14)	924.32	0.11 4	7.75 16	av $E\beta=1883.2$ 66
(4415 14)	921.11	0.20 4	7.49 9	av $E\beta=1884.7$ 66
(4429 14)	907.30	0.11 6	7.76 24	av $E\beta=1891.1$ 66
(4505 14)	831.44	1.46 22	6.66 7	av $E\beta=1926.5$ 66
(4550 14)	786.01	3.8 7	6.27 8	av $E\beta=1947.7$ 66
(4566 14)	769.75	0.60 9	7.08 7	av $E\beta=1955.3$ 66
(4626 14)	710.46	2.1 3	6.56 7	av $E\beta=1983.0$ 66
(4659 14)	676.94	0.49 9	7.20 8	av $E\beta=1998.7$ 66
(4711 14)	625.34	2.0 3	6.61 7	av $E\beta=2022.8$ 66
(4727 14)	608.54	0.68 16	7.09 11	av $E\beta=2030.7$ 66
(4738 14)	597.82	2.5 4	6.53 7	av $E\beta=2035.7$ 66
(4819 14)	517.02	6.3 9	6.16 7	av $E\beta=2073.4$ 66
(4831 14)	505.41	2.3 4	6.60 8	av $E\beta=2078.8$ 66
(4841 14)	495.08	1.9 3	6.68 7	av $E\beta=2083.7$ 66
(4898 14)	438.18	7.4 11	6.12 7	av $E\beta=2110.3$ 66
(4903 14)	432.83	1.15 19	6.93 8	av $E\beta=2112.8$ 66
(4934 14)	402.44	3.0 11	6.52 16	av $E\beta=2127.0$ 66
(4935 14)	401.110	4.2 6	6.38 7	av $E\beta=2127.6$ 66
				log ft value from ($5/2^+$) of ^{147}La parent is rather unfit for ($9/2^+$) adopted by the three high-spin datasets (^{252}Cf SF decay, ^{248}Cm SF decay, and $^{238}\text{U}({}^{12}\text{C},\gamma)$).
(4977 14)	359.2?			
(4983 14)	353.215	6.1 9	6.23 7	av $E\beta=2150.0$ 66
(5003 14)	332.68	4.1 8	6.41 9	av $E\beta=2159.6$ 66
(5062 14)	273.72	2.8 9	6.60 14	av $E\beta=2187.1$ 66
(5121 14)	215.354	0.6 5	7.3 4	av $E\beta=2214.4$ 66
(5150 14)	186.340	8.3 13	6.16 7	av $E\beta=2228.0$ 66
(5218 14)	117.711	11.2 17	6.06 7	av $E\beta=2260.1$ 66
(5336 14)	0.0	27 11	5.72 18	av $E\beta=2315.1$ 66

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

¹⁴⁷La β⁻ decay 1989Ro20 (continued)γ(¹⁴⁷Ce)

Iy normalization: absolute photon intensities were calculated from the absolute intensity %Iγ(117.7γ in ¹⁴⁷Ce)=18.3 25, based on %Iγ(315γ in ¹⁴⁷Pr β⁻ decay)=18.2 18 (the value listed here for %Iγ(117.7γ) was recalculated by evaluator from %Iγ(117.7γ)=12.7 12 from 1984So18 and %Iγ(315γ)=12.6 used by 1984So18 – see ¹⁴⁷Pr β⁻ in ¹⁴⁷Nd datasets for discussion on %Iγ(315γ in ¹⁴⁷Pr β⁻ decay)).

