

<sup>146</sup>Gd ε decay 1981Ka07,1978Ma47

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Yu. Khazov, A. Rodionov and G. Shulyak		NDS 136, 163 (2016)	14-Jul-2016

Parent: <sup>146</sup>Gd: E=0.0; J<sup>π</sup>=0<sup>+</sup>; T<sub>1/2</sub>=48.27 d 9; Q(ε)=1032 7; %ε+%β<sup>+</sup> decay=100.0

<sup>146</sup>Gd-T<sub>1/2</sub> from 'Adopted Levels', Q(g.s.) from 2012Wa38.

1981Ka07: <sup>146</sup>Gd ε decay [from <sup>144</sup>Sm(α,2n), E=27 MeV]; measured E<sub>γ</sub>, I<sub>γ</sub>, γγ, γ(X-ray) coin. Deduced levels, J<sup>π</sup>. Cyclotron, mass-separator, Ge(Li), X-ray detectors.

1978Ma47,1973Ga26: <sup>146</sup>Gd ε decay [from Ta(p,X), E=660 MeV]; measured E<sub>γ</sub>, I<sub>γ</sub>, E(ce), Ice, L-subshell ratios. Deduced levels, J<sup>π</sup>, α, δ. Ge(Li) detector, magnetic β spectrometer.

1976Se02: <sup>146</sup>Gd ε decay [from <sup>144</sup>Sm(α,2n), E=27 MeV]; measured E<sub>γ</sub>, I<sub>γ</sub>, γγ, γγ(θ), γ(X-ray) coin, γ(t), T<sub>1/2</sub>. Deduced levels, J<sup>π</sup>, δ.

1970An18: <sup>146</sup>Gd ε decay; measured E<sub>γ</sub>, I<sub>γ</sub>, Ice, K-, L-, M-subshell ratios. Deduced levels, α, J<sup>π</sup>, δ.

2013Bh07: <sup>146</sup>Gd ε decay [from <sup>144</sup>Sm(α,2n), Eα=32 MeV]; measured E<sub>γ</sub>, I<sub>γ</sub>, γγ-coin, level T<sub>1/2</sub> by γγ(t). Deduced levels, J<sup>π</sup>, β feedings, log ft, configurations. Measured T<sub>1/2</sub> by γγ(t). Mirror symmetric centroid difference method for half-life.

Others: 1958Go86, 1963Fr02, 1963Bo44, 1966Av04, 1970Ag01, 1970Ch09, 1970Ko16, 1972Ho51.

The <sup>146</sup>Eu level scheme from <sup>146</sup>Gd ε decay is that proposed by 1981Ka07 on the basis of γ, γγ, (X-ray)γγ coin. It differs from the earlier proposed schemes by repositioning in the cascade of coincident γ rays: the 114.7 keV level decays by the 114.7 keV transition and the 230.2 keV level decays by 115.5 keV transition. Such a sequence is supported by the measurements of (p,2n) reactions and <sup>146</sup>Gd ε decay (2013Bh07). The analysis of the 2013Bh07 data shows that the work is done with a poor energy calibration.

Additional information 1.

<sup>146</sup>Eu Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0	4 <sup>-</sup>		
114.712 20	3 <sup>-</sup>	3.7 ps 16	T <sub>1/2</sub> : from βγ(t) using mirror symmetric centroid (MSCD) analysis (2013Bh07). Others: <0.160 ns (1972Ho51), <0.3 ns (1976Se02).
230.23 3	2 <sup>-</sup>	5.8 ps 15	T <sub>1/2</sub> : from βγ(t) using mirror symmetric centroid (MSCD) analysis (2013Bh07). Others: <0.165 ns (1972Ho51), <0.3 ns (1976Se02).
384.80 4	1 <sup>-</sup>		
421.62 7	(3) <sup>-</sup>		
498.16 7	(2) <sup>-</sup>		
690.71 20	(2) <sup>-</sup>		

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to E<sub>γ</sub> data; normalized χ<sup>2</sup>=0.7.

<sup>‡</sup> From 'Adopted Levels, Gammas.

ε,β<sup>+</sup> radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	I <sub>ε</sub> <sup>†</sup>	Log ft	Comments
(341 7)	690.71	0.067 10	9.66 7	εK=0.8069 10; εL=0.1486 8; εM+=0.0445 3
(534 7)	498.16	0.23 8	9.53 <sup>1u</sup> 16	εK=0.7849 12; εL=0.1647 9; εM+=0.0504 3
(647 7)	384.80	72.1 14	7.241 14	εK=0.8268 3; εL=0.13383 17; εM+=0.03933 6
(802 7)	230.23	26.5 16	8.22 <sup>1u</sup> 3	εK=0.8100 4; εL=0.1463 3; εM+=0.04375 11

Note that this value of log ft is lower than log ft>8.5 expected for 1u β transitions.

