TypeAuthorCitationLiterature Cutoff DateFull EvaluationP. K. Joshi, B. Singh, S. Singh, A. K. JainNDS 138, 1 (2016)15-Oct-2016

 $Q(\beta^{-}) = -9500 SY; S(n) = 10050 SY; S(p) = 3170 SY; Q(\alpha) = 2800 SY$ 2012Wa38

Estimated uncertainties (2012Wa38): $\Delta Q(\beta^{-})=360$, $\Delta S(n)=280$, $\Delta S(p)=200$, $\Delta Q(\alpha)=250$.

Q(\varepsilon\phi)=6580 200, S(2n)=22560 360, S(2p)=4180 200 (all from syst, 2012Wa38).

1983Ni05: ¹³⁹Gd identified in ⁵⁰Cr(⁹²Mo,n2p) reaction at E=385 MeV. OASIS. Measured protons; semi telescope. Identification based on Q(ε)-S(p)=6.5 MeV, σ =70 mb, and agreement between measured T_{1/2} and theoretical T_{1/2}=6.4 s from β decay gross theory (1973Ta30) and supported by cross bombardment of ⁵⁴Fe by ⁹²Mo which produced protons in the same energy range but much lower yield.

1988WiZN: measured beta-delayed E(p), (x ray)p coin, γp coin, $T_{1/2}$.

1999Xi04: ¹⁰⁶Cd(³⁶Ar,X). Measured $\gamma\gamma(t)$, (x ray) $\gamma(t)$; He-jet; half-lives, isomers, HPGe detectors. 1999Xi04 claim to have discovered two activities with nearly the same half-lives but with different spins, one a low-spin and the other a high-spin of 9/2⁻. However, the excitation functions obtained by 1999Xi04 for the two γ rays (115.8 and 121.6) from these two respective activities are nearly the same. Two separate decay schemes are presented but with no γ -ray intensities. In the opinion of the evaluators, sufficient details are absent to justify the existence of the two isomers.

2001BeZY (a report at Int. Conf. St. Marlo (France) in 1988): ¹³⁹Gd identified in ¹⁰⁶Cd(³⁶Ar,2pn) and ¹⁰⁶Cd(³⁵Cl,pn) using SARA system and He-jet transport. The following γ rays were listed as emitted by the decay of ¹³⁹Gd: 27.0, 65.0, 87.5, 116.3, 122.0, 236 and 379. Only the 27.0, 116.3 and 122.0 are present in 1999Xi04, a 26.7 and 121.6 from 4.8-s activity, and 115.8 from 5.8-s activity. The 65.0 γ in 2001BeZY could be from ¹³⁸Gd decay.

2003Xu04: ¹³⁹Gd produced in ¹⁰⁶Cd(⁴⁰Ca, α 2pn),E=232 MeV reaction at Lanzhou-China accelerator facility. The β -delayed proton decay observed through the detection of 347- and 545-keV γ rays in ¹³⁸Sm.

All data are from ${}^{92}Mo({}^{50}Cr,n2p\gamma)$, except for the ground and isomeric properties. The decay scheme of ${}^{139}Tb \varepsilon$ decay is unknown.

139Gd Levels

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

A 92 Mo(50 Cr,n2p γ)

B 139 Tb ε decay (1.6 s)

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T _{1/2}	XREF	Comments
0.0 [@]	(9/2 ⁻)	5.8 s 9	AB	 %ε+%β⁺=100; %εp>0 (1983Ni05) T_{1/2}: from decay curve for γ rays (1999Xi04), probably the 115.8γ. Full details of this measurement are not available. Others: 4.9 s <i>10</i> (from proton decay,1983Ni05), 5.8 s <i>4</i> (2001BeZY, from decay curve for γ rays reported in a 1988 conference), 5 s <i>1</i> (from proton decay,1988WiZN). %εp: delayed protons observed in 1983Ni05, 1988WiZN and 2003Xu04. I^{<i>n</i>}, from systematics of N=75 isotones
0+x?		4.8 s 9		$%ε + %β^+ = 100; %εp>0$ (1983Ni05) E(level): x=250 150 (syst,2012Au07). T _{1/2} : from decay curve for γ rays (1999Xi04), probably the 121.6γ. Full details of this measurement are not available. 1999Xi04 propose this isomer to be a low-spin without giving any J^{π} assignment. With (9/2 ⁻) for the g.s., this isomer could only have 1/2 or 3/2 to explain the long half-life. 2012Au07 propose 1/2 ⁺ from systematics. In view of overlapping half-lives of the two activities and in the absence of detailed data for decay, the evaluators consider the existence of this isomer as uncertain
$211.95^{\&} 24 \\ 427.0^{a} 7 \\ 530.06^{@} 24$	(11/2 ⁻) (7/2 ⁻) (13/2 ⁻)		A A A	uncertain.

