

**$^{140}\text{Pm}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (9.2 s)    2009Wi18,1975Ke09**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 154, 1 (2018)	20-Nov-2018

Parent:  $^{140}\text{Pm}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=1^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=9.2$  s;  $Q(\varepsilon)=6045$  24;  $\%_\varepsilon+\%\beta^+$  decay=100.0

$^{140}\text{Pm}$ -E, $J^\pi$ , $T_{1/2}$ : From Adopted Levels, Gammas dataset.

$^{140}\text{Pm}$ -Q( $\varepsilon$ ): From 2017Wa10.

Dataset based on unevaluated XUNDL file compiled by B. Singh (McMaster) from 2009Wi18.

2009Wi18: measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ , mixing ratios using eight Compton-suppressed high-purity Ge clover detectors at the Wright Nuclear Structure Laboratory (WNSL) at Yale University.

1975Ke09: measured  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\beta^+$ .

Others:

1968Bl14,1970Ar17,1973HaWA,1973VaYZ,1975Za10:  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ .

1973VaYZ: ce.

1983Al06:  $\beta^+$ .

Level scheme of 1975Ke09 is confirmed and extended by 2009Wi18 which also give more precise  $\gamma$  ray energies and intensities, reason for which all these were adopted from 2009Wi18. The normalization is that of 1975Ke09.

2009Wi18 give combined level scheme from both 9.2 s,  $1^+$  g.s. and 5.95 min,  $8^-$  isomer  $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  decays. The only common decay path of them is the  $773.6\gamma$  that uniquely decays from the first excited state of both levels schemes, the combined relative intensity of which is given as 100 5 by 2009Wi18 (all the other  $\gamma$  and  $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  paths are separate). As the only transition populating the 774 level in the  $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  decay of the isomer is the  $1028.1\gamma$ , its intensity,  $I(1028.1\gamma)=81$ , gives the intensity of the  $773.6\gamma$  in this decay, which leaves 19 parts for the intensity of this transition in the level scheme of the  $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  decay of g.s.

 **$^{140}\text{Nd}$  Levels**

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi\ddagger$	$T_{1/2}\ddagger$	Comments
0.0	$0^+$	3.37 d 2	$\%_\varepsilon=100$ $T_{1/2},\%_\varepsilon$ : from Adopted Levels.
773.48 8	$2^+$	1.40 ps 11	
1412.86 12	$0^{+\#}$		
1489.30 8	$(2)^{+\#}$		
1934.99 13	$3^-$		
2139.68 12	$2^+$	152 fs 62	E(level): possible one-phonon mixed-symmetry state, strongly mixed with fully-symmetric neighboring $2^+$ state (2009Wi18).
2332.12 13	$2^+$		E(level): possible one-phonon mixed-symmetry state, strongly mixed with fully-symmetric neighboring $2^+$ state (2009Wi18).
2358.59 13	$0^{+\#}$		
2466.85 12	$2^+$		
2546.75 10	$0^{+\#}$		
2584.99 13	$0^{+\#}$		
2610.93 10	$(2^+)^{\#}$		
2713.80 13	$2^+$		
2832.80 13	$(2^+)$		
2908.60 13	$0^{+\#}$		
3035.88 18	(1,2)		
3139.90 13	$0^{+\#}$		
3506.71 22	$0^+,1,2$		

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to the  $E\gamma$ 's.

<sup>‡</sup> Adopted values.

