

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	E. A. Mccutchan	NDS 152, 331 (2018)	1-Apr-2018

$Q(\beta^-)=9918$ 6; $S(n)=2888$ 6; $S(p)=11164$ 7; $Q(\alpha)=-4.52\times 10^3$ 6 [2017Wa10](#)
 $S(2n)=6629$ 6; $S(2p)=27420$ syst 300; $Q(\beta^-n)=5151$ 6 ([2017Wa10](#)).
 α : [Additional information 1](#).

 ^{136}Sb Levels

Evaluator adopts level scheme proposed by [2015Lo08](#) in $^9\text{Be}(^{238}\text{U},\text{F}\gamma)$. An alternative scheme is given by [2007Si27](#) in $^{241}\text{Pu}(n,\text{F}\gamma)$, where the cascade depopulating the isomer is given by $51.4\gamma - 173.0\gamma - 53.4\gamma$, resulting in an isomer excitation energy of 277.8-keV. [2015Lo08](#) find no evidence for the 51.4γ , however, identify a new 43.4γ and give an alternative cascade ordering of $53.4\gamma-173\gamma-43.4\gamma$.

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

A $^9\text{Be}(^{238}\text{U},\text{F}\gamma)$
B $^{241}\text{Pu}(n,\text{F}\gamma)$

E(level) [†]	J ^π	T _{1/2}	XREF	Comments
0	(1 ⁻)	0.923 s 14	AB	$\% \beta^- = 100$; $\% \beta^- n = 18.5$ 18; $\% \beta^- 2n < 1$ T _{1/2} : from 1993Ru01 . Others: 0.9 s 1 (1978Cr03), 0.75 s 20 (1977Ru04), and 0.82 s 2 (1976Lu02). J ^π : a negative parity is expected since with only 1 proton and 3 neutrons away from closed shell, the configuration of ^{136}Sb should be $\pi g_{7/2} \nu f_{7/2}^3$. Assuming a negative parity, the log ft values of 5.8 and 6.6 to the 0 ⁺ and 2 ⁺ states of ^{136}Te (1997Ho15), respectively, indicate J ^π =1 ⁻ . This assignment is additionally supported following a comparison with ^{212}Bi , where the 1 ⁻ state of the $\pi h_{9/2} \nu g_{9/2}^3$ multiplet is the g.s. $\% \beta^- n$: weighted average of 19.2 18 (2015CaZM) and 16.3 32 (1993Ru01). Others: 32 14 (1977Ru04) and 19 9 (1978Cr03). These have been adjusted to 44 57 and 33 40 in 1993Ru01 based on a reassessment of fission yield data. $\% \beta^- 2n$: preliminary report in 2017CaZZ states observation of $\beta^- 2n$ branch with an upper limit of 1%. 2005Ga61 give a limit of $\% \beta^- 2n < 2.8$ %, with the upper limit corresponding to the case where all the observed two-neutron activity in their experiment originates from ^{136}Sb .
43.4 3	(2 ⁻)		AB	XREF: B(53.4).
215.9 4	(4 ⁻)		AB	J ^π : M1 43.4γ to (1 ⁻). XREF: B(226.4).
269.3 5	(6 ⁻)	540 ns 30	AB	J ^π : E2 173γ to (2 ⁻). $\% \text{IT} = 100$ XREF: B(277.8). T _{1/2} : weighted average of 540 ns 30 from implant-γ(t) using 173γ in $^9\text{Be}(^{238}\text{U},\text{F}\gamma)$ and 480 ns 100 from sum of implant-γ(t) using 173γ and implant-Kα x-ray(t) in $^{241}\text{Pu}(n,\text{F}\gamma)$. J ^π : E2 53.4γ to (4 ⁻).

[†] From Eγ.

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

<u>$\gamma(^{136}\text{Sb})$</u>								
<u>$E_i(\text{level})$</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>$E_\gamma^\dagger$</u>	<u>$I_\gamma^\dagger$</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.</u>	<u>α</u>	<u>Comments</u>
43.4	(2 ⁻)	43.4 3	100	0	(1 ⁻)	(M1)	6.90 18	$\alpha(\text{K})=5.94$ 15; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.774$ 20; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.153$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0296$ 8; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.00290$ 8 Mult.: from intensity balance in $^9\text{Be}(^{238}\text{U},\text{F}\gamma)$.
215.9	(4 ⁻)	172.5 3	100	43.4	(2 ⁻)	E2	0.241	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.192$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0393$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00798$ 13; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.001481$ 24; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0001218$ 19 $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.17$ 4 (2007Si27). Mult.: from $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$.
269.3	(6 ⁻)	53.4 3	100	215.9	(4 ⁻)	(E2)	15.6 4	$\alpha(\text{K})=7.40$ 15; $\alpha(\text{L})=6.57$ 20; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.37$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.247$ 8; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0168$ 5 B(E2)(W.u.)=3.5 4 Mult.: from intensity balance in $^9\text{Be}(^{238}\text{U},\text{F}\gamma)$.

[†] From $^9\text{Be}(^{238}\text{U}, \text{F}\gamma)$.

Adopted Levels, Gammas