¹³⁹Gd Levels (continued)

$753.0^a 8$ $(11/2^-)$ A $755.2^{\&} 3$ $(15/2^-)$ A $1171.2^{@} 3$ $(17/2^-)$ A $1255.0^a 12$ $(15/2^-)$ A $1415.7^{\&} 4$ $(19/2^-)$ A $1626.0^b 12$ $(13/2^+)$ A $1871.0^b 13$ $(17/2^+)$ A	
$755.2^{\&}$ 3 $(15/2^-)$ A $1171.2^{\textcircled{0}}$ 3 $(17/2^-)$ A 1255.0^a 12 $(15/2^-)$ A $1415.7^{\&}$ 4 $(19/2^-)$ A 1626.0^b 12 $(13/2^+)$ A 1871.0^b 13 $(17/2^+)$ A	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$1626.0^{b} 12$ (13/2 ⁺) A	
$19710^{1}12$ (17/2 ⁺)	
$18/1.0^{-}$ 15 (1//2 ⁻) A	
1882.0^{a} 14 (19/2 ⁻) A	
$1910.9^{\textcircled{0}}{}_{0}4$ (21/2 ⁻) A	
$\begin{array}{cccc} 2174.7^{\&} 4 & (23/2^{-}) & \mathbf{A} \\ 2174.7+\mathbf{y}^{e} & \mathbf{A} & \text{Additional information 1.} \end{array}$	
$2238.0^{\#b}$ 15 (21/2 ⁺) A	
$2318.7 + y^e 10$ A	
2490.3 ^c 8 A	
$2576.7^{\circ\circ}4$ ($25/2^{-}$) A	
2590.0° 18 (23/2) A 2607.7 $\pm v^{\ell}$ 15 A	
2691.3° 13 A	
$2697.0^{\#b}$ 18 (25/2 ⁺) A	
$2766.8^{\&} 5$ $(27/2^{-})$ A	
2919.3 ^c 17 A	
2944.7+y ^e 18 A	
$3031.4^{(0)}$ 5 (29/2 ⁻) A	
3093.7 ^d 11 A	
3235.3 ^c 19 A	
$3245.0^{\circ} 20$ (29/2 ⁺) A	
$3237.0^{-2}20$ (21/2) A 2008.0 $\frac{8}{5}$ (21/2) A	
3288.2° 5 (31/2) A 3312 7+ v^{0} 20 A	
33907^{d} 15 A	
3558.3 ^c 22 A	
$3627.7^{\textcircled{0}}6$ (33/2 ⁻) A	
3683.8 ^d 17 A	
$3705.7 + y^e 23$ A	
$3777.0^{a} 23$ (31/2 ⁻) A	
$3880.0^{0} 23$ (33/2 ⁺) A	
3960.0° 8 (35/2 ⁻) A	
4011.6^{a} 17 A	
$4108.7 + y^{2}.25$ A	
43/0.0 = 9 (31/2) A	
$43/4.2^{\circ}$ 18 A A417.0 ^d 25 (35/2 ⁻) A	
$46000^{\#b} 25 (37/2^+)$	
$4768 3^{\circ} 11 (39/2^{-})$	
$4788 2^{d} 21$	
$52473^{@}14$ (41/2 ⁻) A	
$5401^{\#b}$ 3 $(41/2^+)$ A	

¹³⁹Gd Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	J π ‡	XREF
5700.3? ^{&} 14	$(43/2^{-})$	A
6280 ^{#b} 3	$(45/2^+)$	Α
7231 ^{#b} 3	$(49/2^+)$	Α
8251 ^{#b} 4	$(53/2^+)$	Α
9340 ^b 4	$(57/2^+)$	Α
10498? ^b 4	$(61/2^+)$	Α

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$ data; $\Delta E\gamma = 1$ keV assumed when not given.

[‡] From (9/2⁻) for the g.s.; multipolarities determined from DCO ratios, δ values and RUL; systematics of the lighter N=75 isotones, and γ deexcitation patterns. Ascending order of spins with excitation energy is assumed from yrast type population of states in this reaction.

[#] Lifetime measured in 1992Pa04 (see $F(\tau)$ curve in figure 2 of 1992Pa04).

