

¹³⁸Nd ε decay 1981AbZV

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jun Chen	NDS 146, 1 (2017)	30-Sep-2017

Parent: ¹³⁸Nd: E=0.0; J^π=0⁺; T_{1/2}=5.04 h 9; Q(ε)=1116 16; %ε+%β⁺ decay=100.0

¹³⁸Nd-T_{1/2}: From Adopted Levels of ¹³⁸Nd.

¹³⁸Nd-Q(ε): From 2017Wa10.

1981AbZV: Source of ¹³⁸Nd was produced by bombarding a 2 g metallic Gd target with a E=660 MeV proton beam from the Synchro-cyclotron at JINR. Ions were separated and selected with an electromagnetic separator and implanted into aluminum substrate or mylar foil. γ rays were detected with Ge(Li) detectors (FWHM=0.6 keV for ⁵⁷Co line, 2.2 keV for ⁶⁰Co line); conversion electrons were detected with Si(Li) detectors (FWHM=2.2 keV at 500 keV). Measured E_γ, I_γ, E(ce), I(ce), βγ-coin. Deduced levels, J, π, γ and β branching ratios, conversion coefficients, γ-ray multipolarities. See also 1971Af05, 1974Bu03, 1974BaZU, 1987BaZC from the same group at JINR.

Others: 1966Gr15, 1970Ho28, 1971JuZU, 1980ZhZZ.

Decay scheme is from 1981AbZV.

The total average radiation energy released by ¹³⁸Nd ε decay is 1111 keV 19 (calculated by evaluator using the computer program RADLST). This value agrees well with Q(ε)=1116 keV 16 (2017Wa10) and shows the completeness of the decay scheme.

¹³⁸Pr Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	T _{1/2} [‡]	E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]
0.0	1 ⁺	1.45 min 5	326.96 6	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	623.6 11	(1) ⁺
194.22 5	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺		389.6 4	(0,1,2) ⁺	673.9 7	(1) ⁺
199.52 4	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺		505.9 4	(1) ⁺	718.0? 7	(0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻)
325.73 4	1 ⁺		541.11 5	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺		

[†] From a least-squares fit to γ-ray energies.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

ε,β⁺ radiations

β⁺ ≤ 2.5%, ε/β⁺ ≥ 40, Q(ε) < 1750 keV (1974BaZU).

E(decay)	E(level)	I _ε ^{†‡}	Log ft	Comments
(398 [#] 16)	718.0?	0.030 12	7.7 2	εK=0.8240 15; εL=0.1364 11; εM+=0.0396 4
(442 16)	673.9	0.060 18	7.5 2	εK=0.8270 12; εL=0.1342 9; εM+=0.0388 3
(492 [#] 16)	623.6	0.024 9	8.0 2	εK=0.8297 9; εL=0.1322 7; εM+=0.03813 23
(575 16)	541.11	0.85 16	6.6 1	εK=0.8330 7; εL=0.1297 5; εM+=0.03730 16
(610 [#] 16)	505.9	0.14 3	7.4 1	εK=0.8341 6; εL=0.1289 5; εM+=0.03702 14
(726 16)	389.6	<0.04	>8.1	εK=0.8370 4; εL=0.1267 3; εM+=0.03630 10 I _ε : sum of I _ε to levels 389.5 and 326.96.
(789 16)	326.96	<0.1	>7.8	εK=0.8381 4; εL=0.12585 24; εM+=0.03601 8
(790 16)	325.73	2.9 5	6.3 1	εK=0.8382 4; εL=0.12584 24; εM+=0.03601 8
(916 16)	199.52	<0.09	>8.0	εK=0.8400 3; εL=0.12447 17; εM+=0.03555 6
(922 16)	194.22	<0.07	>8.1	εK=0.8400 3; εL=0.12442 17; εM+=0.03553 6
(1116 16)	0.0	95.9 7	5.12 2	εK=0.8420 2; εL=0.1230 2; εM+=0.03505 4

[†] From γ-ray intensity balance at each level.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[#] Existence of this branch is questionable.

¹³⁸Nd ε decay **1981AbZV (continued)**

γ(¹³⁸Pr)

I_γ normalization: From the adopted I(789γ)=2.4 4 per 100 decays of 1.45-min ¹³⁸Pr and I(γ)/I(789γ) in Nd-Pr source in equilibrium.

