

$^{140}\text{Ce}(\text{e},\text{e}')$     1985HeZW, 1970Pi06, 1992Ki10

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	N. Nica	NDS 154, 1 (2018)	20-Nov-2018

E=230 MeV (1985HeZW), 50, 60 MeV (1970Pi06), 190 MeV (1992Ki10).

Measured:  $\sigma(E,\theta)$ . $^{140}\text{Ce}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>#</sup>	J <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>@</sup>	$\Gamma(0)$ eV <sup>&amp;</sup>	Comments
0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>			
1596	2 <sup>+</sup>		6.7×10 <sup>-3</sup> 7	B(E2)↑=0.304 8 (1992Ki10)
2083	4 <sup>+</sup>			B(E4)↑=0.0341 44 (1992Ki10)
				$\Gamma(0)$ : authors value, $\Gamma(0)=1.5\times10^{-8}$ eV 3 (1970Pi06) with branching gives $T_{1/2}=7.6$ ps in disagreement with well known adopted $T_{1/2}=3.44$ ns 5.
				Authors $\Gamma(0)$ (W.u.) gives $\Gamma(0)=5.67\times10^{-11}$ and thus $T_{1/2}=2.0$ ns 4. The $\Gamma(0)$ value is probably a misprint.
2108	6 <sup>+</sup>			
2348	2 <sup>+</sup>			B(E2)↑=0.0043 28 (1992Ki10)
2350	5 <sup>+</sup>			$J^\pi$ : weak line; $\sigma(0^\circ)\approx O$ ; $\sigma(180^\circ)>O$ .
2460 <sup>†</sup>	3 <sup>-</sup> <sup>†</sup>	0.10 ps 2	6.2×10 <sup>-6</sup> 7	B(E3)↑=0.198 14 (1992Ki10)
2900 <sup>†</sup>	2 <sup>+</sup> <sup>†</sup>	28 fs 2	9.5×10 <sup>-3</sup> 4	B(E2)↑=0.0171 27 (1992Ki10)
3120 <sup>†</sup>	2 <sup>+</sup> <sup>†</sup>		26×10 <sup>-3</sup> 5	B(E2)↑=0.0659 51 (1992Ki10)
3320 <sup>†</sup>	2 <sup>+</sup> <sup>†</sup>		19×10 <sup>-3</sup> 4	
3425	7 <sup>-</sup>			
3602				
4061				
4296	3 <sup>-</sup> ,4 <sup>+</sup>			
4700				
5026				
5397	4 <sup>+</sup> ,5 <sup>-</sup>			
6233				
6678				
7050				

<sup>†</sup> From 1970Pi06.<sup>‡</sup> From analysis of  $\sigma(\theta)$ .#  $\Delta E=2-3$  keV for strong lines,  $\Delta E=5$  keV for weak lines.@ From  $\Gamma(0)$  (1970Pi06) and adopted branching.

&amp; From 1970Pi06.