E _γ #	I _γ #@e	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult.	δ ^{‡&}	α [†]	Comments
58.7 ^{cf}	3	273.72	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	215.354	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	M1	5.51		%Iγ=0.54 α(K)=4.69 7; α(L)=0.647 9; α(M)=0.1354 19 α(N)=0.0300 5; α(O)=0.00486 7; α(P)=0.000364 5 γ not observed by 1989Ro20.
69.09 ^d 8	3.8 5	186.340	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	117.711 (7/2 ⁻)		(M1)	3.43		%Iγ=0.68 14 α(K)=2.92 5; α(L)=0.402 6; α(M)=0.0842 13 α(N)=0.0187 3; α(O)=0.00302 5; α(P)=0.000227 4
97.4 ^{af} 3	5.0 25	215.354	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	117.711 (7/2 ⁻)		[M1,E2]	1.8 5		%Iγ=0.9 5 α(K)=1.20 12; α(L)=0.44 30; α(M)=0.098 67 α(N)=0.021 15; α(O)=0.0030 20; α(P)=7.7×10 ⁻⁵ 8 γ not observed by 1989Ro20 (e.g. in coincidence with 118, while the weaker 69γ was observed).
117.718 ^b 6	100	117.711 (7/2 ⁻)		0.0 (5/2 ⁻)		M1+E2	1.1	0.966	%Iγ=18 α(K)=0.694 10; α(L)=0.213 3; α(M)=0.0469 7 α(N)=0.01014 15; α(O)=0.001479 21; α(P)=4.45×10 ⁻⁵ 7
118 ^{cf}		332.68	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	215.354 (3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	[M1,E2]		0.94 20		α(K)=0.68 6; α(L)=0.20 12; α(M)=0.044 26 α(N)=0.0095 56; α(O)=0.00139 75; α(P)=4.5×10 ⁻⁵ 5 γ not observed by 1989Ro20.
141.8 2	1.2 3	495.08		353.215 (5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)					%Iγ=0.22 7
152.3 1	0.9 4	505.41		353.215 (5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)					%Iγ=0.16 8
155.86 ^{cf}	1	558.6?		402.44					%Iγ=0.18 γ not observed by 1989Ro20.
156.10 7	5.1 6	273.72	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	117.711 (7/2 ⁻)					%Iγ=0.92 18
x156.7 1	<1								%Iγ=0.09 9
159.2 2	5.3 6	597.82		438.18 (5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺)					%Iγ=0.95 18
170.2 2	<1	608.54		438.18 (5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺)					%Iγ=0.09 9
x175.1 ^a 3									
184.4 1	4 1	517.02		332.68 (5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)					%Iγ=0.72 21
186.320 ^b 7	54 1	186.340 (5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)		0.0 (5/2 ⁻)		E2	0.234		%Iγ=9.7 15 α(K)=0.1748 25; α(L)=0.0463 7; α(M)=0.01012 15 α(N)=0.00219 3; α(O)=0.000322 5; α(P)=1.058×10 ⁻⁵ 15
207.5 1	0.2 5	608.54		401.110 (9/2 ⁺)					%Iγ=0.04 +9-4

¹⁴⁷La β^- decay 1989Ro20 (continued) $\gamma(^{147}\text{Ce})$ (continued)

E _{γ} [#]	I _{γ} ^{#@e}	E _i (level)	J _i ^{π}	E _f	J _f ^{π}	Mult. ^{&}	α^\dagger	Comments
x211.9 ^a 3								
215.0 1	23 2	332.68	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	117.711	(7/2 ⁻)	M1+E2	0.142 3	%I γ =4.1 8 $\alpha(K)=0.115$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.021$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.0045$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.00099$ 25; $\alpha(O)=0.00015$ 4; $\alpha(P)=8.1\times10^{-6}$ 12
215.3 3	4.4 8	402.44		186.340	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%I γ =0.79 19
215.418 ^{bd} 17	29 2	215.354	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	0.0	(5/2 ⁻)	E2	0.1432	%I γ =5.2 9 $\alpha(K)=0.1101$ 16; $\alpha(L)=0.0261$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00567$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.001229$ 18; $\alpha(O)=0.000183$ 3; $\alpha(P)=6.86\times10^{-6}$ 10
217.6 1	3.2 3	432.83		215.354	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%I γ =0.57 11
x225.0 1	3.4 1							%I γ =0.61 10
225.5 ^{cf}	2	558.6?		332.68	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%I γ =0.36 γ not observed by 1989Ro20.
235.55 5	20.6 1	353.215	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	117.711	(7/2 ⁻)	M1+E2	0.1080 22	%I γ =3.7 6 $\alpha(K)=0.088$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.016$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.0033$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.00073$ 15; $\alpha(O)=0.000112$ 18; $\alpha(P)=6.2\times10^{-6}$ 10 %I γ =0.56 13
246.39 9	3.1 5	432.83		186.340	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			
x254.3 ^a 3								
x272.47 3	0.5 1							%I γ =0.090 23
272.5 ^{cf}	1	673.1?		401.110	(9/2 ⁺)			%I γ =0.18 γ not placed by 1989Ro20.
273.8 1	19 4	273.72	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	0.0	(5/2 ⁻)			%I γ =3.4 9
279.9 1	3.9 8	495.08		215.354	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%I γ =0.70 18
283.400 ^b 16	23 1	401.110	(9/2 ⁺)	117.711	(7/2 ⁻)	E1	0.01442	%I γ =4.1 7 $\alpha(K)=0.01238$ 18; $\alpha(L)=0.001620$ 23; $\alpha(M)=0.000337$ 5 $\alpha(N)=7.42\times10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(O)=1.185\times10^{-5}$ 17; $\alpha(P)=8.36\times10^{-7}$ 12 Mult.: from Adopted Levels; 1981ScZM (this dataset) adopt M1+E2.
290.06 8	2.2 1	505.41		215.354	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%I γ =0.39 7
292.9 2	2.2 1	625.34		332.68	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%I γ =0.39 7
308.56 7	2.8 3	495.08		186.340	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%I γ =0.50 10
318.69 ^d 8	3.9 5	505.41		186.340	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%I γ =0.70 14
320.47 6	4.6 9	438.18	(5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺)	117.711	(7/2 ⁻)			%I γ =0.83 21
332.8 1	4.8 7	332.68	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	0.0	(5/2 ⁻)			%I γ =0.86 18
334.8 1	3.0 4	608.54		273.72	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%I γ =0.54 11
353.22 3	15 1	353.215	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)	0.0	(5/2 ⁻)			%I γ =2.7 5
359.2 ^{cf}	4	359.2?		0.0	(5/2 ⁻)			%I γ =0.72 γ not observed by 1989Ro20.
377.49 8	2.3 1	495.08		117.711	(7/2 ⁻)			%I γ =0.41 7
382.629 ^{bd} 47	1.8 2	597.82		215.354	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%I γ =0.32 6
387.94 ^d 7	4.8 2	505.41		117.711	(7/2 ⁻)			%I γ =0.86 14