^(a) Band(A): $\nu 9/2[514] \alpha = -1/2$. At low spins, this band is from $\nu h_{11/2} 9/2[514]$ orbital. At $\hbar \omega \approx 0.3$ MeV and $J^{\pi} = 23/2^{-}$, this band is crossed by a pair of $h_{11/2}$ protons, thus evolves into a 3-qp configuration= $\nu 9/2[514] \otimes \pi h_{11/2}^2$. This band was first proposed in 1989Ma03, later confirmed in 1990Ma53, 1991Pa04 and 1997Ro13.

[&] Band(a): $v9/2[514], \alpha=+1/2$. See comment for the $\alpha=-1/2$ partner.

^{*a*} Band(B): $v_1/2[530]$ band. Band from $v_{h_{9/2}}$ orbital (1997Ro13).

^b Band(C): v1/2[660], Highly deformed band. Q(intrinsic) \approx 7.0 (1992Pa04) from lifetime measurements of seven transitions in the band. Band from $v_{13/2}$ orbital (1997Ro13,1990Ma53).

^{*c*} Band(D): $\Delta J=(1)$ band. Band from 1990Ma53 only.

^{*d*} Band(E): $\Delta J=1$ band. Band from 1990Ma53 only.

^{*e*} Band(F): $\Delta J=(1)$ band. Band from 1990Ma53 only.

$\gamma(^{139}\text{Gd})$

In ¹³⁹Tb ε decay dataset, no levels are known, only two unplaced gamma rays of 109.0 and 119.7 keV are reported.

E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	E_{γ}	I_{γ}	\mathbf{E}_{f}	J_f^{π}	Mult. [†]	δ^{\ddagger}	$\alpha^{\#}$	Comments
211.95 427.0	$(11/2^{-})$ $(7/2^{-})$	212.0 <i>3</i> 215	100 11.1 <i>15</i>	0.0 211.95	$(9/2^{-})$ $(11/2^{-})$	D			
		427	100 19	0.0	$(9/2^{-})$	D			
530.06	$(13/2^{-})$	318.2 <i>3</i>	100 10	211.95	$(11/2^{-})$	D			
		530.0 <i>3</i>	46 5	0.0	$(9/2^{-})$	Q			
753.0	(11/2 ⁻)	326	100 8	427.0	$(7/2^{-})$	(Q)			Mult.: D+Q also possible from DCO ratio.
		753	20 8	0.0	$(9/2^{-})$	D			
755.2	(15/2 ⁻)	225.3 3	86 <i>9</i>	530.06	(13/2 ⁻)	M1+E2	-0.27 4	0.203 4	$\begin{aligned} &\alpha(\mathbf{K}) = 0.170 \ 3; \ \alpha(\mathbf{L}) = 0.0254 \ 4; \\ &\alpha(\mathbf{M}) = 0.00554 \ 9 \\ &\alpha(\mathbf{N}) = 0.001274 \ 21; \ \alpha(\mathbf{O}) = 0.000196 \\ &\beta; \ \alpha(\mathbf{P}) = 1.252 \times 10^{-5} \ 23 \end{aligned}$
		543.2 <i>3</i>	100	211.95	$(11/2^{-})$	Q			
1171.2	(17/2 ⁻)	416.2 3	100 11	755.2	(15/2 ⁻)	M1+E2	-0.48 4	0.0372 7	$\alpha(K)=0.0314 6; \alpha(L)=0.00458 8;$ $\alpha(M)=0.000996 16$ $\alpha(N)=0.000229 4; \alpha(O)=3.53\times10^{-5}$
		641.0.3	83.0	530.06	$(13/2^{-})$	0			$0; u(P)=2.28 \times 10^{-5}$
1255.0	(15/2-)	502	100	753.0	$(13/2^{-})$ $(11/2^{-})$	Q			

