

$^{142}\text{Tb}$   $\varepsilon$  decay    1991Fi03

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	T. D. Johnson, D. Symochko(a), M. Fadil(b), and J. K. Tuli		NDS 112, 1949 (2011)	1-Jun-2010

Parent:  $^{142}\text{Tb}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=1^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=597$  ms *I7*;  $Q(\varepsilon)=10400$  70; % $\varepsilon$ +% $\beta^+$  decay=100.0

$^{142}\text{Tb-Q}(\varepsilon)$ : 10.4 MeV *7* (1991Fi03).

1991Fi03: measured  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $X\gamma$ ,  $T_{1/2}$ . Measured delayed proton emission probability= $2.2 \times 10^{-5}$  *11*.

1988GiZV: same authors as 1991Fi03.

Delayed proton emission probability  $\approx 3 \times 10^{-7}\%$ ;  $E(p)=2.5\text{-}5.2$  MeV,  $E(p)(av)=3.9$  MeV (1988NiZX).

All data are from 1991Fi03, unless stated otherwise.

 $^{142}\text{Gd}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$	Comments
0.0	$0^+$	
515.35 8	$2^+$	
980.12 8	$1^+, 2^+$	$J^\pi$ : authors suggest $(2^+)$ .
1209.05 13	$4^+$	No transitions feeding the level were observed.
1368.69 10	$(0^+)$	
1445.15 12		
1914.65 11		
2102.8 3		
2279.59 16		
2314.4 10		
2343.88 15	$(7^-)$	$J^\pi$ from Adopted Levels.

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ . Assumed uncertainty As 1 keV where not known.

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+ \frac{\ddagger}{\ddagger}$	$I\varepsilon \frac{\ddagger\ddagger}{\ddagger\ddagger}$	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+) \frac{\ddagger}{\ddagger}$	Comments
$(8.06 \times 10^3$ 7)	2343.88	1.31	0.0834	5.6	1.39	av $E\beta=3264$ 34; $\varepsilon K=0.0505$ 14; $\varepsilon L=0.00735$ 21; $\varepsilon M+=0.00212$ 6
$(8.09 \times 10^3$ 7)	2314.4	0.47	0.030	6.1	0.50	av $E\beta=3278$ 34; $\varepsilon K=0.0500$ 14; $\varepsilon L=0.00727$ 20; $\varepsilon M+=0.00210$ 6
$(8.12 \times 10^3$ 7)	2279.59	0.58	0.036	6.0	0.62	av $E\beta=3295$ 34; $\varepsilon K=0.0493$ 14; $\varepsilon L=0.00718$ 20; $\varepsilon M+=0.00207$ 6
$(8.30 \times 10^3$ 7)	2102.8	0.33	0.019	6.3	0.35	av $E\beta=3380$ 34; $\varepsilon K=0.0461$ 13; $\varepsilon L=0.00671$ 18; $\varepsilon M+=0.00194$ 6
$(8.49 \times 10^3$ 7)	1914.65	2.81	0.151	5.4	2.96	av $E\beta=3471$ 34; $\varepsilon K=0.0430$ 12; $\varepsilon L=0.00626$ 17; $\varepsilon M+=0.00181$ 5
$(8.95 \times 10^3$ 7)	1445.15	<0.5	<0.02	>6.3	<0.5	av $E\beta=3697$ 34; $\varepsilon K=0.0364$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.00530$ 13; $\varepsilon M+=0.00153$ 4
$(9.03 \times 10^3$ 7)	1368.69	2.53	0.111	5.6	2.64	av $E\beta=3734$ 34; $\varepsilon K=0.0355$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.00516$ 13; $\varepsilon M+=0.00149$ 4
$(9.42 \times 10^3$ 7)	980.12	1.8	0.070	5.8	1.9	av $E\beta=3923$ 34; $\varepsilon K=0.0311$ 8; $\varepsilon L=0.00452$ 11; $\varepsilon M+=0.00131$ 3
$(9.88 \times 10^3$ 7)	515.35	14.4	0.474	5.0	14.9	av $E\beta=4148$ 34; $\varepsilon K=0.0268$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.00389$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=0.00112$ 3
$(1.040 \times 10^4$ 7)	0.0	71.5	2.00	4.5	73.5	av $E\beta=4399$ 35; $\varepsilon K=0.0229$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.00333$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.000960$ 21

<sup>†</sup>  $\varepsilon/\beta^+=0.032$  4/0.968 4.