# From  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$  (2009Wi18).

**$^{140}\text{Pm}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (9.2 s)    2009Wi18,1975Ke09 (continued)** $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	I $\beta^+$ <sup>†</sup>	I $\varepsilon$ <sup>†</sup>	Log ft	I( $\varepsilon + \beta^+$ ) <sup>†</sup>	Comments
(2538 24)	3506.71	0.0040 7	0.018 3	6.32 8	0.022 4	av $E\beta=684$ 11; $\varepsilon K=0.693$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.0985$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.0282$ 3
(2905 24)	3139.90	0.0067 12	0.015 3	6.51 8	0.022 4	av $E\beta=848$ 11; $\varepsilon K=0.588$ 8; $\varepsilon L=0.0833$ 11; $\varepsilon M+=0.0238$ 3
(3009 24)	3035.88	0.0033 6	0.0064 11	6.92 8	0.0097 16	av $E\beta=895$ 11; $\varepsilon K=0.557$ 8; $\varepsilon L=0.0788$ 11; $\varepsilon M+=0.0225$ 3
(3136 24)	2908.60	0.0010 2	0.0017 2	7.54 7	0.0027 4	av $E\beta=953$ 11; $\varepsilon K=0.519$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.0734$ 11; $\varepsilon M+=0.0210$ 3
(3212 24)	2832.80	0.0099 17	0.014 2	6.63 8	0.024 4	av $E\beta=987$ 11; $\varepsilon K=0.497$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.0703$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.0201$ 3
(3331 24)	2713.80	0.039 7	0.047 8	6.14 8	0.086 15	av $E\beta=1042$ 11; $\varepsilon K=0.464$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.0654$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.0187$ 3
(3434 24)	2610.93	0.056 10	0.060 11	6.06 8	0.116 21	av $E\beta=1089$ 11; $\varepsilon K=0.435$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.0614$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.0175$ 3
(3460 24)	2584.99	0.029 5	0.030 6	6.37 9	0.059 11	av $E\beta=1100$ 11; $\varepsilon K=0.429$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.0604$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=0.0173$ 3
(3498 24)	2546.75	0.20 3	0.20 3	5.56 7	0.40 6	av $E\beta=1118$ 11; $\varepsilon K=0.419$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.0590$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=0.0168$ 3
(3578 24)	2466.85	0.027 8	0.024 7	6.50 13	0.051 15	av $E\beta=1155$ 11; $\varepsilon K=0.398$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.0561$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=0.01602$ 25
(3686 24)	2358.59	0.090 17	0.070 13	6.06 9	0.16 3	av $E\beta=1204$ 11; $\varepsilon K=0.372$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.0524$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=0.01495$ 24
(3713 24)	2332.12	0.085 23	0.065 17	6.10 12	0.15 4	av $E\beta=1216$ 11; $\varepsilon K=0.366$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.0515$ 8; $\varepsilon M+=0.01469$ 23
(3905 24)	2139.68	0.086 15	0.053 9	6.23 8	0.139 24	av $E\beta=1305$ 11; $\varepsilon K=0.323$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0455$ 8; $\varepsilon M+=0.01297$ 21
(4556 24)	1489.30	1.34 17	0.45 6	5.43 6	1.79 23	av $E\beta=1607$ 12; $\varepsilon K=0.213$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0299$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.00854$ 13
(4632 24)	1412.86	0.45 8	0.14 3	5.95 9	0.59 11	av $E\beta=1643$ 12; $\varepsilon K=0.203$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.0285$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.00814$ 13
(5272 24)	773.48	2.3 4	0.46 8	5.55 8	2.8 5	av $E\beta=1943$ 12; $\varepsilon K=0.1385$ 20; $\varepsilon L=0.0194$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.00553$ 8
6045 24	0.0	83.6 6	10.0 2	4.334 14	93.6 6	av $E\beta=2309$ 12; $\varepsilon K=0.0908$ 12; $\varepsilon L=0.01269$ 16; $\varepsilon M+=0.00362$ 5
E(decay): from <a href="#">2017Au03</a> , based on 6080 100 ( <a href="#">1975Ke09</a> ), 6090 40 ( <a href="#">1983Al06</a> ), 6020 30 ( <a href="#">1995Ve08</a> ).						

<sup>†</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>140</sup>Pm  $\varepsilon$  decay (9.2 s) 2009Wi18,1975Ke09 (continued) $\gamma(^{140}\text{Nd})$ I $\gamma$  normalization:  $\Sigma I\gamma(\text{g.s.})=6.4\%$  6;  $I\beta^+/I(773\gamma)=17.8$  16 (1975He09).