γ ⁽¹³⁹Gd) (continued)</sup>

E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	Eγ	Iγ	E_f	\mathbf{J}_{f}^{π}	Mult. [†]	δ^{\ddagger}	α #	Comments
1415.7	(19/2-)	244.3 3	38 5	1171.2	(17/2 ⁻)	M1+E2	-0.26 7	0.163 3	$\alpha(K)=0.137 \ 3; \ \alpha(L)=0.0202 \ 4; \\ \alpha(M)=0.00440 \ 8 \\ \alpha(N)=0.001011 \ 17; \\ \alpha(O)=0.0001558 \ 24; \\ \alpha(P)=1.007 \times 10^{-5} \ 24$
1626.0	$(13/2^{+})$	660.4 <i>3</i> 873	100 <i>11</i> 100	755.2 753.0	$(15/2^{-})$ $(11/2^{-})$	Q			
1871.0	$(17/2^+)$	245	6.1 12	1626.0	$(13/2^+)$ $(15/2^-)$	Q			
1882.0	(19/2-)	616 627	100 <i>4</i> 100	1255.0 1255.0	(15/2) $(15/2^{-})$	D Q			
1910.9	(21/2 ⁻)	495.1 <i>3</i>	71 9	1415.7	(19/2 ⁻)	M1+E2	-0.34 6	0.0247 6	$\alpha(K)=0.0210 5; \alpha(L)=0.00296$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.000642 12$ $\alpha(N)=0.000148 3;$ $\alpha(O)=2.29\times10^{-5} 5;$ $\alpha(P)=1.53\times10^{-6} 4$
2174 7	$(23/2^{-})$	740.0 <i>3</i>	100 12	1171.2	$(17/2^{-})$ $(21/2^{-})$	Q			
2174.7	(23/2)	758.9 <i>3</i>	100 10	1415.7	$(21/2^{-})$ $(19/2^{-})$	Q			
2174.7+y 2238.0	$(21/2^+)$	у 356	12.2 24	2174.7 1882.0	$(23/2^{-})$ $(19/2^{-})$				
		367	100 4	1871.0	(17/2+)	E2		0.0329	$\alpha(K)=0.0259 \ 4; \ \alpha(L)=0.00545 \\ 8; \ \alpha(M)=0.001224 \ 18 \\ \alpha(N)=0.000278 \ 4; \\ \alpha(O)=4.01\times10^{-5} \ 6; \\ \alpha(P)=1.675\times10^{-6} \ 24 $
2318.7+y		144		2174.7+y	$(21/2^{-})$				
2490.5		379 1075		1910.9 1415.7	(21/2) $(19/2^{-})$				
2576.7	(25/2 ⁻)	402.1 3	100 10	2174.7	(23/2 ⁻)	M1+E2	-0.17 4	0.0437	$\begin{aligned} &\alpha(\mathbf{K}) = 0.0371 \ 6; \ \alpha(\mathbf{L}) = 0.00522 \\ & 8; \ \alpha(\mathbf{M}) = 0.001132 \ 17 \\ & \alpha(\mathbf{N}) = 0.000260 \ 4; \\ & \alpha(\mathbf{O}) = 4.05 \times 10^{-5} \ 6; \\ & \alpha(\mathbf{P}) = 2.72 \times 10^{-6} \ 5 \end{aligned}$
2590.0	$(23/2^{-})$	665.6 <i>3</i> 708	51 5 100	1910.9 1882.0	$(21/2^{-})$ $(19/2^{-})$	(Q) O			
2607.7+y 2691.3	,	289 201		2318.7+y 2490.3	. , ,	-			
2697.0	$(25/2^+)$	459	100	2238.0	$(21/2^+)$	E2		0.01754	$\alpha(K)=0.01415\ 20;$
									$\alpha(L)=0.00264 \ 4;$ $\alpha(M)=0.000588 \ 9$ $\alpha(N)=0.0001337 \ 19;$ $\alpha(O)=1.97\times10^{-5} \ 3;$ $\alpha(P)=9.41\times10^{-7} \ 14$
2766.8	(27/2 ⁻)	190.0 <i>3</i>	100 10	2576.7	(25/2 ⁻)	M1+E2	-0.17 6	0.328	$\alpha(K)=0.276 \ 5; \ \alpha(L)=0.0406 \ 8; \\ \alpha(M)=0.00883 \ 19 \\ \alpha(N)=0.00203 \ 5; \\ \alpha(O)=0.000313 \ 6; \\ \alpha(P)=2 \ 04 \times 10^{-5} \ 4$
2919.3 2944.7+y		592.2 <i>3</i> 228 337	34 4	2174.7 2691.3 2607.7+y	(23/2 ⁻)	Q			
3031.4	(29/2 ⁻)	264.8 3	100 11	2766.8	$(27/2^{-})$	0			
3093.7		455 <i>1</i> 919 [@]	<1/	2376.7 2174.7	(23/2) $(23/2^{-})$	Q			
3235.3		316		2919.3	、 <i>, , ,</i>				

