

$^{146}\text{Tb}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (8 s)    1989KIZY, 1982No08, 1983Al06

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Yu. Khazov, A. Rodionov and G. Shulyak		NDS 136, 163 (2016)	14-Jul-2016

Parent:  $^{146}\text{Tb}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=1^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=8$  s 4;  $Q(\varepsilon)=8320$  40; % $\varepsilon$ +% $\beta^+$  decay=100.0

$^{146}\text{Tb}$ -from 2012Au07, 2012Wa38;  $T_{1/2}$  from  $I\gamma(t)$   $^{146}\text{Dy}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (1982No08).

1989KIZY:  $^{146}\text{Tb}(1^+)$   $\varepsilon$  decay [from  $\text{Gd}({}^3\text{He}, \text{xn})^{146}\text{Dy}(0^+)$   $\varepsilon$  decay, E=280 MeV]; measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $E\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\gamma$ (X-ray) coin.  $^{146}\text{Gd}$ ; deduced levels,  $J^\pi$ ,  $\varepsilon$  branchings, log ft. ISOCELE-II on-line mass-separator.

1995GoZV, 1996GoZZ:  $^{146}\text{Tb}(1^+)$   $\varepsilon$  decay [from  $\text{Ta}(\text{p}, \text{X})$ , E=660 MeV];  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ , ce,  $\varepsilon$  branching.  $^{146}\text{Gd}$ ; deduced level,  $J^\pi$ . Synchrocyclotron,  $\beta$  spectrometer on-line YaSNAPP-2 facility.

The  $^{146}\text{Gd}$  level scheme is from 1989KIZY, constructed on the basis of measurement of  $\gamma\gamma$  and  $\gamma$ (X-ray) coincidences and of using the (p,t) (1989Ma28) and ( $\alpha$ ,2n) (1986Ya06, 1987Ya13) results.

Measured:  $\gamma(t)$  (1993VaZW, 1983Al06, 1982No08, 1981StZO, 1980To06),  $\beta^+$  (1983Al06).

 $^{146}\text{Gd}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$0^+$	48.27 d 9	$T_{1/2}$ : from 'Adopted Levels'.
1579.55 15	$3^-$		
1972.02 7	$2^+$		
2164.72 12	$0^+$		
2986.4 2	$2^+$		
3185.95 10	$2^+$		
3232.5 4	$2^+$		
3484.93 21	$0^+$		

<sup>†</sup> From 'Adopted Levels'.

<sup>‡</sup> From 1989KIZY.

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ <sup>†</sup>	$I\varepsilon$ <sup>†</sup>	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ <sup>†</sup>	Comments
$(4.84 \times 10^3$ 4)	3484.93	0.27 8	0.10 3	6.2 3	0.37 11	av $E\beta=1735$ 24; $\varepsilon K=0.230$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.0337$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.0097$ 3
$(5.09 \times 10^3$ 4)	3232.5	0.53 8	0.16 3	6.05 23	0.69 11	av $E\beta=1853$ 24; $\varepsilon K=0.200$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.0293$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=0.00847$ 24
$(5.13 \times 10^3$ 4)	3185.95	0.98 14	0.29 4	5.80 23	1.27 18	av $E\beta=1875$ 24; $\varepsilon K=0.195$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.0286$ 8; $\varepsilon M+=0.00825$ 23
$(5.33 \times 10^3$ 4)	2986.4	0.29 7	0.077 19	6.42 25	0.37 9	av $E\beta=1969$ 24; $\varepsilon K=0.175$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0256$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.00740$ 21
$(6.16 \times 10^3$ 4)	2164.72	2.5 3	0.40 6	5.83 23	2.9 4	av $E\beta=2356$ 24; $\varepsilon K=0.115$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.0168$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.00485$ 12
$(6.35 \times 10^3$ 4)	1972.02	7.8 16	1.1 2	5.41 24	8.9 18	av $E\beta=2448$ 24; $\varepsilon K=0.105$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.0153$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.00442$ 11
$(6.74 \times 10^3$ 4)	1579.55	1.4 6	0.17 7	$6.3^{1u}$ 3	1.6 7	av $E\beta=2634$ 24; $\varepsilon K=0.0875$ 20; $\varepsilon L=0.0128$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.00369$ 9
$(8.32 \times 10^3$ 4)	0.0	79 2	4.6 1	5.03 22	84 2	av $E\beta=3391$ 24; $\varepsilon K=0.0458$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.00666$ 13; $\varepsilon M+=0.00192$ 4

<sup>†</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

**$^{146}\text{Tb}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (8 s)    1989KIZY,1982No08,1983AI06 (continued)** **$\gamma(^{146}\text{Gd})$** 

I( $\gamma+ce$ ) normalization: from 84% 2  $\varepsilon$ -feeding to  $^{146}\text{Gd}$  ground state ([1989KIZY](#)).