E $\gamma$	I $\gamma$ <sup>†</sup> e	E $_i$ (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E $_f$	J $^\pi_f$	Mult. <sup>‡#</sup>	$\delta$ <sup>@d</sup>	$\alpha$ <sup>c</sup>	Comments
<sup>x</sup> 159.8 <sup>a</sup> 3	3.6 10								%I $\gamma$ =1.0 25, using the calculated normalization.
<sup>x</sup> 477.1 <sup>a</sup> 3	9.7 19								%I $\gamma$ =2.6 4, using the calculated normalization.
639.4 1	2.2 3	1412.86	0 <sup>+</sup>	773.48	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2		0.00624	$A_2=+0.33$ 1; $A_4=+1.00$ 1 $\alpha(K)=0.00523$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.000792$ 11; $\alpha(M)=0.0001694$ 24 $\alpha(N)=3.77\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(O)=5.57\times 10^{-6}$ 8; $\alpha(P)=3.12\times 10^{-7}$ 5 %I $\gamma$ =0.59 10, using the calculated normalization. Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=5.4\times 10^{-3}$ 13 (1973VaYZ). $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ for 639-774 cascade (2009Wi18).
716.1 1	3.3 3	1489.30	(2) <sup>+</sup>	773.48	2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	-1.22 14	0.00586 19	$A_2=+0.388$ 2; $A_4=+0.225$ 3 $\alpha(K)=0.00498$ 17; $\alpha(L)=0.000693$ 19; $\alpha(M)=0.000147$ 4 $\alpha(N)=3.29\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(O)=4.95\times 10^{-6}$ 14; $\alpha(P)=3.07\times 10^{-7}$ 11 %I $\gamma$ =0.88 12, using the calculated normalization. Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=3.9\times 10^{-3}$ 6 (1973VaYZ). $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ for 716-774 cascade (2009Wi18).
773.74 6	19 1	773.48	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E2		0.00396	$\alpha(K)=0.00334$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.000483$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.0001028$ 15 $\alpha(N)=2.29\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(O)=3.42\times 10^{-6}$ 5; $\alpha(P)=2.01\times 10^{-7}$ 3 %I $\gamma$ =5.1 6, using the calculated normalization. E $\gamma$ : from 1974FiZF. Mult.: from K/L=6.3 10 (1973VaYZ); $\alpha(K)\exp=2.7\times 10^{-3}$ 5 (1973VaYZ).
896.1 2	0.005 4	3035.88	(1,2)	2139.68	2 <sup>+</sup>				%I $\gamma$ =0.0013 11, using the calculated normalization.
977.5 1	0.028 5	2466.85	2 <sup>+</sup>	1489.30	(2) <sup>+</sup>				%I $\gamma$ =0.0075 16, using the calculated normalization.
<sup>x</sup> 1013.8 <sup>a</sup> 3	2.7 11								%I $\gamma$ =0.7 3, using the calculated normalization.
1057.6 1	0.9 1	2546.75	0 <sup>+</sup>	1489.30	(2) <sup>+</sup>				%I $\gamma$ =0.24 4, using the calculated normalization.
1121.7 1	0.08 1	2610.93	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	1489.30	(2) <sup>+</sup>				%I $\gamma$ =0.021 4, using the calculated normalization.
<sup>x</sup> 1138.7 <sup>a</sup> 3	5.7 21								%I $\gamma$ =1.5 5, using the calculated normalization.
1161.5 1	0.14 2	1934.99	3 <sup>-</sup>	773.48	2 <sup>+</sup>				%I $\gamma$ =0.037 7, using the calculated normalization.
<sup>x</sup> 1204.8 <sup>a</sup> 3	7.0 15								%I $\gamma$ =1.9 4, using the calculated normalization.
1366.2 1	0.42 4	2139.68	2 <sup>+</sup>	773.48	2 <sup>+</sup>	M1(+E2)	-0.08 8	0.00168 3	$A_2=+0.24$ 3; $A_4=+0.08$ 3 $\alpha(K)=0.001410$ 21; $\alpha(L)=0.000182$ 3; $\alpha(M)=3.84\times 10^{-5}$ 6 $\alpha(N)=8.60\times 10^{-6}$ 13; $\alpha(O)=1.315\times 10^{-6}$ 20; $\alpha(P)=8.82\times 10^{-8}$ 14; $\alpha(IPF)=3.72\times 10^{-5}$ 6 %I $\gamma$ =0.112 16, using the calculated normalization. $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ for 1366-774 cascade (2009Wi18).
1412.9 <sup>f</sup> 5	<0.0038	1412.86	0 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E0			I $\gamma$ : $\leq 50.0038$ limit from 1973VaYZ. Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp>4.0\times 10^{-1}$ ; K/L=4.6 14 (1973VaYZ).

