

$^{141}\text{Gd } \varepsilon \text{ decay (14 s)}$     **1989Gi06**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 187,1 (2023)	12-Oct-2022

Parent:  $^{141}\text{Gd}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=1/2^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=14$  s 4;  $Q(\varepsilon)=6701$  23; % $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  decay=100

$^{141}\text{Gd-Q}(\varepsilon)$ : From [2021Wa16](#).

Measured:  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ , ce,  $X\gamma$  ([1989Gi06](#), [1988TuZY](#)),  $\gamma$  ([1986Re11](#), [1988HaZL](#), [1987Pi05](#)), delayed protons ([1986Wi15](#), [1989Gi06](#)).

Delayed proton emission probability=0.03%  $I$  ([1989Gi06](#)),  $E(p)=2200\text{-}4900$  ([1986Wi15](#), [1984Ni03](#)).

Absence of delayed proton- $\gamma$  coin suggests low  $J$  of p-decaying states and, therefore, low  $J$  for the parent  $\varepsilon$  decaying  $^{141}\text{Gd}$  ([1988GiZV](#)).

All authors measured  $\gamma$ -spectra in the mixture of high spin and low spin  $^{141}\text{Gd}$ . In the more complete papers

([1989Gi06](#), [1988TuZY](#)) the reported  $\gamma$  spectra are more or less similar but are assigned to  $\varepsilon$  decaying  $11/2^-$  and  $1/2^+$   $^{141}\text{Gd}$  isomers in very different ways. These authors also reported sharply different values of  $T_{1/2}$  for low spin  $^{141}\text{Gd}$  isomer ( $T_{1/2}=1.3$  s ([1988TuZY](#)) and  $T_{1/2}=14$  s ([1989Gi06](#))). Evaluator adopted  $^{141}\text{Gd } \varepsilon$  decay schemes and all data from [1989Gi06](#).

 $^{141}\text{Eu Levels}$ 

$E(\text{level})^\ddagger$	$J^\pi\ddagger$	$T_{1/2}\ddagger$
0.0	$5/2^+$	40.7 s 7
215.77 8	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	
336.23 8	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	
509.28 12	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	
525.92 11	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	
1408.62 12	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	

$^\ddagger$  From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$  data.

$^\ddagger$  From Adopted Levels.

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

$E(\text{decay})$	$E(\text{level})$	$I\beta^+\ddagger$	$I\varepsilon\ddagger\ddagger$	$\text{Log } ft$	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)\ddagger$	Comments
(5292 23)	1408.62	6.2 10	1.6 3	5.31 15	7.8 13	av $E\beta=1950$ 11; $\varepsilon K=0.1676$ 22; $\varepsilon L=0.0242$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.00698$ 9
(6175 23)	525.92	19 3	2.8 5	5.20 15	22 4	av $E\beta=2367$ 11; $\varepsilon K=0.1060$ 12; $\varepsilon L=0.01524$ 18; $\varepsilon M+=0.00440$ 5
(6192 23)	509.28	5.3 16	0.76 22	5.76 18	6.1 18	av $E\beta=2375$ 11; $\varepsilon K=0.1051$ 12; $\varepsilon L=0.01512$ 18; $\varepsilon M+=0.00437$ 5
(6365 23)	336.23	26 4	3.3 6	5.14 15	29 5	av $E\beta=2457$ 11; $\varepsilon K=0.0967$ 11; $\varepsilon L=0.01390$ 16; $\varepsilon M+=0.00401$ 5
(6485 23)	215.77	32 8	3.9 10	5.09 17	36 9	av $E\beta=2514$ 11; $\varepsilon K=0.0913$ 10; $\varepsilon L=0.01312$ 15; $\varepsilon M+=0.00379$ 5

$^\ddagger$  From [1989Gi06](#), details are not given.

$^\ddagger$  Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{141}\text{Eu})$ 

I $\gamma$  normalization: from  $\Sigma I(\gamma+ce)$  to g.s.=100.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^{141}\text{Gd } \varepsilon$  decay (14 s)    1989Gi06 (continued)** $\gamma(^{141}\text{Eu})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^\#$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>†</sup>	$\alpha^\ddagger$	Comments
						[M1,E2]		
120.6 1	19 2	336.23	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	215.77	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$		1.16 8	%I $\gamma$ =9.3 12 $\alpha(K)=0.81$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.27$ 15; $\alpha(M)=0.063$ 35 $\alpha(N)=0.0140$ 76; $\alpha(O)=0.00199$ 97; $\alpha(P)=7.7\times10^{-5}$ 25
173.1 1	6 2	509.28	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	336.23	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	[M1,E2]	0.371 22	%I $\gamma$ =2.9 10 $\alpha(K)=0.28$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.068$ 21; $\alpha(M)=0.0152$ 51 $\alpha(N)=0.0034$ 11; $\alpha(O)=5.0\times10^{-4}$ 14; $\alpha(P)=2.81\times10^{-5}$ 86
189.7 1	7 2	525.92	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	336.23	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	[M1,E2]	0.281 24	%I $\gamma$ =3.4 10 $\alpha(K)=0.22$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.048$ 12; $\alpha(M)=0.0109$ 30 $\alpha(N)=0.00245$ 65; $\alpha(O)=0.00036$ 8; $\alpha(P)=2.18\times10^{-5}$ 67
215.8 1	111 12	215.77	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	0.0	$5/2^+$	[M1,E2]	0.190 24	%I $\gamma$ =54.4 29 $\alpha(K)=0.15$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.031$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.0069$ 14 $\alpha(N)=0.0016$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.00023$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.52\times10^{-5}$ 48
293.3 2	4 2	509.28	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	215.77	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	[M1,E2]	0.078 16	%I $\gamma$ =2.0 10 $\alpha(K)=0.064$ 16; $\alpha(L)=0.01116$ 18; $\alpha(M)=0.00246$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.000558$ 13; $\alpha(O)=8.52\times10^{-5}$ 23; $\alpha(P)=6.6\times10^{-6}$ 22
336.2 1	35 4	336.23	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	0.0	$5/2^+$	[M1,E2]	0.053 12	%I $\gamma$ =17.2 21 $\alpha(K)=0.044$ 12; $\alpha(L)=0.0073$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00160$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.000364$ 17; $\alpha(O)=5.6\times10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(P)=4.6\times10^{-6}$ 15
509.0 @		509.28	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	0.0	$5/2^+$			
525.9 2	35 6	525.92	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	0.0	$5/2^+$			%I $\gamma$ =17.2 27
1072.6 2	2 1	1408.62	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	336.23	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$			%I $\gamma$ =1.0 5
1192.8 1	14 2	1408.62	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$	215.77	$1/2^+, 3/2^+$			%I $\gamma$ =6.9 11

<sup>†</sup> Adopted values.<sup>‡</sup> Additional information 2.

# For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.49 4.

@ Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{141}\text{Gd}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (14 s) 1989Gi06

## Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- - - - -  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)

## Decay Scheme

Intensities:  $I_{\gamma}$  per 100 parent decays