E_γ, I_γ, α(K)exp, α(L)exp from **1981AbZV**, unless otherwise noted.

I(Kα₁ x ray+Kα₂ x ray Pr)=2350 250; I(Kβ₁' x ray Pr)=380 40; I(Kβ₂' x ray Pr)=80 15, normalized to 100 for the 789γ in 1.45-min ¹³⁸Pr decay (Nd-Pr source in equilibrium) (**1981AbZV**).

<u>E_γ</u>	<u>I_γ^{@c}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.&</u>	<u>δ^{‡b}</u>	<u>α[†]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
62.6 4	1.2 4	389.6	(0,1,2) ⁺	326.96	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.37 9	5.8 4	α(K)=4.22 10; α(L)=1.3 3; α(M)=0.28 7 α(N)=0.061 15; α(O)=0.0089 21; α(P)=0.000313 10 Mult.: α(K)exp=4.0 18, α(L)exp=0.64 29 (1981AbZV).
116.3 2	3.6 4	505.9	(1) ⁺	389.6	(0,1,2) ⁺	M1+E2	0.22 3	0.861 14	α(K)=0.720 11; α(L)=0.111 4; α(M)=0.0236 9 α(N)=0.00525 19; α(O)=0.00083 3; α(P)=5.45×10 ⁻⁵ 9 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.82 17, α(L)exp=0.084 22 (1981AbZV).
126.14 5	4.6 6	325.73	1 ⁺	199.52	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.87 10	0.782 19	α(K)=0.585 9; α(L)=0.154 11; α(M)=0.0339 24 α(N)=0.0074 6; α(O)=0.00109 7; α(P)=3.92×10 ⁻⁵ 9 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.65 15, α(L)exp=0.078 20 (1981AbZV).
127.33 ^{#d}		326.96	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	199.52	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	M1+E2 ^a		0.78 13	Additional information 3. α(K)=0.572 18; α(L)=0.16 9; α(M)=0.035 20 α(N)=0.008 5; α(O)=0.0011 6; α(P)=3.7×10 ⁻⁵ 6
131.59 ^{#d}		325.73	1 ⁺	194.22	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺				
132.73 5	7.3 9	326.96	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	194.22	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.33 7	0.600 12	α(K)=0.496 7; α(L)=0.081 6; α(M)=0.0174 13 α(N)=0.0039 3; α(O)=0.00060 4; α(P)=3.70×10 ⁻⁵ 7 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.50 11, α(L)exp=0.084 21 (1981AbZV).
151.77 ^{#d}		541.11	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺	389.6	(0,1,2) ⁺				Additional information 5.
168 1	0.6 2	673.9	(1) ⁺	505.9	(1) ⁺	M1+E2 ^a		0.322 23	α(K)=0.252 8; α(L)=0.055 21; α(M)=0.012 5 α(N)=0.0026 10; α(O)=0.00040 13; α(P)=1.7×10 ⁻⁵ 3
178.5 10	1.2 4	718.0?	(0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻)	541.11	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺	(E1)		0.0513 11	α(K)=0.0439 10;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

¹³⁸Nd ε decay **1981AbZV (continued)**

γ(¹³⁸Pr) (continued)

