

**Coulomb excitation 1980An22**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 187,1 (2023)	12-Oct-2022

p: E=4.0-5.5 MeV (1980An22).

<sup>14</sup>N: E=52 MeV (1963A130,1965A121).

<sup>20</sup>Ne: E=28 MeV (1961An09).

Measured:  $\gamma$  (1980An22,1965A121,1963A130,1962Ri09,1961An09),  $\gamma(\theta)$  (1980An22).

Data from 1980An22 contradict data from other sources. In (p,2n $\gamma$ )  $\gamma$  from 1580 level to 7/2<sup>+</sup> 145 level is stronger than  $\gamma$  to 5/2<sup>+</sup> (g.s.) and is E1. In 1980An22 this  $\gamma$  was not observed at all, while  $\gamma$  to 5/2<sup>+</sup>(g.s.) is M1+E2 and not expected to be E1.

1980An22 assign  $J^\pi=1/2^+$  to the level 1785 and E2 to 1785 $\gamma$  and at the same time observe strong 1639 $\gamma$  from this level to 7/2<sup>+</sup> 145 level. 1980An22 report M1,E2 1493 $\gamma$  from 1493 level not observed by others. 1980An22 assign M1+E2 to 1348 $\gamma$  and suggest that it depopulates 1348 level, whereas, in (p,2n $\gamma$ ) 1348 $\gamma$  is  $\Delta J=2$ , E2 from 1494 level.

<sup>141</sup>Pr Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi^\dagger$	Comments
0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
145.5	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	B(E2)=0.0025 4 (1980An22). Others: 0.0036 7 (1965A121,1963A130,1961An09), $\leq 0.003$ (1962Ri09).
1126.9	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	B(E2)=0.0126 13 (1980An22). Other: 0.054 11 (1965A121).
1292.6	(5/2) <sup>+</sup>	B(E2)=0.023 2 (1980An22). Other: 0.049 10 (1965A121).
1494	11/2 <sup>+</sup>	1980An22 assign 1493 $\gamma$ to decay from this level.
1580.3	+	B(E2)=0.169 12 (1980An22).
1608.4	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	B(E2)=0.105 110 (1980An22). Other: 0.021 6 (1965A121).
1785	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>	B(E2)=0.140 21 (1980An22). Other: 0.018 8 (1965A121).

<sup>†</sup> From  $\gamma(\theta)$  (1980An22), except where noted otherwise.

<sup>‡</sup> Adopted value.

$\gamma(^{141}\text{Pr})$

$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\delta^\ddagger$	Comments
145.5	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	145.5		0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2		
1126.9	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	981.7	12 1	145.5	7/2 <sup>+</sup>			
		1126.9	88 1	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	+0.47 6	Mult.: $A_2=+0.215$ 13, $\delta$ may also be +4.35 52.
1292.6	(5/2) <sup>+</sup>	1147.3	40 1	145.5	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2		Mult.: $A_2=+0.0150$ 109, $\delta$ may be -5.61 44 or -0.04 3.
		1292.6	60 1	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	-1.23 23	Mult.: $A_2=+0.0844$ 91, $\delta$ may also be -2.80 52.
1494	11/2 <sup>+</sup>	1348	100	145.5	7/2 <sup>+</sup>			Mult.: $A_2=+0.282$ 39. Coul. ex. data on 1348 $\gamma$ favor 1348 level instead of 1494 level (1980An22).
1580.3	+	1580.3	100	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	-0.030 6	Mult.: $A_2=+0.0469$ 44, $\delta$ may also be -5.27 99.
1608.4	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	1608.4	100	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	+1.17 35	Mult.: $A_2=+0.298$ 45, $\delta$ may also be +1.32 40.
1785	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1639	37 1	145.5	7/2 <sup>+</sup>			
		1785	63 1	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>			Mult.: $A_2=+0.0085$ 101.

<sup>†</sup> % photon branching from parent level.

<sup>‡</sup> From  $\gamma(\theta)$  with E(p)=5.25 MeV (1980An22).

# Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

**Coulomb excitation 1980An22**Level Scheme

Intensities: % photon branching from each level

