

^{137}Sm ε decay (45 s) **1986Re11,1989BrZM**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	E. Browne, J. K. Tuli		NDS 108,2173 (2007)	1-Oct-2006

Parent: ^{137}Sm : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=(9/2^-)$; $T_{1/2}=45$ s I ; $Q(\varepsilon)=6054$ 40; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=100.0

[Additional information 1.](#)

Measured: γ , $\gamma\gamma$, $X\gamma$ ([1986Re11,1985BrZX](#)), γ ([1983AIZO](#)).

Decay scheme is from [1989BrZM](#), [1986Re11](#).

[1989BrZM](#): measured γ , ce, $\gamma\gamma(t)$, $\gamma\text{ce}(t)$. In a private communication the authors have indicated new work giving a detailed level scheme.

 ^{137}Pm Levels

E(level)	J^π [†]	Comments
0.0	$11/2^-$	
163.7 3	$7/2^-$	
337.1 2	$15/2^-$	
344.1		
380.5	$(9/2)^-$	
559.0		
694.9		
761.7		E(level): level from 1989BrZM .
789.0	$(7/2, 9/2, 11/2)^-$	
864.4		
977.0		

[†] Adopted values.

 $\gamma(^{137}\text{Pm})$

Unplaced γ rays are from [1983AIZO](#). I_γ values are normalized to $I(380\gamma)=100$.

E_γ [†]	I_γ [†]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. #	$\alpha^@$	Comments
163.7 3	85 9	163.7	$7/2^-$	0.0	$11/2^-$	E2	0.399 7	$\alpha(K)=0.275$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.0966$ 16; $\alpha(M)=0.0219$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00544$ 9 $\alpha(N)=0.00479$ 8; $\alpha(O)=0.000633$ 10; $\alpha(P)=1.320 \times 10^{-5}$ 20
^x 169 1	13							
180.4 3	13 2	344.1		163.7	$7/2^-$			
188.0 3	32 3	977.0		789.0	$(7/2, 9/2, 11/2)^-$			
^x 192.9 3	17							
202.2		761.7		559.0				
216.7 3	36 4	380.5	$(9/2)^-$	163.7	$7/2^-$	M1	0.178	$\alpha(K)=0.1514$ 22; $\alpha(L)=0.0210$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.00447$ 7; $\alpha(N+..)=0.001170$ 17 $\alpha(N)=0.001008$ 15; $\alpha(O)=0.0001523$ 22; $\alpha(P)=9.68 \times 10^{-6}$ 14
337.1 [‡] 2		337.1	$15/2^-$	0.0	$11/2^-$			
380.5 3	100 10	380.5	$(9/2)^-$	0.0	$11/2^-$	M1	0.0399	$\alpha(K)=0.0340$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.00463$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.000986$ 14; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000258$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.000222$ 4; $\alpha(O)=3.37 \times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(P)=2.16 \times 10^{-6}$ 3
380.6		761.7		380.5	$(9/2)^-$			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{137}Sm ε decay (45 s) [1986Re11](#),[1989BrZM](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{137}\text{Pm})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ †	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.‡	α @	Comments
408.3 3	40 4	789.0	(7/2,9/2,11/2) ⁻	380.5	(9/2) ⁻	M1	0.0333	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0284$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00385$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000820$ 12; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000215$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000185$ 3; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.80\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.80\times 10^{-6}$ 3
484.3		864.4		380.5	(9/2) ⁻			
531.2 3	37 4	694.9		163.7	7/2 ⁻			
559.0 3	28 3	559.0		0.0	11/2 ⁻			
625.2		789.0	(7/2,9/2,11/2) ⁻	163.7	7/2 ⁻			
695.3		694.9		0.0	11/2 ⁻			
700.7		864.4		163.7	7/2 ⁻			
761.7		761.7		0.0	11/2 ⁻			

† Unless noted otherwise, E_γ , I_γ are from [1986Re11](#) where both are given. Where only E_γ energies are given values are from [1989BrZM](#).

‡ From [1983AlZO](#), $I_\gamma=82$. [1986Re11](#) state that this transition from in-beam work was not seen in ^{137}Sm ε decay. Intensity suggested by [1983AlZO](#) seems too large from the 15/2⁻ state if it was seen at all in the 9/2⁻ ^{137}Sm ε decay.

From [1989BrZM](#) based on $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ (value given only for 163.7 γ).

@ Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{137}Sm ϵ decay (45 s) 1986Re11,1989BrZM

Decay Scheme

Legend

Intensities: Relative I_γ

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
— $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
— $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$

$\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+ = 100$ $\swarrow$ $(9/2^-)$ 0.0 45 s I
 $Q_\epsilon = 6054.40$
 $^{137}_{62}\text{Sm}_{75}$