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

γ ⁽¹³⁹Gd) (continued)</sup>

E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	E_{γ}	I_{γ}	\mathbf{E}_{f}	\mathbf{J}_f^{π}	Mult. [†]	δ^{\ddagger}	α #	Comments
3245.0 3257.0 3288.2	(29/2 ⁺) (27/2 ⁻) (31/2 ⁻)	548 667 256.9 <i>3</i>	100 100 100 <i>11</i>	2697.0 2590.0 3031.4	(25/2 ⁺) (23/2 ⁻) (29/2 ⁻)	Q Q M1+E2	-0.27 4	0.1416 <i>23</i>	α (K)=0.1192 20; α (L)=0.0175 3; α (M)=0.00382 6 α (N)=0.000878 13; α (O)=0.0001354 20;
3312.7+y 3390.7		521.2 <i>3</i> 368 297	38 5	2766.8 2944.7+y 3093.7	(27/2 ⁻)	Q			$\alpha(P) = 8.76 \times 10^{-6} \ 16$
3627.7	(33/2 ⁻)	325 339.3 3	100 12	3288.2	(31/2 ⁻)	M1+E2	-0.20 7	0.0679 <i>13</i>	$\alpha(K)=0.0575 \ 12;$ $\alpha(L)=0.00819 \ 12;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00178 \ 3$ $\alpha(N)=0.000409 \ 6;$ $\alpha(O)=6.34\times10^{-5} \ 10;$ $\alpha(P)=4 \ 22\times10^{-6} \ 9$
3683.8		596.4 <i>3</i> 293	<40	3031.4 3390.7	(29/2 ⁻)				
3705.7+y 3777.0 3880.0	$(31/2^{-})$ $(33/2^{+})$	393 520 635	100 100	3093.7 3312.7+y 3257.0 3245.0	(27/2 ⁻) (29/2 ⁺)	Q Q			
3960.0	(35/2 ⁻)	332 1	100	3627.7	(33/2 ⁻)	M1+E2	-0.34 8	0.0701 18	$\alpha(K)=0.0591 \ 16;$ $\alpha(L)=0.00861 \ 15;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00187 \ 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.000431 \ 8;$ $\alpha(O)=6.65\times10^{-5} \ 12;$ $\alpha(P)=4 \ 32\times10^{-6} \ 13$
4011.6		672 328 621		3288.2 3683.8 3390.7	(31/2 ⁻)				
4108.7+y 4370.6	(37/2 ⁻)	403 411 743		3705.7+y 3960.0 3627.7	$(35/2^{-})$ $(33/2^{-})$				
4374.2		363 690		4011.6 3683.8	()				
4417.0 4600.0 4768.3	(35/2 ⁻) (37/2 ⁺) (39/2 ⁻)	640 720 398 808	100	3777.0 3880.0 4370.6 3960.0	$\begin{array}{c} (31/2^{-}) \\ (33/2^{+}) \\ (37/2^{-}) \\ (35/2^{-}) \end{array}$				
4788.2 5247.3	(41/2 ⁻)	414 479		4374.2 4768.3	(39/2 ⁻)				
5401	$(41/2^+)$	877 ° 801		4370.6	$(37/2^{+})$ $(37/2^{+})$				
5700.3?	(43/2 ⁻)	453 [@] 932 [@]		5247.3 4768.3	$(41/2^{-})$ $(39/2^{-})$				
6280 7231	$(45/2^+)$ $(49/2^+)$	879 951		5401 6280	$(41/2^+)$ $(45/2^+)$				
8251	(+9/2) $(53/2^+)$	1020		7231	$(49/2^+)$				
9340 10498?	$(57/2^+)$ $(61/2^+)$	1089 1158 [@]		8251 9340	$(53/2^+)$ $(57/2^+)$				

γ (¹³⁹Gd) (continued)

[†] Mult=Q indicates stretched quadrupole (most likely E2), mult=D indicates stretched dipole (most likely M1 or M1+E2, except E1 for 616 γ from 17/2⁺ level) from DCO ratios. Mult=M1+E2 is from measured DCO ratio, significantly large δ value and implied RUL. Mult=E2 is from DCO ratio and RUL; level lifetimes are not listed but are implied as short (in ps region) from transition quadrupole moment deduced from these measurements in 1992Pa04.

 \ddagger Read by the evaluators from figure 1 of 1991Pa04.

[#] Theoretical values from BrIcc v2.3b (16-Dec-2014) 2008Ki07, "Frozen Orbitals" approximation.

[@] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

 $^{139}_{64}\text{Gd}_{75}$ -7



 $^{139}_{64}\rm{Gd}_{75}$

Level Scheme (continued)

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level



Level Scheme (continued)

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level



 $^{139}_{64}\text{Gd}_{75}$



 $^{^{139}}_{64}\mathrm{Gd}_{75}$



 $^{139}_{64}\text{Gd}_{75}$