

$^{138}\text{Ba}(^7\text{Li},4n\gamma)$  **2015Li21**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 187,1 (2023)	12-Oct-2022

[2015Li21](#) compiled for XUNDL by J. Chen (NSCL, MSU) and edited by B. Singh (McMaster).

[2015Li21](#): E=38 MeV  $^7\text{Li}$  beam produced at HI-13 tandem accelerator at China Institute of Atomic Energy (CIAE) in Beijing. Used 3.1 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>, 99.8%-enriched BaCO<sub>3</sub> target on 1.2 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> carbon backing.  $\gamma$  rays detected with 11 Compton-suppressed HPGe detector array with x rays and low-energy  $\gamma$  rays detected with two planar HPGe detectors. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma\gamma$ (ADO). Deduced levels, J,  $\pi$ , band structures. Comparisons with systematics, shell-model calculations (code OXBASH).

 $^{141}\text{Pr}$  Levels

$^{141}\text{Pt}$  has 59 protons and 82 neutrons which gives 9 valence protons above Z=50 closed shell and no valence neutrons above N=82 closed shell.

Possible configurations ([2015Li21](#)):  $\pi(g_{7/2}d_{5/2})^9$  up to  $23/2^+$  and  $\pi(g_{7/2}d_{5/2})^7h_{11/2}^2$  up to  $45/2^+$  for positive-parity states;  $\pi(g_{7/2}d_{5/2})^8h_{11/2}^1$  for negative-parity states.

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J $^\pi$ #	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>		
145.41 25	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	1.85 ns 3	
1117.4 3	11/2 <sup>-</sup>	4.8 ns <i>I</i>	
1457.5 4	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
1494.2 4	11/2 <sup>+</sup>		
1520.5 6	9/2 <sup>+</sup>		
1767.6 4	13/2 <sup>+</sup>		
1796.7 4	15/2 <sup>+</sup>	1.0 ns <i>I</i>	
1986.1 5	(13/2 <sup>+</sup> )	>0.42 ps	
2069.0 5	17/2 <sup>+</sup>		
2108.3 5	(15/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
2626.5 8	(15/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
2927.3 5	(19/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
2962.8 5	19/2 <sup>+</sup>		
3017.0 5	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
3018.9 6	(21/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.2 ns <i>I</i>	J $^\pi$ : (17/2) <sup>-</sup> in ( $\alpha$ ,2n $\gamma$ ).
3396.8 7	21/2 <sup>-</sup>		
3471.0 6	23/2 <sup>-</sup>		
3526.5 6	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )		J $^\pi$ : (21/2) <sup>-</sup> in ( $\alpha$ ,2n $\gamma$ ).
3585.5 6	23/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.2 ns <i>I</i>	J $^\pi$ : maximum alignment of $\pi(g_{7/2}d_{5/2})^9$ configuration.
4187.9 7	(23/2 <sup>+</sup> )		Possible configuration= $\pi(g_{7/2}d_{5/2})^9 \otimes \nu(f_{7/2}h_{11/2}^{-1})$ .
4296.7 7	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
4370.5 6	27/2 <sup>-</sup>		
4381.7 7	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
4430.3 7	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
4546.9 9	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
4592.0 8	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )		25/2 <sup>-</sup> in Table II of <a href="#">2015Li21</a> is tentative in the level-scheme in Fig. 1.
4740.8 7	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
4826.8 7	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
4906.9 9	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
4988.6 7	(29/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
5040.0 7	(29/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
5103.7 8	(31/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
5142.5 7	(27/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
5747.4 10	(29/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
6239.7 11	(35/2 <sup>-</sup> )		Possible configuration= $\pi(g_{7/2}d_{5/2})^8h_{11/2}^1$ which gives maximum angular momentum of 35/2.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

<sup>138</sup>Ba(<sup>7</sup>Li,4n $\gamma$ )    [2015Li21 \(continued\)](#)[<sup>141</sup>Pr Levels \(continued\)](#)<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $\gamma$ -ray energies.<sup>‡</sup> Adopted values.<sup>#</sup> As given in [2015Li21](#) based on measured  $\gamma\gamma$ (ADO) combined with theoretical calculations and systematics with tentative configurations sustaining parity assignments.