<sup>140</sup>Pm  $\varepsilon$  decay (9.2 s) 2009Wi18,1975Ke09 (continued) $\gamma(^{140}\text{Nd})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^{+e}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	$\delta @ d$	$a^c$	Comments
1489.2 1	4.3 2	1489.30	(2) <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	(E2)		$1.07 \times 10^{-3}$	$\alpha(K)=0.000860$ 12; $\alpha(L)=0.0001125$ 16; $\alpha(M)=2.37 \times 10^{-5}$ 4 $\alpha(N)=5.30 \times 10^{-6}$ 8; $\alpha(O)=8.05 \times 10^{-7}$ 12; $\alpha(P)=5.22 \times 10^{-8}$ 8; $\alpha(IPF)=7.26 \times 10^{-5}$ 11 %I $\gamma=1.15$ 13, using the calculated normalization. Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=6.1 \times 10^{-4}$ 49 (1973VaYZ).
1558.6 1	0.31 3	2332.12	2 <sup>+</sup>	773.48	2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	-0.19 9	$1.31 \times 10^{-3}$ 2	$A_2=+0.06$ 8; $A_4=-0.05$ 8 $\alpha(K)=0.001041$ 18; $\alpha(L)=0.0001340$ 23; $\alpha(M)=2.82 \times 10^{-5}$ 5 $\alpha(N)=6.32 \times 10^{-6}$ 11; $\alpha(O)=9.67 \times 10^{-7}$ 17; $\alpha(P)=6.49 \times 10^{-8}$ 12; $\alpha(IPF)=0.0001027$ 15 %I $\gamma=0.083$ 12, using the calculated normalization. $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ for 1558-774 cascade (2009Wi18).
1585.1 1	0.60 7	2358.59	0 <sup>+</sup>	773.48	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2		$9.97 \times 10^{-4}$	$A_2=+0.39$ 5; $A_4=+0.97$ 7 $\alpha(K)=0.000764$ 11; $\alpha(L)=9.94 \times 10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(M)=2.09 \times 10^{-5}$ 3 $\alpha(N)=4.68 \times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(O)=7.11 \times 10^{-7}$ 10; $\alpha(P)=4.64 \times 10^{-8}$ 7; $\alpha(IPF)=0.0001072$ 15 %I $\gamma=0.160$ 25, using the calculated normalization. $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ for 1585-774 cascade (2009Wi18).
1623.1 2	0.031 1	3035.88	(1,2)	1412.86	0 <sup>+</sup>				%I $\gamma=0.0083$ 9, using the calculated normalization.
1693.5 2	0.06 1	2466.85	2 <sup>+</sup>	773.48	2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	-0.9 +6-4	0.00107 9	$A_2=-0.24$ 10; $A_4=-0.07$ 12 $\alpha(K)=0.00078$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.000101$ 10; $\alpha(M)=2.12 \times 10^{-5}$ 20 $\alpha(N)=4.8 \times 10^{-6}$ 5; $\alpha(O)=7.3 \times 10^{-7}$ 7; $\alpha(P)=4.8 \times 10^{-8}$ 5; $\alpha(IPF)=0.000157$ 5 %I $\gamma=0.016$ 4, using the calculated normalization. $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ for 1694-774 cascade (2009Wi18).
1773.1 1	0.58 7	2546.75	0 <sup>+</sup>	773.48	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2		$9.06 \times 10^{-4}$	$A_2=+0.30$ 3; $A_4=+1.15$ 5 $\alpha(K)=0.000619$ 9; $\alpha(L)=7.98 \times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(M)=1.679 \times 10^{-5}$ 24 $\alpha(N)=3.76 \times 10^{-6}$ 6; $\alpha(O)=5.72 \times 10^{-7}$ 8; $\alpha(P)=3.76 \times 10^{-8}$ 6; $\alpha(IPF)=0.000186$ 3 %I $\gamma=0.154$ 25, using the calculated normalization. $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ for 1773-774 cascade (2009Wi18).
1811.5 1	0.22 3	2584.99	0 <sup>+</sup>	773.48	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2		$8.95 \times 10^{-4}$	$A_2=+0.33$ 1; $A_4=+0.90$ 2 $\alpha(K)=0.000595$ 9; $\alpha(L)=7.66 \times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(M)=1.611 \times 10^{-5}$ 23 $\alpha(N)=3.60 \times 10^{-6}$ 5; $\alpha(O)=5.49 \times 10^{-7}$ 8; $\alpha(P)=3.61 \times 10^{-8}$ 5; $\alpha(IPF)=0.000203$ 3 %I $\gamma=0.059$ 10, using the calculated normalization. $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ for 1811-774 cascade (2009Wi18).
1837.4 1	0.25 3	2610.93	(2) <sup>+</sup>	773.48	2 <sup>+</sup>	(E2)		$8.89 \times 10^{-4}$	$A_2=-0.31$ 4; $A_4=+0.15$ 5 $\alpha(K)=0.000579$ 9; $\alpha(L)=7.45 \times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(M)=1.567 \times 10^{-5}$ 22 $\alpha(N)=3.51 \times 10^{-6}$ 5; $\alpha(O)=5.34 \times 10^{-7}$ 8; $\alpha(P)=3.52 \times 10^{-8}$ 5; $\alpha(IPF)=0.000215$ 3 %I $\gamma=0.067$ 11, using the calculated normalization. $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ for 1837-774 cascade (2009Wi18).