<sup>†</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

$^{146}\text{Gd}$   $\varepsilon$  decay **1981Ka07,1978Ma47** (continued) $\gamma(^{146}\text{Eu})$ I $\gamma$  normalization: assuming  $\Sigma(I(\gamma+\text{ce})$  to g.s.)=100.

$E_\gamma$ †	I $\gamma$ †&	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. ‡	$\delta$ @	$a^\#$	Comments
76.54 1	0.05 2	498.16	(2 <sup>-</sup> )	421.62	(3 <sup>-</sup> )	[M1,E2]		5.3 13	$\alpha$ (K)=2.8 6; $\alpha$ (L)=1.9 15; $\alpha$ (M)=0.4 4 $\alpha$ (N)=0.10 8; $\alpha$ (O)=0.014 10; $\alpha$ (P)=0.00027 11
114.71 2	94.5 10	114.712	3 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	4 <sup>-</sup>	M1+(E2)	<0.04	1.247	$\alpha$ (K)=1.055 15; $\alpha$ (L)=0.1510 22; $\alpha$ (M)=0.0326 5 $\alpha$ (N)=0.00747 11; $\alpha$ (O)=0.001184 17; $\alpha$ (P)=0.0001167 17 $\alpha$ (exp): ce(K)=204 12 (1978Ma47); ce(K)=102 5, ce(L1)=13, ce(L2)=0.95 15, ce(L3)=0.26 8 (1973Ga26); K:L1:L2:L3:M1:M2:M3 <sup>+</sup> :N:O+=100.0 35:13.6 5:1.00 15:<0.3:2.9 4:0.32 16:<0.2:0.80 11:0.18 8 (1970An18). $\alpha$ : KC:L1C:L2C:L3C:M1C:M2C:M3C+: NC:(OC+PC)=100.0 14:13.05 18:1.025 16:0.1918 60:2.805 40:0.2474 40:0.0472 40:0.707 12:0.1233 17 from exp. subshell ratios. $\delta$ : from 1973Ga26. $\delta$ <0.01 (1963Bo44). $\delta$ =0.000 8 from exp. subshell ratios.
115.51 2	94.5 10	230.23	2 <sup>-</sup>	114.712	3 <sup>-</sup>	M1+(E2)	<0.022	1.223	$\alpha$ (K)=1.034 15; $\alpha$ (L)=0.1478 21; $\alpha$ (M)=0.0319 5 $\alpha$ (N)=0.00731 11; $\alpha$ (O)=0.001160 17; $\alpha$ (P)=0.0001144 16 $\alpha$ (exp): ce(K)=208 12 (1978Ma47); ce(K)=104 4, ce(L1)=13.8 4, ce(L2)=1.1 1, ce(L3)=0.18 2 (1973Ga26); K:L1:L2:L3:M1:M2:M3 <sup>+</sup> :N:O+P=102.2 35:13.6 4:1.02 15:0.10 6:3.0 4:0.27 14:<0.1:0.77 9:0.16 8 (1970An18). $\alpha$ : $\alpha$ (K): $\alpha$ (L1): $\alpha$ (L2): $\alpha$ (L3): $\alpha$ (M1): $\alpha$ (M2): $\alpha$ (M3 <sup>+</sup> ): $\alpha$ (N):( $\alpha$ (O)+ $\alpha$ (P))= 100.0 14:13.03 18: 1.071 22:0.204 17:2.794 40:0.2406 50:0.0501 40:0.708 10:0.1233 17 from exp. subshell ratios. $\delta$ : from 1973Ga26. $\delta$ <0.01 (1963Bo44). $\delta$ =0.0000 25 from exp. subshell ratios.
154.57 2	100 1	384.80	1 <sup>-</sup>	230.23	2 <sup>-</sup>	M1(+E2)	<0.071	0.537	$\alpha$ (K)=0.455 7; $\alpha$ (L)=0.0649 10; $\alpha$ (M)=0.01402 21 $\alpha$ (N)=0.00321 5; $\alpha$ (O)=0.000509 8; $\alpha$ (P)=5.02×10 <sup>-5</sup> 7 I $\gamma$ : $\Delta I\gamma$ is not specified by the authors of 1981Ka07, the evaluators assumed $\Delta I\gamma$ =1 by analogy with the data for other transitions. $\alpha$ (exp): ce(K)=100 (1978Ma47); ce(K)=50 3, ce(L1)=6.5 5, ce(L2)=0.50 7, ce(L3)=0.085 20 (1973Ga26); K:L1:L2:L3:M1:M2:M3 <sup>+</sup> :N:O+P=50.7 30:6.78 20:0.54 9:<0.1:1.44 15:0.15 8:<0.1:0.42 8:<0.1 (1970An18). $\alpha$ : $\alpha$ (K): $\alpha$ (L1): $\alpha$ (L2): $\alpha$ (L3): $\alpha$ (M1):

