

$^{137}\text{Ba}(\alpha,2n\gamma), ^{138}\text{Ba}(\alpha,3n\gamma)$  1984Vo12,1977Lu04,1976Lu07

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	P. K. Joshi, B. Singh, S. Singh, A. K. Jain		NDS 138, 1 (2016)	15-Oct-2016

1976Lu07:  $^{138}\text{Ba}(\alpha,3n\gamma)$  E=51 MeV. Measured  $\gamma(t)$ .

1977Lu04:  $^{138}\text{Ba}(\alpha,3n\gamma)$  E=45-59 MeV. Measured excitation functions,  $\gamma$ 's,  $\gamma(\theta=60^\circ-125^\circ, 5 \text{ angles})$ ,  $\gamma(t)$ , and  $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidences.

1984Vo12:  $^{137}\text{Ba}(\alpha,2n\gamma)$  E=27 MeV. Measured  $269,1607\gamma(\theta,H,t)$ ; NaI, T=300°, 650° K.

Except as noted, all data are from 1977Lu04. The decay scheme is based on the  $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidence data, intensity considerations, and observation that no  $\gamma$ 's deexciting low-spin states known from decay studies were observed.

 $^{139}\text{Ce}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$ †	$T_{1/2}$ ‡	Comments
0.0	$3/2^+$		
754.8 10	$11/2^-$	57.58 s 32	%IT=100 $T_{1/2}$ and decay mode from the Adopted Levels.
2029.2 15	$(11/2^-, 13/2)$		E(level): suggested on the basis of the energy-sum relation and excit. $J^\pi$ : $(11/2^-, 13/2)$ from $\gamma$ 's to $11/2^-$ and possible $\gamma$ from $(15/2^-)$ .
2362.3 15	$(15/2^-)$		$J^\pi$ : $(15/2^-)$ from $\gamma(\theta)$ and excit. $\pi=-$ from (E2) $\gamma$ from $(19/2^-)$ .
2631.5 18	$(19/2^-)$	70 ns 5	$g=+0.405$ 8 (1984Vo12) Configuration= $\nu h_{11/2} \otimes ^{140}\text{Ce}$ , first $4^+$ . $g$ : from $1607\gamma(\theta,\beta,t)$ . Other: $g=0.199$ 21 from $269\gamma(\theta,\beta,t)$ (269 peak may be contaminated, probably from $^{138}\text{Ba}(\alpha,2n)$ $\gamma$ 's). $J^\pi$ : $J(2632) > J(2362)$ from excit; $T_{1/2} \leq \approx 100$ ns suggests mult( $269\gamma$ )=Q (1977Lu04). $\pi=-$ from comparison of $g(\text{exp})=+0.405$ 8 to $g(\text{theory})=+0.40$ 3 for the proposed configuration.
2819.3 20	$(21/2^-)$	$\leq 3.0$ ns	$J^\pi$ : from $\gamma(\theta)$ and $\gamma$ -deexcitation pattern.
3185.1 23	$(23/2^-)$		$J^\pi$ : from $\gamma(\theta)$ and excit.
3481.7 24	$(25/2)$		
3702.0 24	$(27/2)$		E(level): suggested on the basis of the energy-sum relation and $\gamma\gamma$ -coin.

† From the Adopted Levels. Contributing arguments are given as comments.

‡ From  $\gamma(t)$  of 1976Lu07, except as noted.  $T_{1/2}(2632)$  from  $269\gamma(t)$ ; 68 ns 5 from  $1608\gamma(t)$ . 1984Vo12 give 64 ns 4 from  $1607\gamma(t)$  and 56 ns 6 from  $269\gamma(t)$  for 2632 but do not discuss these values except to note that the 269 peak may be contaminated, probably from  $^{138}\text{Ba}(\alpha,2n)$   $\gamma$ 's.

 $\gamma(^{139}\text{Ce})$ 

Except for the delayed  $\gamma$  from the  $11/2^-$  to  $3/2^+$  transition, no delayed transitions were observed in delayed  $\gamma$ -spectra with delays of 10, 20, 40, 60, 100, 200, and 300  $\mu\text{s}$  with respect to the beam pulses.

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$ †	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.‡	$\alpha^a$	Comments
187.8	57 6	2819.3	$(21/2^-)$	2631.5	$(19/2^-)$	(M1+E2)		$I_\gamma$ : 56 7.
220.1	10.3 11	3702.0	$(27/2)$	3481.7	$(25/2)$			$I_\gamma$ : 9.8 9.
269.2	65 4	2631.5	$(19/2^-)$	2362.3	$(15/2^-)$	(E2)	0.0688	$\alpha(K)=0.0546$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.01120$ 16; $\alpha(M)=0.00242$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.000526$ 8; $\alpha(O)=7.94 \times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(P)=3.54 \times 10^{-6}$ 5 $I_\gamma$ : 74 4. Mult.: D,E2 from comparison to RUL. $\neq$ D from comparison of $T_{1/2}(2632)$ with $T_{1/2}(2632)=96$ ns calculated from assumption that 2632 is $[(^{140}\text{Ce } 4^+)(\nu h_{11/2}^-)]19/2^-$ with $T_{1/2}(^{140}\text{Ce } 4^+)=5$ ns (1976Lu07).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{137}\text{Ba}(\alpha,2n\gamma), ^{138}\text{Ba}(\alpha,3n\gamma)$  1984Vo12,1977Lu04,1976Lu07 (continued) $\gamma(^{139}\text{Ce})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. $^\ddagger$	Comments
296.5	18.0 <sup>#</sup> 17	3481.7	(25/2)	3185.1	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
333.2 <sup>b</sup>		2362.3	(15/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2029.2	(11/2 <sup>-</sup> ,13/2)		$I_\gamma: \leq 7.$
365.8	36 4	3185.1	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2819.3	(21/2 <sup>-</sup> )	D <sup>@</sup>	$I_\gamma: 33.9$ 21.
517.0 <sup>&amp;</sup>	12 <sup>#</sup> 4	3702.0	(27/2)	3185.1	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
554.0 <sup>&amp;b</sup>	$\leq 9^\#$	3185.1	(23/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2631.5	(19/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
659.0 <sup>&amp;b</sup>		3481.7	(25/2)	2819.3	(21/2 <sup>-</sup> )		$I_\gamma: \leq 5.$
754.8	18.0 15	754.8	11/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	M4	Mult.: from Adopted Gammas. $I_\gamma: 220$ 11. $I_\gamma: 18.7$ 35.
1274.4		2029.2	(11/2 <sup>-</sup> ,13/2)	754.8	11/2 <sup>-</sup>		$I_\gamma: 18.7$ 35.
1607.5	100 10	2362.3	(15/2 <sup>-</sup> )	754.8	11/2 <sup>-</sup>	Q <sup>@</sup>	$I_\gamma: 100$ 8.

<sup>†</sup> At E=52 MeV and  $\theta=125^\circ$  and in coincidence with the cyclotron burst. Data at 45 MeV without coincidence are given under comments.

<sup>‡</sup> From  $\gamma(\theta)$ , except as noted.

<sup>#</sup> Not observed at 45 MeV with no coincidence.

<sup>@</sup> Stretched.

<sup>&</sup> Placed on the basis of  $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidence data and energy sums.

<sup>a</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>b</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