<sup>140</sup>Pm  $\varepsilon$  decay (9.2 s)    2009Wi18,1975Ke09 (continued)

<u><math>\gamma(^{140}\text{Nd})</math></u> (continued)									
$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^{\dagger e}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡#</sup>	$\delta^{@\text{d}}$	$\alpha^c$	Comments
1935 1	<0.2&	1934.99	3 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>				%I $\gamma$ =0.03 3, using the calculated normalization. A <sub>2</sub> =-0.25 10; A <sub>4</sub> =+0.05 11
1940.3 1	0.32 4	2713.80	2 <sup>+</sup>	773.48	2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	-0.96 +35-26	0.00096 4	$\alpha(K)=0.00059$ 3; $\alpha(L)=7.5 \times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(M)=1.58 \times 10^{-5}$ 8 $\alpha(N)=3.54 \times 10^{-6}$ 17; $\alpha(O)=5.4 \times 10^{-7}$ 3; $\alpha(P)=3.62 \times 10^{-8}$ 19; $\alpha(IPF)=0.000274$ 6 %I $\gamma$ =0.085 14, using the calculated normalization. $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ for 1940-774 cascade (2009Wi18).
x1941.9 <sup>b</sup> 7	0.46 10								%I $\gamma$ =0.12 3, using the calculated normalization.
2059.3 1	0.09 1	2832.80	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	773.48	2 <sup>+</sup>				A <sub>2</sub> =+0.03 2; A <sub>4</sub> =-0.05 2
									%I $\gamma$ =0.024 4, using the calculated normalization. $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ for 2059-774 cascade (2009Wi18).
2135.1 1	0.010 1	2908.60	0 <sup>+</sup>	773.48	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2		8.67×10 <sup>-4</sup>	A <sub>2</sub> =+0.28 6; A <sub>4</sub> =+1.1 1 $\alpha(K)=0.000440$ 7; $\alpha(L)=5.61 \times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(M)=1.179 \times 10^{-5}$ 17 $\alpha(N)=2.64 \times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(O)=4.02 \times 10^{-7}$ 6; $\alpha(P)=2.67 \times 10^{-8}$ 4; $\alpha(IPF)=0.000356$ 5 %I $\gamma$ =0.0027 4, using the calculated normalization. $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ for 2135-774 cascade (2009Wi18).
2139.2 4	<0.2&	2139.68	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>				%I $\gamma$ =0.03 3, using the calculated normalization.
2333.2 6	<0.5&	2332.12	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>				%I $\gamma$ =0.07 7, using the calculated normalization.