<u><math>\gamma(^{141}\text{Pr})</math></u>								
<u>E<sub>y</sub></u> <sup>†</sup>	<u>I<sub>y</sub></u>	<u>E<sub>i</sub>(level)</u>	<u>J<sub>i</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>f</sub></u>	<u>J<sub>f</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>Mult.</u> <sup>@</sup>	<u><math>\delta</math></u>	<u>Comments</u>
(29.1) <sup>#</sup> 74.2 7	<3	1796.7 3471.0	15/2 <sup>+</sup> 23/2 <sup>-</sup>	1767.6 3396.8	13/2 <sup>+</sup> 21/2 <sup>-</sup>			$J^{\pi}=23/2^+$ for the initial level in Table II of <a href="#">2015Li21</a> seems a misprint. $\alpha(\text{exp})=0.35$ 8 Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{exp})$ (deduced from intensity balance). $\alpha(\text{exp})=2.60$ 32 Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{exp})$ (deduced from intensity balance). ADO=0.38 13. ADO=0.95 38. Mult.: D or Q transition based on ADO adopted as M1+E2 by <a href="#">2015Li21</a> as based on level scheme placement. ADO=0.66 19. ADO=1.02 11. Mult.: Q transition based on ADO contradicts $\Delta J=1$ based on level scheme placement.
89.7 7	<3	3017.0	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2927.3 (19/2 <sup>-</sup> )	E1			
91.6 5	18.4 24	3018.9	(21/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2927.3 (19/2 <sup>-</sup> )	M1+E2	1.7 6		
116.6 <sup>‡</sup> 5	7.9 14	4546.9	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )	4430.3 (25/2 <sup>-</sup> )				
122.2 7 145.4 3	4.4 9 100.0 94	2108.3 145.41	(15/2 <sup>+</sup> ) 7/2 <sup>+</sup>	1986.1 (13/2 <sup>+</sup> ) 0.0 5/2 <sup>+</sup>	D(+Q)			
218.5 7	2.3 5	1986.1	(13/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1767.6 13/2 <sup>+</sup>				
247.8 7	3.8 7	4988.6	(29/2 <sup>-</sup> )	4740.8 (27/2 <sup>-</sup> )				
272.3 3	99 17	2069.0	17/2 <sup>+</sup>	1796.7 15/2 <sup>+</sup>	D+Q			ADO=0.85 3.
273.4 3	25 17	1767.6	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	1494.2 11/2 <sup>+</sup>	D+Q			ADO=0.64 3.
299.2 5	8.6 14	5040.0	(29/2 <sup>-</sup> )	4740.8 (27/2 <sup>-</sup> )	D+Q			ADO=0.74 18.
301.4 7	4.4 57	2069.0	17/2 <sup>+</sup>	1767.6 13/2 <sup>+</sup>				ADO=1.30 10.
302.5 3	27.6 57	1796.7	15/2 <sup>+</sup>	1494.2 11/2 <sup>+</sup>	Q			$J^{\pi}=13/2^-$ for the initial level in Table II of <a href="#">2015Li21</a> seems a misprint; 13/2 <sup>+</sup> in the level scheme (Fig. 1) is in agreement with adopted value (based on different measurements).
310.1 5	7.2 11	1767.6	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	1457.5 (9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	Q			ADO=1.03 11. ADO=1.09 15. Mult.: Q transition compatible with $\Delta J=0$ based on level scheme placement.
311.6 5	8.6 13	2108.3	(15/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1796.7 15/2 <sup>+</sup>	Q			
315.7 5	5.7 9	5142.5	(27/2 <sup>+</sup> )	4826.8 (25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	D+Q			ADO=0.64 12. Mult.: D+Q transition based on ADO adopted as M1+E2 by <a href="#">2015Li21</a> as based on level scheme placement.
340.7 7	3.5 6	2108.3	(15/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1767.6 13/2 <sup>+</sup>				
362.9 7	4.3 6	5103.7	(31/2 <sup>-</sup> )	4740.8 (27/2 <sup>-</sup> )	Q			ADO=1.19 18.
370.3 7	<2	4740.8	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )	4370.5 27/2 <sup>-</sup>				
377.9 <sup>&amp;</sup> 7	<2	3396.8	21/2 <sup>-</sup>	3018.9 (21/2 <sup>-</sup> )				
434.0 5	8.7 11	3396.8	21/2 <sup>-</sup>	2962.8 19/2 <sup>+</sup>	D(+Q)			ADO=0.76 12.
454.0 3	46.5 52	3471.0	23/2 <sup>-</sup>	3017.0 (21/2 <sup>+</sup> )	D			ADO=0.70 3.
465.7 7	<2	1986.1	(13/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1520.5 9/2 <sup>+</sup>				
507.6 5	10.9 27	3526.5	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3018.9 (21/2 <sup>-</sup> )	D(+Q)			ADO=0.76 8.
509.5 5	16.1 27	3526.5	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3017.0 (21/2 <sup>+</sup> )	D+Q			ADO=0.60 5.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^{138}\text{Ba}(^7\text{Li},4n\gamma)$  2015Li21 (continued)** **$\gamma(^{141}\text{Pr})$  (continued)**