<sup>‡</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

**$^{142}\text{Tb } \varepsilon \text{ decay }$  1991Fi03 (continued)** **$\gamma(^{142}\text{Gd})$** I $\gamma$  normalization: I(515.4 $\gamma$ )=24.9% 17.

E $\gamma$	I $\gamma$ #	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E <sub>f</sub>	J $^\pi_f$	Mult.	$\alpha^\dagger$	Comments
388.8 <i>I</i>	0.90 9	1368.69	(0 <sup>+</sup> )	980.12	1 <sup>+,2+</sup>			
465.0 @ <i>I</i>	11 @ 2	980.12	1 <sup>+,2+</sup>	515.35	2 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]	0.01693	$\alpha(K)=0.01368$ 20; $\alpha(L)=0.00254$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.000564$ 8; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001482$ 21; $\alpha(N)=0.0001284$ 18; $\alpha(O)=1.89\times10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(P)=9.11\times10^{-7}$ 13
465.0 @ <i>I</i>	2 @ <i>I</i>	1445.15		980.12	1 <sup>+,2+</sup>			
515.3 <i>I</i>	100 7	515.35	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.01289	$\alpha(K)=0.01051$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.00186$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.000412$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001086$ 16; $\alpha(N)=9.39\times10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(O)=1.392\times10^{-5}$ 20; $\alpha(P)=7.07\times10^{-7}$ 10 I $\gamma$ : I $\gamma$ =24.9% 17 ( <a href="#">1988GiZV</a> ).
693.7 <i>I</i>	4.0 5	1209.05	4 <sup>+</sup>	515.35	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.00618 9	$\alpha=0.00618$ 9; $\alpha(K)=0.00514$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.000815$ 12; $\alpha(M)=0.000179$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=4.74\times10^{-5}$ 7 $\alpha(N)=4.09\times10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(O)=6.17\times10^{-6}$ 9; $\alpha(P)=3.52\times10^{-7}$ 5
853.1 <i>I</i>	9.7 8	1368.69	(0 <sup>+</sup> )	515.35	2 <sup>+</sup>			
898.4 <i>3</i>	1.1 3	2343.88	(7 <sup>-</sup> )	1445.15				
934 & <i>I</i>		1914.65		980.12	1 <sup>+,2+</sup>			Proposed as tentative in <a href="#">1991Fi03</a> .
980.1 <i>I</i>	1.8 4	980.12	1 <sup>+,2+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]	0.00287 4	$\alpha=0.00287$ 4; $\alpha(K)=0.00242$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.000352$ 5; $\alpha(M)=7.65\times10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(N+..)=2.04\times10^{-5}$ 3 $\alpha(N)=1.755\times10^{-5}$ 25; $\alpha(O)=2.69\times10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.677\times10^{-7}$ 24
1299.6 & <i>2</i>	1.6 2	2279.59		980.12	1 <sup>+,2+</sup>			Placed only by energy sums and differences ( <a href="#">1991Fi03</a> ).
1364.1 <i>4</i>	0.8 2	2343.88	(7 <sup>-</sup> )	980.12	1 <sup>+,2+</sup>			
1399.2 <i>1</i>	9.6 8	1914.65		515.35	2 <sup>+</sup>			
1587.4 <i>3</i>	1.4 4	2102.8		515.35	2 <sup>+</sup>			
1764.1 & <i>2</i>	0.9 2	2279.59		515.35	2 <sup>+</sup>			Placed only by energy sums and differences ( <a href="#">1991Fi03</a> ).
1799 <sup>‡</sup> <i>I</i>	≈2	2314.4		515.35	2 <sup>+</sup>			
1828.7 <i>2</i>	2.7 3	2343.88	(7 <sup>-</sup> )	515.35	2 <sup>+</sup>			
1915.0 <i>2</i>	2.3 3	1914.65		0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>			
2343.6 <i>3</i>	1.0 2	2343.88	(7 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>			

<sup>†</sup> Additional information 1.<sup>‡</sup> Observed only in coincidence.

# For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.249 17.

@ Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

&amp; Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{142}\text{Tb } \varepsilon$  decay    1991Fi03

## Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- - - - -  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

## Decay Scheme

Intensities:  $I_{\gamma}$  per 100 parent decays

@ Multiply placed: intensity suitably divided

