

¹⁴⁶Pm ε decay 1966Bu03,1968Ta09,1970Av03

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Yu. Khazov, A. Rodionov and G. Shulyak		NDS 136, 163 (2016)	14-Jul-2016

Parent: ¹⁴⁶Pm: E=0.0; J^π=3⁻; T_{1/2}=5.53 y 5; Q(ε)=1472 4; %ε+%β⁺ decay=65.7 15
 1970Av03: ¹⁴⁶Pm ε decay [from Ta,Gd(p,X), E=660 MeV]; measured E_γ, I_γ, ce. ¹⁴⁶Nd; deduced levels, J^π, log ft.
 1968Ta09: ¹⁴⁶Pm ε decay [from ¹⁴⁶Nd(p,n), E=10 MeV]; measured E_γ, I_γ, γγ coin. ¹⁴⁶Nd; deduced levels, log ft.
 1966Bu03: ¹⁴⁶Pm ε decay [from ¹⁴⁸Nd(p,3n)]; measured E_γ, I_γ, γγ coin, γγ(θ), T_{1/2}. ¹⁴⁶Nd; deduced levels, J^π, log ft.
 1974Sc06: ¹⁴⁶Pm ε decay [from ¹⁴⁶Nd(d,2n), E=12 MeV]; measured E_γ. ¹⁴⁶Nd; deduced transitions.
 Others: 1963Pa21, 1967Bu12, 1960Fu05, 1981Or03.
 Decay scheme is that from 1970Av03.

¹⁴⁶Nd Levels

E(level)	J ^π †
0.0	0 ⁺
453.83 15	2 ⁺
1043.5 5	4 ⁺
1189.73 24	3 ⁻

† From 'Adopted Levels'.

ε,β⁺ radiations

No β⁺ (<0.012%) (1967Va01).

E(decay)	E(level)	Iε [†]	Log ft	I(ε+β ⁺) [†]	Comments
(282 4)	1189.73	23.2 15	8.46 4	23.2 15	εK=0.8078 8; εL=0.1483 6; εM+=0.04393 20
(429 4)	1043.5	0.36 9	10.68 11	0.36 9	εK=0.8238 3; εL=0.13634 22; εM+=0.03984 8
(1018 4)	453.83	42.2 22	9.39 4	42.2 22	εK=0.8394; εL=0.12472 4; εM+=0.03589 1

† For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.999 23.

γ(¹⁴⁶Nd)

I_γ normalization: from I_γ=34.6% 15 for 747.2 keV, E2 transition in the ¹⁴⁶Pm β⁻ decay to ¹⁴⁶Sm; weighted average of 34.7 18 (1966Bu03), 37.0 55 (1968Ta09), 33.3 35 (1970Av03) assuming I(γ+ce) 747.2, ¹⁴⁶Sm+I(γ+ce) 453.8, ¹⁴⁶Nd=100% and no β⁻ and (ε+β⁺) feedings to ¹⁴⁶Sm(g.s.) and ¹⁴⁶Nd(g.s.), correspondingly. Other: 66.0% 13 (1997Pe22, 2012Au07).
 α(exp): from absolute measurements of I_γ and ce (1981Or03).

E _γ [†]	I _γ ^{‡@}	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult.	α [#]	Comments
146.2 13	0.22 3	1189.73	3 ⁻	1043.5	4 ⁺	[E1]	0.092 3	α(K)=0.0780 22; α(L)=0.0108 4; α(M)=0.00228 7 α(N)=0.000504 15; α(O)=7.37×10 ⁻⁵ 21; α(P)=4.09×10 ⁻⁶ 12 E _γ : from 1968Ta09.
453.83 15	64.7 15	453.83	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	0.01535	α(K)exp=0.0125 6; α(L)exp=0.0022 1; α(M)exp=0.00050 4 α(K)=0.01263 18; α(L)=0.00214 3; α(M)=0.000462 7 α(N)=0.0001024 15; α(O)=1.484×10 ⁻⁵ 21; α(P)=7.33×10 ⁻⁷ 11

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{146}Pm ε decay [1966Bu03](#),[1968Ta09](#),[1970Av03](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{146}\text{Nd})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{‡@}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	a [#]	Comments
589.7 5	0.60 8	1043.5	4 ⁺	453.83	2 ⁺	[E2]	0.00764	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00639$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000990$ 14; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000212$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=4.71\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{O})=6.94\times 10^{-6}$ 10; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.79\times 10^{-7}$ 6 I_γ : weighted average values of 0.60 8 (1966Bu03) and 0.7 3; other: 0.35 5 (1968Ta09).
735.90 19	22.9 15	1189.73	3 ⁻	453.83	2 ⁺	E1	1.71×10^{-3}	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.00138$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}=0.00020$ 2; $\alpha(\text{M})_{\text{exp}}=0.000044$ 19 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.001469$ 21; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000188$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=3.95\times 10^{-5}$ 6 $\alpha(\text{N})=8.83\times 10^{-6}$ 13; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.337\times 10^{-6}$ 19; $\alpha(\text{P})=8.64\times 10^{-8}$ 13

[†] Weighted average of [1966Bu03](#), [1968Ta09](#), [1970Av03](#), [1974Sc06](#), except as noted.

[‡] Weighted average of I_γ 's from [1966Bu03](#), [1968Ta09](#), [1970Av03](#); I_γ per 100 decays of the parent except as noted.

[#] [Additional information 1](#).

[@] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

^{146}Pm ϵ decay 1966Bu03,1968Ta09,1970Av03

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
 ———→ $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
 ———→ $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$