2366.4 1	0.08 1	3139.90	0 <sup>+</sup>	773.48	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2		8.91×10 <sup>-4</sup>	A <sub>2</sub> =+0.5 3; A <sub>4</sub> =+1.1 4 $\alpha(K)=0.000366$ 6; $\alpha(L)=4.64 \times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(M)=9.74 \times 10^{-6}$ 14 $\alpha(N)=2.18 \times 10^{-6}$ 3; $\alpha(O)=3.33 \times 10^{-7}$ 5; $\alpha(P)=2.22 \times 10^{-8}$ 4; $\alpha(IPF)=0.000466$ 7 %I $\gamma$ =0.021 4, using the calculated normalization. $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ for 2366-774 cascade (2009Wi18).
2467.1 6	<0.2&	2466.85	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>				%I $\gamma$ =0.03 3, using the calculated normalization.
2610.0 5	<0.2&	2610.93	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>				%I $\gamma$ =0.03 3, using the calculated normalization.
2733.2 2	0.08 1	3506.71	0 <sup>+,1,2</sup>	773.48	2 <sup>+</sup>				%I $\gamma$ =0.021 4, using the calculated normalization.

<sup>†</sup> Relative intensities are obtained from  $\gamma\gamma$  coin data normalized to 100 parts for 773.6 $\gamma$  (2009Wi18) for both <sup>140</sup>Pm  $\varepsilon$  g.s. decay (9.2 s) and <sup>140</sup>Pm  $\varepsilon$  isomer decay (5.95 min). By separation 19 parts are taken by the 773.6 $\gamma$  for <sup>140</sup>Pm  $\varepsilon$  g.s. decay (9.2 s) which is kept here as normalizing figure, while 81 parts are taken by the <sup>140</sup>Pm  $\varepsilon$  isomer decay (5.95 min).

<sup>‡</sup> From  $\alpha(K)\exp$  (1973VaYZ, normalized to  $\alpha(K)(773\gamma)=3.3 \times 10^{-3}$  (E2), I $\gamma$  from 1975Ke09) and  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$  (2009Wi18).

<sup>#</sup> A<sub>2</sub> and A<sub>4</sub> coefficients are from email reply from E. Williams, (2009Wi18) to XUNDL compiler November 25, 2009.

<sup>@</sup> From  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$  (2009Wi18).

<sup>&</sup> From singles data (2009Wi18).

<sup>140</sup>Pm  $\varepsilon$  decay (9.2 s)    2009Wi18,1975Ke09 (continued) $\gamma(^{140}\text{Nd})$  (continued)

<sup>a</sup> Observed only by 1975Za10.

<sup>b</sup> Observed only by 1975Ke09.

<sup>c</sup> Additional information 1.

<sup>d</sup> If No value given it was assumed  $\delta=1.00$  for E2/M1,  $\delta=1.00$  for E3/M2 and  $\delta=0.10$  for the other multipolarities.

<sup>e</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.27 3.

<sup>f</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{140}\text{Pm } \epsilon \text{ decay (9.2 s) 2009Wi18,1975Ke09}$ 

## Legend

## Decay Scheme

Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- - - -  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)

