

$^{147}\text{Sm}(\text{p},2\text{n}\gamma)$ **1980Er04**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Yu. Khazov, A. Rodionov and G. Shulyak		NDS 136, 163 (2016)	14-Jul-2016

1980Er04: $^{147}\text{Sm}(\text{p},2\text{n}\gamma)$, E=19-22 MeV; measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, E(ce), Ice, $T_{1/2}$ of isomer. ^{146}Eu ; deduced levels, J^π , γ mult., configurations. Model calculations.

1980IsZZ: $^{147}\text{Sm}(\text{p},2\text{n}\gamma)$, E not given; measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ coin. ^{146}Eu ; deduced levels, J^π .

1962Re04: $^{147}\text{Sm}(\text{p},2\text{n}\gamma)$, E=20.8 MeV; measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma(t)$, $T_{1/2}$. ^{146}Eu ; deduced isomer.

The level scheme is from [1980Er04](#) and [1980IsZZ](#). γ lines of 274.9 and 358.2 are doublets; the energy difference between doublet members is <0.2 keV ([1980Er04](#)).

 ^{146}Eu Levels

E(level) ^{@&}	J^π ^a	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0 [†]	4 ⁻		
14.5 [†] 3	5 ⁻		
114.73 25	3 ⁻		
230.2 4	2 ⁻		
289.4 [†] 3	6 ⁻		
316.6 [‡] 3	5 ⁻		
331.07 25			
372.7 [‡] 3	6 ⁻		
384.7 5	1 ⁻		
497.8 5			
647.6 [‡] 4	7 ⁻		
666.4 [#] 4	9 ⁺	239 μs 9	$T_{1/2}$: from $\gamma(t)$; average of 240 μs 10 (1962Re04) and 235 μs 25 (1980Er04).
752.8 5			
805.9 5			
839.5 5			
914.3 5			

[†] Possible configuration= $\pi d_{5/2}^{-1} \times \nu f_{7/2}$ ([1980Er04](#)).

[‡] Possible configuration= $\pi g_{7/2}^{-1} \times \nu f_{7/2}$ ([1980Er04](#)).

[#] Possible configuration= $\pi h_{11/2} \times \nu f_{7/2}$ ([1980Er04](#)).

@ If $\Delta E\gamma$ not given, ± 0.30 keV assumed for least-squares fitting.

& From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$.

^a From ‘Adopted Levels’.

