

$^{145}\text{Dy } \varepsilon \text{ decay (14.1 s)}$     1982Al07,1982No08

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	E. Browne, J. K. Tuli		NDS 110, 507 (2009)	1-Oct-2008

Parent:  $^{145}\text{Dy}$ : E=118.2 2;  $J^\pi=(11/2^-)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=14.1$  s 7;  $Q(\varepsilon)=7.59\times 10^3$  7; % $\varepsilon$ +% $\beta^+$  decay=100.0

Measured:  $\gamma$ , X ray (1982Al07),  $\gamma$  (1982No08).

Decay scheme was proposed by 1993Pe07 on the assumption of similarity with decay schemes of other N=79 nuclei with  $J^\pi=11/2^-$ :  $^{139}\text{Nd}$ ,  $^{141}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{143}\text{Gd}$ . 1993To04 observed 38 transitions in  $^{145}\text{Dy}$  decay, of which only 108.1 $\gamma$  decayed with pure 6 s 2 half-life and most of the rest.

decayed wholly or partially with 14 s half-life. 1993To04 stated that their intensities agree with the values given here.

 $^{145}\text{Tb}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$ <sup>†</sup>	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
(0+x)	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
0+y	(11/2 <sup>-</sup> )	30.9 s 6	% $\varepsilon$ +% $\beta^+$ =100
578.2+y?	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> )		$T_{1/2}$ : From Adopted Levels, Gammas.
639.6+y?	(13/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
804.3+y?	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> ,11/2 <sup>-</sup> )		

<sup>†</sup> From similarity with decay schemes of other  $J^\pi=11/2^-$  N=79 nuclei:  $^{139}\text{Nd}$ ,  $^{141}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{143}\text{Gd}$ .

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	I $\beta^+$ <sup>†‡</sup>	I $\varepsilon$ <sup>†</sup>	Log ft	I( $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ ) <sup>†</sup>	Comments
( $3\times 10^3$ <sup>‡#</sup> 4)	804.3+y?	9 4	1.2 5	5.7 2	10 4	av $E\beta=2572$ 96; $\varepsilon K=0.100$ 10; $\varepsilon L=0.0146$ 15; $\varepsilon M+=0.0043$ 5
( $4\times 10^3$ <sup>‡#</sup> 4)	639.6+y?	11 4	1.3 5	5.7 2	12 4	av $E\beta=2650$ 96; $\varepsilon K=0.092$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.0136$ 13; $\varepsilon M+=0.0040$ 4
( $4\times 10^3$ <sup>‡#</sup> 4)	578.2+y?	12 4	1.4 5	5.6 2	13 4	av $E\beta=2680$ 96; $\varepsilon K=0.090$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.0132$ 13; $\varepsilon M+=0.0038$ 4
( $4\times 10^3$ <sup>#</sup> 4)	0+y	59 7	5.4 8	5.1 1	64 7	av $E\beta=2956$ 96; $\varepsilon K=0.070$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.0103$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=0.0030$ 3

<sup>†</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>‡</sup> Existence of this branch is questionable.

<sup>#</sup> Estimated for a range of levels.

 $\gamma(^{145}\text{Tb})$ 

I $\gamma$  normalization: I(639.6 $\gamma$ )=12% 4 (1982No08).

E $\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	I $\gamma$ <sup>†‡</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E <sub>f</sub>	J $^\pi_f$
<sup>x</sup> 39.7					
578.2 <sup>#</sup>	100	578.2+y?	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0+y (11/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
639.6 <sup>#</sup>	93	639.6+y?	(13/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0+y (11/2 <sup>-</sup> )	
804.3 <sup>#</sup>	77	804.3+y?	(9/2 <sup>-</sup> ,11/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0+y (11/2 <sup>-</sup> )	

<sup>†</sup> From 1982Al07.

<sup>‡</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.13 5.

<sup>#</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{145}\text{Dy}$   $\epsilon$  decay (14.1 s) 1982Al07,1982No08