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. @	Comments
568.5 5	16.6 18	3585.5	23/2 <sup>+</sup> (29/2 <sup>+</sup> )	3017.0	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )	D(+Q) D+Q	ADO=0.65 7. ADO=0.30 7.
604.9 7	3.8 5	5747.4		5142.5	(27/2 <sup>+</sup> )		Mult.: D+Q transition based on ADO adopted as M1+E2 by 2015Li21 as based on level scheme placement.
606.9 7	3.6 5	4988.6	(29/2 <sup>-</sup> )	4381.7	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
618.1 7	4.3 6	4988.6	(29/2 <sup>-</sup> )	4370.5	27/2 <sup>-</sup>	D+Q	ADO=0.54 13.
622.7 7	4.9 6	3585.5	23/2 <sup>+</sup>	2962.8	19/2 <sup>+</sup>	Q	ADO=1.10 25.
650.2 3	95.7 98	1767.6	13/2 <sup>+</sup>	1117.4	11/2 <sup>-</sup>	D(+Q)	ADO=0.69 4.
669.5 5	8.6 10	5040.0	(29/2 <sup>-</sup> )	4370.5	27/2 <sup>-</sup>	D+Q	ADO=0.77 10.
691.9 7	2.6 4	4988.6	(29/2 <sup>-</sup> )	4296.7	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
711.2 7	4.2 5	4296.7	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3585.5	23/2 <sup>+</sup>	D(+Q)	ADO=0.69 10.
733.2 7	4.8 6	5103.7	(31/2 <sup>-</sup> )	4370.5	27/2 <sup>-</sup>	Q	ADO=1.22 25.
772.0 7	2.9 5	5142.5	(27/2 <sup>+</sup> )	4370.5	27/2 <sup>-</sup>		
796.2 7	2.5 5	4381.7	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3585.5	23/2 <sup>+</sup>		
825.7 7	2.1 4	4296.7	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3471.0	23/2 <sup>-</sup>		
844.0 5	5.8 7	4370.5	27/2 <sup>-</sup>	3526.5	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )	Q	ADO=1.07 18.
854.5 5	10.2 12	2962.8	19/2 <sup>+</sup>	2108.3	(15/2 <sup>+</sup> )	Q	ADO=1.06 17.
858.3 3	55.4 61	2927.3	(19/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2069.0	17/2 <sup>+</sup>	D	ADO=0.74 4.
868.7 5	5.2 9	1986.1	(13/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1117.4	11/2 <sup>-</sup>	D(+Q)	ADO=0.71 10.
893.8 5	11.1 13	2962.8	19/2 <sup>+</sup>	2069.0	17/2 <sup>+</sup>	(D+Q)	ADO=0.40 5.
899.5 3	23.9 28	4370.5	27/2 <sup>-</sup>	3471.0	23/2 <sup>-</sup>	Q	ADO=1.15 9.
903.8 5	6.2 8	4430.3	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3526.5	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )	(D+Q)	ADO=0.84 17.
910.7 7	2.1 4	4381.7	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3471.0	23/2 <sup>-</sup>		
948.0 3	75.5 86	3017.0	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2069.0	17/2 <sup>+</sup>	Q	ADO=1.15 6.
959.3 7	3.6 5	4430.3	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3471.0	23/2 <sup>-</sup>	D+Q	ADO=0.65 12.
972.0 3	92.1 11	1117.4	11/2 <sup>-</sup>	145.41	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	(Q)	ADO=1.00 36.
1117.4 5	10.8 15	1117.4	11/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>		Mult.: tentative Q transition; D not excluded. ADO=1.14 10.
							Mult.: Q transition based on ADO contradicts $\Delta J=3$ based on level scheme placement.
1121.0 7	4.2 6	4592.0	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3471.0	23/2 <sup>-</sup>		
1136.0 7	2.5 4	6239.7	(35/2 <sup>-</sup> )	5103.7	(31/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
1166.1 7	4.8 7	2962.8	19/2 <sup>+</sup>	1796.7	15/2 <sup>+</sup>	Q	ADO=1.31 26.
1170.9 5	5.2 9	4187.9	(23/2 <sup>+</sup> )	3017.0	(21/2 <sup>+</sup> )	D+Q	Mult.: Q transition based on ADO adopted as E2 by 2015Li21 as based on level scheme placement. ADO=0.66 12.
1241.3 5	5.3 8	4826.8	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	3585.5	23/2 <sup>+</sup>	D+Q	Mult.: D+Q transition based on ADO adopted as M1+E2 by 2015Li21 as based on level scheme placement. ADO=0.68 10.
1269.8 5	12.0 17	4740.8	(27/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3471.0	23/2 <sup>-</sup>	Q	Mult.: D+Q transition based on ADO adopted as M1+E2 by 2015Li21 as based on level scheme placement. ADO=1.13 14.
1277.8 7	3.7 6	4296.7	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3018.9	(21/2 <sup>-</sup> )	Q	ADO=1.13 24.
1312.1 5	5.9 9	1457.5	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	145.41	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	D(+Q)	ADO=0.69 12.
1348.8 3	55.3 78	1494.2	11/2 <sup>+</sup>	145.41	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	Q	ADO=0.89 7.
1355.8 7	2.7 5	4826.8	(25/2 <sup>+</sup> )	3471.0	23/2 <sup>-</sup>		
1362.8 7	3.9 7	4381.7	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3018.9	(21/2 <sup>-</sup> )	Q	ADO=1.17 21.
1380.4 7	3.8 6	4906.9	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3526.5	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )		ADO=0.99 19.
1457.5 7	<2	1457.5	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>		Mult.: Q transition based on ADO adopted as M1+E2 by 2015Li21 as based on level scheme placement.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^{138}\text{Ba}(^7\text{Li},4n\gamma)$  2015Li21 (continued)** **$\gamma(^{141}\text{Pr})$  (continued)**