 $\gamma(^{146}\text{Eu})$

$E\gamma$ [†]	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	α [@]	Comments
14.5	14.5	5 ⁻	0.0	4 ⁻	M1	83.9	$\alpha(L)=65.8$ 10; $\alpha(M)=14.26$ 20 $\alpha(N)=3.26$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.515$ 8; $\alpha(P)=0.0504$ 7
(18.8)	666.4	9 ⁺	647.6 7 ⁻	[M2]	7.27×10 ³		E_γ : γ ray was observed in $^{142}\text{Nd}(^7\text{Li},3\text{n}\gamma)$ reaction (1980IsZZ). $\alpha(L)=5.55\times 10^3$ 8; $\alpha(M)=1359$ 19 $\alpha(N)=313$ 5; $\alpha(O)=46.8$ 7; $\alpha(P)=3.37$ 5
56.0	372.7	6 ⁻	316.6 5 ⁻				
83.3	372.7	6 ⁻	289.4 6 ⁻				
86.9	839.5		752.8				
114.7	114.73	3 ⁻	0.0 4 ⁻	M1+E2	1.36 12	$\alpha(K)=0.93$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.34$ 19; $\alpha(M)=0.08$ 5	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{147}\text{Sm}(\text{p},2\text{n}\gamma)$ **1980Er04 (continued)** $\gamma(^{146}\text{Eu})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	α^{\circledast}	Comments
115.5	230.2	2 ⁻	114.73	3 ⁻	M1+E2	1.33 11	$\alpha(N)=0.017$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.0024$ 13; $\alpha(P)=9.\text{E}-5$ 3 Mult.: from ^{146}Gd ε decay.
154.5	384.7	1 ⁻	230.2	2 ⁻			$\alpha(K)=0.91$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.33$ 18; $\alpha(M)=0.07$ 5
216.3	331.07		114.73	3 ⁻			$\alpha(N)=0.017$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.0024$ 13; $\alpha(P)=9.\text{E}-5$ 3
267.6	497.8		230.2	2 ⁻			Mult.: from ^{146}Gd ε decay.
274.9 [‡]	289.4	6 ⁻	14.5	5 ⁻	M1	0.1110	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.098$ 4; K/L=6.8 6 $\alpha(K)=0.0942$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.01321$ 19; $\alpha(M)=0.00285$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.000653$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.0001037$ 15; $\alpha(P)=1.033\times10^{-5}$ 15 α : for the doublet: the second transition from 647.6 keV level, its total intensity is approximately three times less according to fig. 2 of 1980Er04 .
274.9 [‡]	647.6	7 ⁻	372.7	6 ⁻	M1	0.1110	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.098$ 4; K/L=6.8 6 $\alpha(K)=0.0942$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.01321$ 19; $\alpha(M)=0.00285$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.000653$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.0001037$ 15; $\alpha(P)=1.033\times10^{-5}$ 15 α : see comment for 289.4 keV level.
293.7	666.4	9 ⁺	372.7	6 ⁻	E3	0.254	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.13$ 2; K/L=1.8 4 $\alpha(K)=0.1502$ 21; $\alpha(L)=0.0798$ 12; $\alpha(M)=0.0188$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.00420$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.000590$ 9; $\alpha(P)=1.482\times10^{-5}$ 21
316.6	316.6	5 ⁻	0.0	4 ⁻			$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.048$ 2; K/L=7.2 10
331.1	331.07		0.0	4 ⁻			$\alpha(K)=0.0468$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.00651$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.001403$ 20
358.2	372.7	6 ⁻	14.5	5 ⁻	M1	0.0551	$\alpha(N)=0.000321$ 5; $\alpha(O)=5.11\times10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(P)=5.11\times10^{-6}$ 8 E_γ : doublet, the second transition from 647.6 keV level, energy difference between members is <0.2 keV (1980Er04). α : for the doublet.
358.2	647.6	7 ⁻	289.4	6 ⁻	M1	0.0551	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.048$ 2; K/L=7.2 10 $\alpha(K)=0.0468$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.00651$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.001403$ 20 $\alpha(N)=0.000321$ 5; $\alpha(O)=5.11\times10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(P)=5.11\times10^{-6}$ 8 E_γ,α : doublet, see comment for 372.7 keV level.
368.1	752.8		384.7	1 ⁻			$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0668$; K/L=2.55 20
377.0	666.4	9 ⁺	289.4	6 ⁻	E3	0.0994	$\alpha(K)=0.0668$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.0252$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00584$ 9 $\alpha(N)=0.001312$ 19; $\alpha(O)=0.000188$ 3; $\alpha(P)=6.89\times10^{-6}$ 10
421.2	805.9		384.7	1 ⁻			
522.6	752.8		230.2	2 ⁻			
575.7	805.9		230.2	2 ⁻			
609.2	839.5		230.2	2 ⁻			
624.9	914.3		289.4	6 ⁻			

[†] From [1980Er04](#) and [1980IsZZ](#). $\Delta E\gamma=0.3$ keV was assumed by evaluators for all γ 's.

[‡] Doublet spacing was determined to be 0.121 keV 7 with bent crystal spectrometer ([1986Bo23](#)).

[#] from $\alpha(\text{exp})$ ([1980Er04](#)); were normalized to $\alpha(K)(377\gamma, \text{E3})=0.0668$ (with BrIcc calculated).

[∘] Additional information 1.

$^{147}\text{Sm}(\text{p},2\text{n}\gamma)$ 1980Er04

Legend

---> γ Decay (Uncertain)

Level Scheme