$E_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	$\alpha @$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{\dagger\&}$	Comments
192.5	2164.72	$0^+$	1972.02	$2^+$	E2	0.252	7	$\text{ce(K)}/(\gamma+ce)=0.1386$ 17; $\text{ce(L)}/(\gamma+ce)=0.0487$ 7; $\text{ce(M)}/(\gamma+ce)=0.01125$ 16 $\text{ce(N)}/(\gamma+ce)=0.00253$ 4; $\text{ce(O)}/(\gamma+ce)=0.000346$ 5; $\text{ce(P)}/(\gamma+ce)=7.89 \times 10^{-6}$ 12 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.1736$ 25; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0610$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.01409$ 20 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00317$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000433$ 6; $\alpha(\text{P})=9.88 \times 10^{-6}$ 14 $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : $I(2165,\text{E}0)/I\gamma(193,\text{E}2)=4.5$ 9 ( <a href="#">1989KIZY</a> ). If $I(2165,\text{E}0)=20$ , $I(\gamma+ce)$ of $193\gamma$ , calculated by the evaluators, equals 5.6 (in fig. 1 this value stated as 7).
392.6	1972.02	$2^+$	1579.55	$3^-$		1		
1213.9	3185.95	$2^+$	1972.02	$2^+$		$\approx 2$		
1261 <sup>‡a</sup>	3232.5	$2^+$	1972.02	$2^+$		$\approx 1$		
1407 <sup>‡</sup>	2986.4	$2^+$	1579.55	$3^-$		<3		
1512.9	3484.93	$0^+$	1972.02	$2^+$		$\approx 3$		
1579.40 5	1579.55	$3^-$	0.0	$0^+$	E3	0.00216	32	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.00177$ 13 ( <a href="#">1995GoZV</a> ) $\text{ce(K)}/(\gamma+ce)=0.001773$ 25; $\text{ce(L)}/(\gamma+ce)=0.000261$ 4; $\text{ce(M)}/(\gamma+ce)=5.69 \times 10^{-5}$ 8 $\text{ce(N)}/(\gamma+ce)=1.307 \times 10^{-5}$ 19; $\text{ce(O)}/(\gamma+ce)=2.01 \times 10^{-6}$ 3; $\text{ce(P)}/(\gamma+ce)=1.276 \times 10^{-7}$ 18; $\alpha(\text{IPF})/\text{T}_{1/2}=4.63 \times 10^{-5}$ 7 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.001777$ 25; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000262$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=5.71 \times 10^{-5}$ 8 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.310 \times 10^{-5}$ 19; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.01 \times 10^{-6}$ 3; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.278 \times 10^{-7}$ 18; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=4.64 \times 10^{-5}$ 7
1606.5	3185.95	$2^+$	1579.55	$3^-$		9		
1653.3	3232.5	$2^+$	1579.55	$3^-$		5		
1972.1	1972.02	$2^+$	0.0	$0^+$	E2	$1.01 \times 10^{-3}$	95	$\text{ce(K)}/(\gamma+ce)=0.000628$ 9; $\text{ce(L)}/(\gamma+ce)=8.34 \times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\text{ce(M)}/(\gamma+ce)=1.80 \times 10^{-5}$ 3 $\text{ce(N)}/(\gamma+ce)=4.13 \times 10^{-6}$ 6; $\text{ce(O)}/(\gamma+ce)=6.41 \times 10^{-7}$ 9; $\text{ce(P)}/(\gamma+ce)=4.35 \times 10^{-8}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{IPF})/\text{T}_{1/2}=0.000274$ 4 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.000629$ 9; $\alpha(\text{L})=8.35 \times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.80 \times 10^{-5}$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=4.13 \times 10^{-6}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=6.42 \times 10^{-7}$ 9; $\alpha(\text{P})=4.36 \times 10^{-8}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{IPF})=0.000274$ 4
2164.6	2164.72	$0^+$	0.0	$0^+$	E0		20	
2986.4 <sup>‡</sup>	2986.4	$2^+$	0.0	$0^+$			2	
3186.1 <sup>‡</sup>	3185.95	$2^+$	0.0	$0^+$			1	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

 **$^{146}\text{Tb } \varepsilon$  decay (8 s)    1989KIZY, 1982No08, 1983Al06 (continued)**

---

 **$\gamma(^{146}\text{Gd})$  (continued)**

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{\dagger\&}$
3233 <sup>‡a</sup>	3232.5	$2^+$	0.0	$0^+$	<1
3485	3484.93	$0^+$	0.0	$0^+$	<1

<sup>†</sup> From fig. 1 of 1989KIZY.  $\Delta I(\gamma+ce)=10\%$  is assumed by evaluators.

<sup>‡</sup> Placed from energy fit in 1989KIZY.

<sup>#</sup> From ce and  $\gamma$  measurement at ISCELE II on-line mass-separator (1989KIZY).

<sup>@</sup> Additional information 1.

<sup>&</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.106 15.

<sup>a</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{146}\text{Tb}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (8 s)    1989KIZY,1982No08,1983Al06

Legend

Decay Scheme

 $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)