

$^{144}\text{Ho IT decay (506 ns)}$ 2006Ta08

Type	Author	Citation	History Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	ENSDF	21-Feb-2008

Parent: ^{144}Ho : E=265.3 6; $J^\pi=(8^+)$; $T_{1/2}=506$ ns 20; %IT decay=100.0

2006Ta08 (also 2005Ta31): ^{144}Ho isotope formed by $^{92}\text{Mo}(^{54}\text{Fe},\text{np})$ reaction at 225 MeV. The recoil products were separated in mass/charge ratio by recoil-mass separator (RMS). Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$, conversion electrons using two segmented Ge Clover detectors for γ rays and Si(Li) conversion electron spectrometer (BESCA) at Oak Ridge HRIBF.

No evidence has been found for a low-lying 1^+ state as in other nuclides in this mass region.

 $^{144}\text{Ho Levels}$

E(level)	J^π [†]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0	(5 ⁻)		Configuration=[$\pi h_{11/2} \otimes \nu s_{1/2}$]+[$\pi h_{11/2} \otimes \nu d_{3/2}$].
59.9 9	(6 ⁻)		
208.9 3	(7 ⁻)		
265.3 4	(8 ⁺)	506 ns 20	Configuration= $\pi h_{11/2} \otimes \nu h_{11/2}$. $T_{1/2}$: from $\gamma(t)$: weighted average of 564 ns 60 (2006Ta08) and 500 ns 20 (2001Sc09). Other: 455 ns 77 (2005Ta31).

[†] From 'Adopted Levels'.

 $\gamma(^{144}\text{Ho})$

$I\gamma$ normalization, $I(\gamma+ce)$ normalization: deduced by the evaluator from $\Sigma(I(\gamma+ce))$ of γ 's from 209 level)=100.
Theoretical conversion coefficients are from BrIcc code.

E_γ	I_γ [†]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α [‡]	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ [†]	Comments
^x 40.2 3	91 17								
56.4 3	100 17	265.3	(8 ⁺)	208.9	(7 ⁻)	E1	1.37	226 21	$\alpha(\text{exp})<1.3$ α for 56.7. This $E\gamma$ is too close to K-shell binding energy, thus it is difficult to obtain a reliable α value.
59.9 9	13 3	59.9	(6 ⁻)	0	(5 ⁻)	M1+E2	17 6	176 76	$\alpha(\text{exp})=13.5$ $\text{ce(K)} / (\gamma+ce) = 0.34.16$; $\text{ce(L)} / (\gamma+ce) = 0.56$; $\text{ce(M)} / (\gamma+ce) = 0.11.9$; $\text{ce(N)} / (\gamma+ce) = 0.028.25$ $\text{ce(N)} / (\gamma+ce) = 0.025.22$; $\text{ce(O)} / (\gamma+ce) = 0.003.3$; $\text{ce(P)} / (\gamma+ce) = 2.1 \times 10^{-5}.15$
148.1 3	99 18	208.9	(7 ⁻)	59.9	(6 ⁻)	M1+E2	0.77 9	176 50	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.69.15$ $\text{ce(K)} / (\gamma+ce) = 0.31.7$; $\text{ce(L)} / (\gamma+ce) = 0.09.4$; $\text{ce(M)} / (\gamma+ce) = 0.022.9$; $\text{ce(N)} / (\gamma+ce) = 0.0057.22$ $\text{ce(N)} / (\gamma+ce) = 0.0050.20$; $\text{ce(O)} / (\gamma+ce) = 0.00065.21$; $\text{ce(P)} / (\gamma+ce) = 1.7 \times 10^{-5}.8$
208.9 3	41 11	208.9	(7 ⁻)	0	(5 ⁻)	E2	0.211	50 13	$\text{ce(K)} / (\gamma+ce) = 0.1166.16$; $\text{ce(L)} / (\gamma+ce) = 0.0445.7$; $\text{ce(M)} / (\gamma+ce) = 0.01050.16$; $\text{ce(N)} / (\gamma+ce) = 0.00269.5$ $\text{ce(N)} / (\gamma+ce) = 0.00238.4$; $\text{ce(O)} / (\gamma+ce) = 0.000299.5$; $\text{ce(P)} / (\gamma+ce) = 5.58 \times 10^{-6}.9$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{144}Ho IT decay (506 ns) 2006Ta08 (continued) $\gamma(^{144}\text{Ho})$ (continued)[†] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.44 10.[‡] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.^x γ ray not placed in level scheme. ^{144}Ho IT decay (506 ns) 2006Ta08

Decay Scheme

Legend

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays
 $\%IT=100.0$

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$