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{146}\text{Gd}$   $\varepsilon$  decay [1981Ka07](#),[1978Ma47](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{146}\text{Eu})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$ †	$I_\gamma$ †&	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. ‡	$\alpha$ #	Comments
								<i>14</i> :13.02 <i>18</i> :1.04 <i>30</i> :0.206 <i>30</i> :2.789 <i>40</i> :0.2444 <i>80</i> :0.0507 <i>70</i> :0.708 <i>10</i> :0.1230 <i>17</i> from exp. subshell ratios.
								$\delta$ : from <a href="#">1973Ga26</a> . $-0.041 < \delta < -0.018$ ( <a href="#">1963Bo44</a> ). $\delta=0.08$ <i>15</i> from exp. subshell ratios.
230.51 <i>20</i>	0.19 <i>10</i>	230.23	$2^-$	0.0	$4^-$	[E2]	0.1347	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0989$ <i>14</i> ; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0278$ <i>4</i> ; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00632$ <i>10</i> $\alpha(\text{N})=0.001417$ <i>21</i> ; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000204$ <i>3</i> ; $\alpha(\text{P})=8.73 \times 10^{-6}$ <i>13</i> $E_\gamma$ : from <a href="#">1976Se02</a> ; not observed by <a href="#">1981Ka07</a> . $E_\gamma=230.2$ <i>5</i> , $I_\gamma \approx 0.02$ ( <a href="#">1978Ma47</a> ). $I_\gamma$ : from <a href="#">1976Se02</a> , normalized to <a href="#">1981Ka07</a> by the evaluators.
267.8 <i>2</i>	0.08 <i>4</i>	498.16	$(2^-)$	230.23	$2^-$	(M1,E2)	0.101 <i>18</i>	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.020$ <i>8</i> ( <a href="#">1978Ma47</a> ); $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.11$ <i>6</i> $\alpha(\text{K})=0.082$ <i>19</i> ; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0149$ <i>8</i> ; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00330$ <i>25</i> $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00075$ <i>5</i> ; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000114$ <i>3</i> ; $\alpha(\text{P})=8.E-6$ <i>3</i>
<sup>x</sup> 270.1	<0.01							$E_\gamma$ : not observed by <a href="#">1981Ka07</a> . $E_\gamma=269.28$ <i>4</i> , $I_\gamma=0.15$ <i>6</i> ( <a href="#">1978Ma47</a> ).
383.5 <i>1</i>	0.10 <i>4</i>	498.16	$(2^-)$	114.712	$3^-$			
421.6 <i>1</i>	0.174 <i>20</i>	421.62	$(3^-)$	0.0	$4^-$	M1	0.0361	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.016$ <i>4</i> ; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.032$ <i>6</i> ( <a href="#">1978Ma47</a> ) $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0307$ <i>5</i> ; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00425$ <i>6</i> ; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000914$ <i>13</i> $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000209$ <i>3</i> ; $\alpha(\text{O})=3.33 \times 10^{-5}$ <i>5</i> ; $\alpha(\text{P})=3.34 \times 10^{-6}$ <i>5</i>
576.0 <i>2</i>	0.14 <i>2</i>	690.71	$(2^-)$	114.712	$3^-$	M1	0.01634	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0038$ <i>4</i> ; $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.013$ <i>4</i> ( <a href="#">1978Ma47</a> ) $\alpha(\text{K})=0.01392$ <i>20</i> ; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00190$ <i>3</i> ; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000410$ <i>6</i> $\alpha(\text{N})=9.38 \times 10^{-5}$ <i>14</i> ; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.493 \times 10^{-5}$ <i>21</i> ; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.507 \times 10^{-6}$ <i>22</i>
<sup>x</sup> 742	<0.02							$E_\gamma$ : not observed by <a href="#">1981Ka07</a> .

† From [1981Ka07](#), except as noted.‡ from  $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$  and subshell ratios;  $\alpha(\text{K})(154.6\gamma)$  of M1 mult. normalized to 0.455 ([2008Ki07](#)).# [Additional information 2](#).@ If No value given it was assumed  $\delta=1.00$  for E2/M1.

&amp; For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.469 7.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{146}\text{Gd}$   $\epsilon$  decay 1981Ka07,1978Ma47

Decay Scheme

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$

Intensities:  $I_\gamma$  per 100 parent decays