<u>E_γ</u>	<u>I_γ^{@c}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.&</u>	<u>δ^{‡b}</u>	<u>α[†]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
									α(L)=0.00592 13; α(M)=0.00124 3 α(N)=0.000275 6; α(O)=4.31×10 ⁻⁵ 9; α(P)=2.80×10 ⁻⁶ 6 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.06 3 (1981AbZV).
190.3 ^{#d} 194.21 5	10.6 6	389.6 194.22	(0,1,2) ⁺ 0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	199.52 0.0	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺ 1 ⁺	M1		0.202	α(K)=0.1721 25; α(L)=0.0235 4; α(M)=0.00494 7 α(N)=0.001105 16; α(O)=0.0001780 25; α(P)=1.316×10 ⁻⁵ 19 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.154 22, α(L)exp=0.021 4 (1981AbZV). Additional information 1.
195.8 ^{#d} 199.50 5	22.9 12	389.6 199.52	(0,1,2) ⁺ 0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	194.22 0.0	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺ 1 ⁺	M1+E2	0.29 8	0.188	α(K)=0.1586 24; α(L)=0.0230 8; α(M)=0.00487 18 α(N)=0.00109 4; α(O)=0.000173 5; α(P)=1.195×10 ⁻⁵ 23 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.125 20, α(L)exp=0.021 5 (1981AbZV). Additional information 2.
214.13 6	3.0 8	541.11	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺	326.96	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	M1(+E2)		0.153 3	α(K)=0.123 9; α(L)=0.023 6; α(M)=0.0050 13 α(N)=0.0011 3; α(O)=0.00017 4; α(P)=8.6×10 ⁻⁶ 16 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.21 9 (1981AbZV). Additional information 6.
215.31 6	12.0 13	541.11	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺	325.73	1 ⁺	M1+E2	0.47 13	0.1516	α(K)=0.1269 23; α(L)=0.0195 9; α(M)=0.00416 21 α(N)=0.00092 5; α(O)=0.000145 6; α(P)=9.4×10 ⁻⁶ 3 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.096 22 (1981AbZV). Additional information 7.
^x 233.0 [#] 234 1	0.9 3	623.6	(1) ⁺	389.6	(0,1,2) ⁺	M1+E2 ^a		0.117 5	α(K)=0.095 9; α(L)=0.017 3; α(M)=0.0037 8 α(N)=0.00082 16; α(O)=0.000125 19; α(P)=6.7×10 ⁻⁶ 13
284.3 7	1.6 5	673.9	(1) ⁺	389.6	(0,1,2) ⁺	M1+E2		0.066 7	α(K)=0.055 8; α(L)=0.0090 8; α(M)=0.00194 19 α(N)=0.00043 4;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

¹³⁸Nd ε decay **1981AbZV (continued)**

γ(¹³⁸Pr) (continued)

<u>E_γ</u>	<u>I_γ^{@c}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.&</u>	<u>δ^{‡b}</u>	<u>α[†]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
									α(O)=6.7×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(P)=3.9×10 ⁻⁶ 9 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.056 28 (1981AbZV).
325.76 5	122 3	325.73	1 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺	M1+E2	0.44 11	0.0487 11	α(K)=0.0413 10; α(L)=0.00584 9; α(M)=0.001233 19 α(N)=0.000275 4; α(O)=4.39×10 ⁻⁵ 7; α(P)=3.09×10 ⁻⁶ 10 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.039 4, α(L)exp=0.0054 9 (1981AbZV). Additional information 4.
326.9 6	1.0 3	326.96	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺				
341.65 5	17.2 17	541.11	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺	199.52	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	M1+E2		0.039 6	α(K)=0.033 6; α(L)=0.00511 8; α(M)=0.001089 21 α(N)=0.000242 4; α(O)=3.79×10 ⁻⁵ 11; α(P)=2.4×10 ⁻⁶ 6 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.034 8, α(L)exp=0.0072 20 (1981AbZV).
389.5 ^{#d}		718.0?	(0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻ ,2 ⁻)	326.96	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺				
541.0 3	1.7 5	541.11	0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺	M1		0.01385	α(K)=0.01187 17; α(L)=0.001566 22; α(M)=0.000329 5 α(N)=7.35×10 ⁻⁵ 11; α(O)=1.188×10 ⁻⁵ 17; α(P)=8.93×10 ⁻⁷ 13 Mult.: α(K)exp=0.024 12 (1981AbZV). Additional information 8.

[†] Additional information 9.

[‡] If No value given it was assumed δ=1.00 for E2/M1, δ=1.00 for E3/M2 and δ=0.10 for the other multiplicities.

[#] New transitions from 1987BaZC. Placed in level scheme by evaluator based on energy differences.

[@] From 1981AbZV, normalized to 100 for the 789γ in 1.45-min ¹³⁸Pr decay (Nd-Pr source in equilibrium).

[&] From 1981AbZV based on ce data, unless otherwise noted. The same assignments were adopted in Adopted Gammas.

^a From 1987BaZC. I(ce) are normalized to α(K)exp(789γ in Pr decay)=0.00297 (E2, theory). The same assignments were adopted in Adopted Gammas.

^b From 1987BaZC, based on L-subshell ratios. The same values are adopted in Adopted Gammas.

^c For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.024 4.

^d Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

¹³⁸Nd ε decay 1981AbZV

Decay Scheme

Legend

- I_γ < 2% × I_γ^{max}
- I_γ < 10% × I_γ^{max}
- I_γ > 10% × I_γ^{max}
- - - - -→ γ Decay (Uncertain)

Intensities: I_(γ+ce) per 100 parent decays