¹⁴⁷La β⁻ decay 1989Ro20 (continued)γ(¹⁴⁷Ce) (continued)

E _γ #	I _γ #@e	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. &	a [†]	Comments
393.6 ^{af} 3	3.1 15	831.44		438.18	(5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺)			%Iγ=0.6 3 γ not observed by 1989Ro20.
399.33 5	20.3 1	517.02		117.711	(7/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=3.6 6
402.46 5	12 5	402.44		0.0	(5/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=2.2 10
^x 410.5 ^a 3								
410.9 ^d 1	5.7 5	597.82		186.340	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=1.02 18
416.5 1	0.6 1	769.75		353.215	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=0.108 25
432.95 9	1.2 3	786.01		353.215	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=0.22 7
437.2 2	2.7 1	769.75		332.68	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=0.48 8
438.17 3	42 2	438.18	(5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺)	0.0	(5/2 ⁻)	E1	0.00488	%Iγ=7.5 12 α(K)=0.00420 6; α(L)=0.000540 8; α(M)=0.0001121 16 α(N)=2.48×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(O)=3.98×10 ⁻⁶ 6; α(P)=2.91×10 ⁻⁷ 4
461.8 1	1.1 2	676.94		215.354	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=0.20 5
469.1 1	<1	907.30		438.18	(5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺)			%Iγ=0.09 9
^x 474.5 ^a 3								
480.0 2	0.8 4	597.82		117.711	(7/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=0.14 8
490.52 6	1.6 2	676.94		186.340	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=0.29 6
495.17 3	8.1 5	710.46		215.354	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=1.45 24
505.5 ^c	1	505.41		0.0	(5/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=0.18
506.2 1	0.1 1	907.30		401.110	(9/2 ⁺)			%Iγ=0.018 18
507.61 5	8.5 1	625.34		117.711	(7/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=1.53 24
516.99 5	10.3 7	517.02		0.0	(5/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=1.8 3
520.0 1	0.2 1	921.11		401.110	(9/2 ⁺)			%Iγ=0.036 19
523.55 ^d 9	3.2 3	710.46		186.340	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=0.57 11
557.79 5	4.8 4	831.44		273.72	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=0.86 15
570.75 6	9.5 7	786.01		215.354	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=1.7 3
571.1 1	0.6 2	924.32		353.215	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=0.11 4
599.2 ^d 1	10 2	786.01		186.340	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=1.8 5
^x 601.8 1	5 2							%Iγ=0.9 4
^x 602.9 ^a 3								
644.99 6	3.2 3	831.44		186.340	(5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=0.57 11
647.4 3	0.9 1	921.11		273.72	(3/2 ⁻ ,5/2 ⁻ ,7/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=0.16 3
^x 649.1 ^a 3								
^x 674.66 5	3.8 1							%Iγ=0.68 11
^x 706.7 ^a 3								
709.8 ^{cf}	2	710.46		0.0	(5/2 ⁻)			%Iγ=0.36
^x 713.1 1	0.7 2							%Iγ=0.13 4 γ not observed by 1989Ro20.

$^{147}\text{La } \beta^- \text{ decay} \quad \textbf{1989Ro20 (continued)}$ $\gamma(^{147}\text{Ce}) \text{ (continued)}$

[†] Additional information 1.

[‡] Additional information 2.

From 1989Ro20, except where noted.

@ Intensities are relative to 100 for I(118γ). For I γ 's given with no unc in the table no unc for %I γ was adopted.

& From γ , ce and K/L measurements (1981ScZM – no data given), except where noted. These values are those adopted in the Adopted Levels, Gammas dataset.

^a From 1987ScZG.

^b From 1979Bo26 (measurements with curved crystal spectrometers).

^c From 1981ScZM.

^d Differ by 3 σ or more from calculated value.

^e For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.183 25.

^f Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{147}La β^- decay 1989Ro20

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays

Legend