$E_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$I_\gamma$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>@</sup>	Comments
1509.1 7	2.4 6	2626.5	(15/2 <sup>-</sup> )	1117.4	11/2 <sup>-</sup>		
1520.6 7	2.2 4	1520.5	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>		
1573.1 7	3.6 7	4592.0	(25/2 <sup>-</sup> )	3018.9	(21/2 <sup>-</sup> )	(Q)	ADO=1.12 32.

<sup>†</sup> Uncertainties of  $\gamma$ -ray energies are  $\Delta E_\gamma = 0.3$  keV for  $I_\gamma \geq 20$ , 0.5 keV for  $5 < I_\gamma \leq 20$ , and 0.7 keV for  $I_\gamma < 5$  (figures established by priv. comm. of xndl compilers with first author of 2015Li21).

<sup>‡</sup> Transition replaced from 3643.5 in  $^{139}\text{La}(\alpha,2n\gamma)$  dataset.

<sup>#</sup> From Fig. 1 but not listed in Table II of 2015Li21. This transition may not have been observed by 2015Li21 but included in the level scheme from literature, with energy deduced from level-energy difference.

<sup>@</sup> Adopted by evaluator based on 2015Li21 measured ADO ratios. ADO ratio is defined as  $I_\gamma(\approx 40^\circ)/I_\gamma(\approx 90^\circ)$  with typical values  $\approx 1$  for stretched quadrupole (or  $\Delta J=0$  dipole) and  $\approx 0.7$  for stretched pure dipole. The quadrupole transitions are likely E2 while it is more difficult to assign the electric or magnetic character for dipole transitions without extra parity-sensitive measurements.

& Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.



